# Exhibit 100

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 2 of 75

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS In re: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY )
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE ) MDL No. 1456 Civil Action No. 01-12257 LITIGATION Judge Patti B. Saris United States of America, ex res. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc. CIVIL ACTION NO. 06-11337-PBS VIDEO DEPOSITION OF DONALD C. ROBERTSON Thursday, September 13, 2007 8:54 a.m. to 4:28 p.m. BEHALF OF: The United States PLACE TAKEN: United States Attorney's Office 2110 First Street, Fort Myers, Florida REPORTER: Lisa L. Rios, Court Reporter, and Notary Public, State of Florida at Large MARTINA REPORTING SERVICES Courtney Building, Suite 201 2069 First Street Fort Myers, Florida 33901 (239) 334-6545 FAX (239) 332-2913

Page 2 APPEARANCES 4 ANN ST. PETER-GRIFFITH, Attorney at Law, Special Attorney for the Attorney General 99 N.E. 4th Street, 3rd Floor, Miami, Florida 33132 representing the United States Attorney, Southern District of Florida  ${\tt DONALD \ E. \ HAVILAND, \ JR., \ Attorney \ at \ Law,}$ 8 The Haviland Law Firm, LLC, 740 S. Third Street, Third Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19147 10 representing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 11 TONI-ANN CITERA, Attorney at Law, 12 Jones Day, 222 East 41st Street 13 New York, New York 10017-6702representing Abbott Laboratories, Inc. 15 DAVID J. STETLER, Attorney at Law, Stetler & Duffy, Ltd., 16 11 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1200 Chicago, Illinois 60603; 17 representing the Witness, Donald C. Robertson 18 C. JARRETT ANDERSON, Attorney at Law, 19 Anderson, LLC 1300 Guadalupe, Suite 103 Austin, Texas 78701 20 representing the Relator, Ven-A-Care of the Florida 21 Keys, Inc. 22 23 ELISEO SISNEROS, Deputy Attorney General, State of California Department of Justice 110 West A Street, #1100 San Diego, California 92101

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1i

		Page 3		
1				
2	APPEARANCES (Continued)			
3				
4	Appearing via Telephone:			
5				
6	Gejaa T. Gobena, Attorney at Law, Department of Justice,			
7	P.O. Box 261 Ben Franklin Station			
8	Washington, D.C. 20044;			
9	representing the United States			
10	AMBER NESBITT, Attorney at Law, Wexler, Toriseva, Wallace,			
11	55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3300			
12	Chicago, Illinois 60603; representing MDL and the State of Arizona			
13				
14				
15	Also Present:			
16	Mike Sturdevant, Videographer			
17	John Lockwood, Ven-A-Care of the Florida			
18	Keys, Inc., Relator			
19				
20				
21	INDEX			
22		PAGE		
23				
24	Direct Examination by Ms. St. Peter-Griffith	6		
25				

			Page 4
1 2		I N D E X (Continued)	
2		EXHIBITS	
3			
4	ROBERTSON	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
5	1	One-page Interoffice Correspondence dated March 21, 1991 from Mark Gorman stamped ABT22027	165
7	2	23-page document with first page being an Interoffice Correspondence dated June 1,	
8		1991 from Donald C. Robertson stamped ABT212056	169
10	3	Five-page document with first page being an Interoffice Correspondence dated June 11,	
11		1991 from Virginia Tobiason stamped ABT212051	177
12	4	32-page document with first page being an Interoffice Correspondence dated June 13, 1996 from Lynn E. Leone stamped BR 02430	245
14 15	5	One-page Interoffice Correspondence dated December 22, 1994 from Chris Snead stamped TXABT249849	248
16 17	6	One-page Interoffice Correspondence dated February 9, 1995 from Tim Harris previously marked as Sebree Exhibit No. 2 stamped	
18		CA ABT 07895	251
19	7	Four-page document previously marked Sellers #362 stamped CA ABT 08044	255
20	8	One-page memo from dated May 26, 1994 from Steve Kipperman previously marked Lotz	
21		Exhibit No. 61 stamped ABT006333	273
22	9	44-page document with first page being a memo dated May 26, 1994 from Steve Kippermar previously marked Kipperman Exhibit No. #480	)
24		stamped ABT006333	280
25	10	51-page document with first page being a memo dated July 14, 1995 from John V. Ward stamped ABT 278110	282

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 3 of 75

```
VIDEOGRAPHER: We are here today, September 13,
         2007, at 8:54 a.m. for the videotape deposition of Don
         Robertson, located at the United States Attorney's
         Office, 2110 First Street, Fort Myers, Florida, in the
         case styled, United States of America versus Abbott
         Laboratories.
            The videographer is Mike Sturdevant; the reporter is
         Lisa Rios, both from Martina Reporting.
            Would counsel please state their appearances for the
10
11
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Ann St. Peter-Griffith from
         the United States Attorney's Office, Southern District
13
         of Florida on behalf of the United States.
14
            MR. ANDERSON: Jarrett Anderson, counsel for the
15
         Relator.
            MR. SISNEROS: Eliseo Sisneros, Deputy Attorney
         General, State of California.
            MR. LOCKWOOD: John Lockwood with Ven-A-Care of the
         Florida Keys, and I'm not an attorney.
            MR. HAVILAND: Don Haviland, the Haviland Law Firm,
         for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
22
            MS. CITERA: Toni Citera for the Defendants from
23
         Jones Day.
24
            MR. STETLER: Dave Stetler for the Witness.
            MR. GOBENA: Gejaa Gobena, Department of Justice on
```

```
Page 6
         behalf of the United States.
             MS. NESBITT: Amber Nesbitt of Wexler, Toriseva,
         Wallace on behalf of the MDL and the State of Arizona.
             THE WITNESS: I'm Don Robertson, the Witness.
             VIDEOGRAPHER: And would the court reporter swear in
         the witness.
             MS. CITERA: Just before we start. I just want to
         get my objection on the record to Miss Nesbitt's
         appearance at the deposition and the fact that the MDL
10
         discovery is closed.
11
             MS. NESBITT: And we obviously disagree.
12
             MR. STETLER: Ignore all that stuff.
13
             THE WITNESS: Okav.
14
     Thereupon,
15
                        DONALD C. ROBERTSON.
16
          called as a witness by the United States, having been
17
          first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
18
          follows:
19
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
21
              Good morning, Mr. Robertson.
22
              Good morning.
23
              My name is Ann St. Peter-Griffith and, as I just
24
     said, I'm from the United States Attorney's Office for the
25
     Southern District of Florida in Miami, and I'm here today on
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 7
    behalf of the United States in the matter of the AWP, MDL
    litigation in the federal lawsuit that has been filed by the
    United States against Abbott.
              Sir, can you state your full name, please, for the
    record?
             Donald C. Robertson.
         Α
              And Mr. Robertson, where do you live?
              Fort Myers, Florida.
              How long have you lived in Fort Myers, Florida?
              Since 2001.
              I hope by now, you're a Red Sox fan.
              Never
              Oh, dear.
              Sir, where did you live prior to moving to Fort
    Myers in 2001?
              Libertyville, Illinois.
17
              And how long were you in Libertyville, Illinois?
1.8
              From 1988 until 2001.
19
              And where did you live prior to Libertyville,
20
    Illinois in '88?
21
              In Kronberg, West Germany - at that time it was
         Α
22
    West Germany.
         Ω
             Okav.
             And how long did you live in West Germany?
             Two and a half years.
```

```
Page 8
              And where did you live prior to that?
              Libertyville, Illinois.
              And how long did you live in Libertyville?
         Α
              At that time - oh, gosh - from '79 to '85.
         0
              Okay.
              Sir, have you ever had your deposition taken
     before?
         Α
              What I'd like to do is go through a few ground
10
     rules on the process just so that we - everyone understands.
11
         Α
              Sure.
              The first is that when I ask a question and when
13
     you give an answer, we need to try to avoid talking over
14
     each other because we have a court reporter over there who's
15
     taking down everything we say, and if you're talking and I'm
16
     talking at the same time, she can only take down one of us.
17
              Okav?
1.8
19
              If there's a question that I ask that you don't
20
     understand, if you could let me know that because,
21
     otherwise, what happens is she's taking down the question
22
     and you're giving a response and it appears that your
     response is responsive to my question. Okay? Fair enough?
24
         Α
              Yes.
```

If there's anything you don't understand, just stop

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 4 of 75

```
Page 9
    me and let me know.
              Mm-hmm
              If you need to take a break, you can also let us
    know that, as well. My only request is if that if there's a
    question pending, I would like for you to answer the
    question before we take the break. Fair enough?
         Α
              Yes.
              Okay.
              Sir, have you ever given any sworn testimony at all
10
    before?
11
         Α
              Have you ever been involved in litigation?
             Not to my knowledge.
14
              Okay.
15
              Sir, what did you do to prepare for today's
    deposition?
             I read the Subpoena and, other than that, nothing
    more than that - other than a discussion with Mr. Stetler,
    nothing more.
20
21
              How long did you meet with Mr. Stetler?
22
             I met with him yesterday from nine o'clock to about
23
    two o'clock.
24
             Did you do anything else to prepare for today's
    deposition?
```

b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc 9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Nothing.

Right.

Okay.

Did you?

Okay.

I have none.

No. ma'am.

0

correct?

Ω

Α

Ο

requested.

0

Α

here today.

Α

Ω

Ά

Nο

any documents?

vou.

10

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

Page 11

Okav Did Mr. Stetler read you any documents? No. Α 0 Sir, could you take us through your educational background starting with when you graduated from high school? I graduated from high school in 1963; attended Adelphi University in Garden City, New York, graduated in 1967 with a degree in business. 10 And where's Delphi? Adelphi's in Garden City, New York. Okav. And did you receive a BA? Bachelor's in Business - a BBA. Α Mm-hmm. 17 Okav. 1.8 Any post-graduate work? 19 I started at the University of Buffalo on a master's degree and didn't finish. 21 Ω On a master's degree?

In business, and did not finish.

Oh, golly, that was in the early 70's. Between '71

And when was that?

and '73; that's when I lived in Buffalo.

22

Page 12 Any other course work that you've taken? At a university or college? No. Okav. Sir, starting with your graduation from college, can you take us through your employment history? From 1967 to 1971, I was in the United States Marine Corps; went through infantry and officer school, artillery officer school, served in the Republic of Vietnam. 10 When I returned I was on recruiting duty; I recruited for 11 officer programs for the Marine Corps in my final two years, and was released from active duty a captain in 1971. 13 O Okav. And after you were released from active duty in '71, what was your next position? 16 I went to work for Xerox Corporation in Buffalo. 17 For Xerox? 1.8 Yes, ma'am. 19 And what was position there? 20 I was a salesperson. My final job there was 21 manager of major accounts for Western New York in 1971 -22 correction, 1974, I left Xerox. I joined them in '71. So you were with Xerox for three years. 24 Α Yes ma'am.

Now, as you just stated, you received a Subpoena,

Of the Subpoena which Mr. Stetler had accepted for

Sir, did you look for any documents in response to

Yes; to see if I had any of the documents that were

So you have no documents that you brought with you

In preparing for today's deposition, did you review

Other than my discussions with Mr. Stetler, I

didn't do any other preparation for today's testimony.

Did Mr. Stetler show you any documents?

I received an e-mail copy of the Subpoena.

Page 10

Okay.

0

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 5 of 75

Page 13 And why did you leave Xerox? I liked the opportunity that had been presented and I thought it would be a better fit for me. Okav. I assume that your next position then was with Abbott? I was a salesperson with Abbott in Manhattan. And what products did you sell? I worked for the Diagnostics Division and sold 10 diagnostic products to clinical laboratories to diagnose 11 such states as hepatitis, thyroid function, infectious 12 diseases, that sort of thing. 13 Prior to joining Abbott, did you have any 14 background in either medicine or pharmaceuticals? 15 No, ma'am. Okav. Did you receive any training when you assumed the salesperson position? Yes. I was trained for a number of weeks - three or four - I can't recall - in Chicago on these diagnostic products, and then ongoing training with the sales force 22 over the course of the time I had that responsibility. 23 And how long were you a salesperson in Manhattan 24 for the diagnostic products? 25 From 19- - let's see, this is long time ago - 1974

to 1975; about 15 months, I believe. Okav. And first let me ask, did you stay with Abbott from approximately '74 through to your departure --Yes. I did -- in about 2000? Yes. Α 0 Okay. Twenty-six years. 10 And after you were a salesperson, what was your next position at Abbott? 12 I was a sales manager in Chicago handling sales of 13 diagnostic products in six midwestern states. 14 And what were the states? 15 Α Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, Iowa, North and South 16 Dakota 17 And who was your supervisor? 0 At that time, a gentleman named Bob Heeble. Α And was he -- How long were you a sales manager? 20 About a year, 14 months. 21 So approximately until mid '76? 22 At the beginning of '76; in that time frame 23 someplace. 24 And did you supervise anyone? 0 25 There were 11 sales reps and one technician.

Page 14

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 15 0 Do you remember who the sales reps were? No, ma'am. Do you remember who the technician was? Mary Jo Larr was a technician; Ralph Stever was one of the technicians; Jim Jones was one of the salesmen; Dennis McConnell; Carrie Garner - that's - it's been a long time, that's the best I can do. And what were your job responsibilities as a sales manager of the diagnostic products in Chicago? 10 To make sure that our people represented Abbott in 11 a professional way and achieved their sales numbers. And how would you determine whether people achieved Ω 13 their sales numbers? We were given goals and objectives and then we were given actual numbers related to those goals and objectives. Who would set the goals and objectives? 17 Α Generally the upper level of management within the corporation. 19 20 Which division --21 Well, within the division - excuse me. 22 I'm sorry. Okav. Which division were you in when you were the sales manager?

Page 16 Diagnostics. Ω Was that a separate division? Yes. ma'am. Α 0 Okav. There was a division of Abbott just called Diagnostics. That's correct. And how long was there --10 It exists through -- It exists today. 11 It exists today. And in '76, did you transition to another position? Yes. Α What was that position? 0 I became country manager of Brazil. Contract manager of --0 17 Α Country manager, Brazil. 1.8 Oh, country manager. 19 I was a general manager of the Diagnostic Division Α 20 in Brazil 21 Ο Did you live in Brazil? 22 Α Ω How long were you in that position? 24 From February, '76 to September, '79. And who was your superior?

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 6 of 75

First one was a gentleman named Jaime Ocampo and the seconds boss I had was named Alain Gilbert. Can you spell those names? J-a-i-m-e, new word, O-c-a-m-p-o, and the second gentleman's name is Alain, A-l-a-i-n, Gilbert, G-i-l-b-e-r-t. Ω And what products were you responsible for --The diagnostic products. Same products that you were responsible for when you were a sales manager in Chicago? 11 Yes, ma'am. What types of products are those? As I said, they were products that were used to 14 diagnose various clinical conditions; cancer, thyroid function, thetical chemistry conditions; infectious diseases, and we sold the reagents and chemicals and devices used to measure these clinical conditions. Do you remember what some of the names of the reagents and the devices were? 20 Names of the devices? Yes. Clinical chemistry 21 device, one was named the ABA 100; one was the VP; the - oh 22 gosh - Logic was a counter of gamma radiation which was used 23 to measure the various chemicals; CEA was one of the 24 carcinomemoryonic (sp.) antigen determined the level of that 25 substance in a person's serum; thyroid function, Thyroxin,

Page 18 T3. TSH - those sorts of products. Okay. When you were the general manager in Brazil - or the country manager in Brazil, did you have any subordinates who worked under you? I think that in our group there were 30 some-odd individuals. Do you remember who they were? Oh, my --10 I don't mean this to be a memory game, but I'm just trying to find out who worked you under you. 12 Well, they were all nationals - Brazilian 13 nationals. 14 Okay. 0 15 So no - no --There were no ---- Americans? Α There were no Americans --Ο Okay. 20 21 I don't know if the names would be useful, but I 22 can try to recall, if you wish. 23 Okay. Why don't we come back to that at a later 24 point in time. 25 Α Okay.

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 19 When you returned -- I assume you returned to the United States in '79? Α Yes. 0 What position did you assume in Abbott? I became general manager of the Physiological Diagnostics Business Unit. And how long did you hold that position? Until 1980 - oh, correction - from 1983 -- 1979 to 1983 I was in Physiological Diag- -- I'm trying -- Wait a 10 minute - hold on now --Take your time. I came -- No - I'm sorry, I left out a step -Δ 13 forgive me. From '79 to '83 I was area manager of Latin America for Abbott Laboratories Diagnostics Division. 16 Forgive me; I skipped a three-and-a-half-year 17 period in there. 18 And where was that position based, the area 19 manager --20 In Chicago. 21 -- for Latin America? 22 In North Chicago, Illinois. And was that still with the Diagnostics Unit? Yes, ma'am. Or Diagnostics Division?

Page 20 Mm-hmm And who was your supervisor? Jack Schuler, who was my first one, division president - and he was my supervisor most of the time I was there; I think Jack Davis - another gentleman named Jack Davis for a time Mr. Schuler was promoted, and then a person named Tom Vogel, V-o-g-e-l. And what were your responsibilities? 10 In the area manager --11 As the area manager for Latin America. I had P and O responsibility for the sales and 13 profitability of Abbott diagnostic products in Latin America and the Caribbean. 15 Did you have any responsibility with regard to 16 developing products? 17 No. ma'am. 1.8 And who were your subordinates? 19 The country managers of - we had one in the 20 Caribbean; one in Mexico; one in Venezuela; one in Colombia; 21 one in Brazil, and one in Argentina. 22 And do you remember what their names were? They changed over time, but the - I remember in Caribbean, Mark Miller was one of the gentleman; in Mexico.

Pat Shannon - I think the gentleman's name; in Argentina,

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 7 of 75

gentleman's name was Raul Mazzolla; in Brazil, gentleman's name was Luiz Antonio Cosmo, and in Venezuela, his name was Enrique Pazmino. Ω Are you fluent in Spanish, sir? I can defend myself in Spanish. Α Okav. What about Portugese, Brazilian Portugese. I'm fluent in Brazilian Portugese. Okav. Is that something you developed while you worked 11 12 I don't miss many meals, and if you didn't want to 13 starve, you learned the language. 14 Sir, what was your next position? 15 Α I became general manager of the Physiological Diagnostics Business Unit. And was that still under the Diagnostic's Division? Yes. ma'am. it was. Α Okay. And how long did you hold that position? Until 1986. 22 Why did you leave the area manager for Latin 23 America position? 24 The general manager of the Physiological Diagnostics Business Unit was a promotion.

Okav. And what were your job responsibilities in that position? To supervise the development and marketing programs for Physiological Diagnostics that included thyroid function, it included fertility - those sorts of diagnostics states; to supervise the development of those products with R and D group; to supervise the manufacturing of those products in our own little factories, and to supervise the 10 marketing crew that was responsible for their successful 11 12 Did you have a marketing plan or did you develop 13 marketing plans? 14 Yes, ma'am. 15 O What was the nature of that business? Who was your market? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Pardon? 19 MS. CITERA: I'm going, from time to time, interpose 20 objections to her questions. 21 If you understand the question, you can answer it. 22 MR. STETLER: Let me just say this: If she makes an 23 objection, unless I say something afterwards, just 24 ignore it and go on. 25 THE WITNESS: Very well.

Page 22

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 23
            MS ST PETER-GRIFFITH: And I would ask that
         Counsel limit her objections to form objections.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Sir, what was the nature of your business?
             Who were your clients?
            MS. CITERA: Same objection.
            THE WITNESS: Clinical laboratories and hospitals
         and independent or private clinical laboratories.
             Were you all involved with either Medicare or
10
    Medicaid reimbursement, or Medicaid or Medicare issues when
11
    you held that position?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
13
            THE WITNESS: No.
             And who were your subordinates?
             It's -- We had a marketing manager named Joe Martin
    and various product managers - I'm sorry, I can't recall all
17
    the names.
18
             Approximately how many people worked under you?
19
             In the Business Unit, if one were to count the R
20
    and D and manufacturing, perhaps 110, 120, something like
21
    that.
22
             And do you recall what some of the products were?
             I thought we just discussed that matter.
             Well, the names of them, though.
             Oh, the trade names, I'm afraid I don't recall. I
```

```
Page 24
     can describe the clinical states that they were meant to
     detect --
            Which you just did.
              -- thyroid function, either elevated or depressed
     thyroid function; pregnancy - let's see - fertility; LH,
     FSH, HCG - a little of acronyms in industry, as well, I
     think --
              Okay.
            -- Americans like acronyms.
10
              Sir, what position did you hold after you were the
11
     general manager of the Physiological Drug Unit?
              I was director of Marketing and Strategic Planning
13
     for Europe, Africa and the Middle East for the Diagnostics
     Division.
15
         Ω
             Is that when you were in Germany?
16
              Yes, ma'am.
17
              And how long did you have that position?
1.8
              From the beginning of '86 'til the end of '88.
19
20
              And who was your supervisor?
21
              The gentleman's name was Eric Hornaess,
22
     H-o-r-n-a-e-s-s.
              And was he your supervisor during that entire time?
24
             Yes, he was.
              And - I'm sorry - I might need to go back.
```

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 8 of 75

```
Did I ask you who your supervisor was when you were
    in the general manager of the Physiological?
              No, you didn't.
              Okav.
              Who were or who were your supervisors?
             The gentleman's name was Harry Hixson, H-i-x-s-o-n.
              And you returned to the United States in '88?
             Late '88; yes, ma'am.
10
              And did you have any subordinates when you were the
    director of marketing for Europe and Africa?
12
             Yes; I had people who did the -- A gentleman named
13
    James Jones; a gentleman named Ad, A-d, Habraken,
14
    H-a-b-r-a-k-e-n - oh, boy, I just can't recall the names
15
              Were I to sit down and think about it for a while.
    I could probably make a list for you, if you wish.
             Okav.
              When you returned to the United States in late '88,
20
    what position did you assume with Abbott?
21
             I was responsible for what was called a Business
22
    Unit Group general manager, which comprehended multiple
23
    business units; Physiological Diagnostics, I regained as one
24
    of those; Cancer Diagnostics was another of those; Abused
25
    Drug Diagnostics was another of those; I don't know if I
```

Page 27 unit, do vou recall? Yeah. The business unit general managers, a man named Freddie Richard, R-i-c-h-a-r-d, Richard; a gentleman named Isao Ikeda, I-s-a-o, I-k-e-d-a; a person named Carol Cox; a person named Jim Koziarz, K-o-z-i-a-r-z, and a woman named Victoria Bannister, two N's. Okay. And what were your primary responsibilities in that position? 10 To supervise the development, manufacture and 11 marketing of the products included in those responsibilities - Cancer; Physiological Diagnostics; Mental Illness; 13 Neurological Disease; Phys- - those various business units. And you said you changed jobs in - or changed positions in '90. 16 Yes, ma'am. 17 To what? 1.8 Vice president and general manager of Alternate 19 Site Products, Hospital Products Division. 20 Had you ever worked in the Hospital Products 21 Division up until that point in time? 22 Α No, ma'am. Okav Why the switch? It was a promotion.

mental illness and neurological disease, we were going to find a diagnostic for Alzheimer's. Unfortunately, it proved to be a dry hole, we weren't able to help people. Okav And was that still within the Diagnostics Unit? Yes. Α 0 Okay. And so you were responsible then for overseeing 10 development of product or --The individual --12 -- diagnostic product? 13 The individuals who developed the products reported 14 to me. 15 Ο 16 And when you headed were -- When you headed that 17 business unit, who was your supervisor? Α That was Art Collins. 19 0 Okay. 20 And how long did you hold that position? 21 Perhaps two years. 22 So '88 --23 Α In 1990, I changed again. 24 Okav. 25 And who were your subordinates in that business Page 28 And you ever worked with the Alt Site products 0

mentioned Cancer Diagnostics was another of those, and

Page 26

before? MS. CITERA: Objection --THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. MS. CITERA: -- to form. Do you understand what I mean when I say, "Alt Site"? I never worked with any hospital product before. Is it fair to say you had a learning curve? 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: It's fair to say I had a very steep learning curve. And how did you go about learning the position? Brute force and awkwardness. I studied as hard as Α 15 I could. 16 Did anybody help you? 0 17 Yes; the individuals in marketing, the 1.8 manufacturing people, the research and development people 19 were very kind in terms of their extension of help to me. 20 Did you work with anybody in the Reimbursement 21 Department? 22 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No 24 Okay. Did you work with anyone in terms of learning --

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 9 of 75

To learn the products, did I work with anybody in the reimbursement department; is that your question? No; that's not my question. Α Okay. Ω Just, in general, did you work with anybody in the reimbursement department to learn about reimbursement? No --Ω Okay. Did you --10 -- not that I recall. 11 The individuals who you worked with in the 12 marketing department, do you remember who they were? 13 I worked with the general managers of the three 14 businesses that were involved in Alternate Site; Renal Care, 15 Alternate Site Product Sales and Home Infusion Services. Ω Okav. And who were they? 18 The first general manager of Home Infusion Services was Bill Dempsey; the first general manager of Alternate Site Product Sales was John Ward; the first general manager 21 of Renal Care was Mike King. 22 And did they help you learn the business? 23 24 What did they teach you about the Alt Site market? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 25

market segments. They taught me about distributors, home infusion companies, renal dialysis centers; what services they provided for their customers and what products they used. Okav. And what did they teach you about the distributors? Well, how we dealt with them; we contracted with them; we - many of the customers in Alternate Site are very 10 small, so they buy their products through distributors 11 rather than through manufacturers. 12 So I had never dealt -- Diagnostics never dealt 13 with distributors, so it was new - it was something new for 14 15 Ο Okay. 16 What about home infusion companies, what did you 17 learn about them? 18 Α Various products that they provided; the services 19 they provided; some things about the clinical states of the 20 patients; how Abbott products fulfilled the needs of these 21 various and sundry market segments for total parenteral 22 nutrition, I.V. antibiotics, chemotherapy - that kind of 23 thing. 24 0 Okay. 25 And what about renal dialysis centers, what did you

THE WITNESS: They taught me the various aspects in

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 31

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
learn about them?
            I learned about the various conditions that caused
    end-stage renal disease. I learned about a specific
    product - we really only had one product that we sold to
    them; we sold peritoneal dialysis solutions to renal
    dialysis centers. There were others who were more
    competent. We had no specific technical advantage, so we
    sold the business, which left us with one product - a very
    important product, however.
10
              When people's kidneys shut down they are unable to
11
    make bone and if their phosphorus levels get too high, their
    bones will fill with phosphorus instead of calcium and that
13
    will cause a state known as renal osteodystrophy - it's a
    terrible state; fundamentally, their skeletons collapse.
15
              Well, we had a drug that enabled them to make bone,
    replace the natural calcitriol in their bodies, enable them
17
    to make bone and prevented the skeletal collapse. It was
18
    really a terrific drug.
19
              And what was the name of that drug?
20
              Calciiex.
21
              Okay.
22
              And what did you learn about the products used in
    the Alt Site or by Alt Site customers?
        Α
             What did I learn about the products?
         0
              Yes.
```

```
Page 32
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: How they were used and what they did.
              Okav.
              Do you remember specifically what you learned?
             I remember --
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: I remember reading about why one would
         use Rocephin, you know which is a Roche drug for - that
         was used in Lyme's disease, why - you know, just
10
         fundamentally what it was about, what did we do, what
11
         was our business.
              Did you understand that there was a difference
13
     between branded drugs and generic drugs?
         Α
              Yes, ma'am.
              Okay.
16
              What was your understanding of that difference?
17
              The difference? A branded drug is a drug
1.8
     fundamentally which I assume to be proprietary where there
19
     are no others available; a generic drug is multi-source.
20
              And what did you learn about the nature of the
21
     products sold in the Alt Site unit?
22
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: I don't understand your guestion.
24
             Okav.
              Did you have an understanding as to whether Alt
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 10 of 75

```
Page 33
    Site sold proprietary drugs, generic multi-source drugs or
    both?
              Yes. There -- Some companies sold proprietary
    drugs. I mentioned the drug Rocephin, that was proprietary,
    it was a third-generation cephalous-borne, a great drug for
    Lyme's disease, a good drug for bone infections - that was
    proprietary.
              The drugs that we sold - Abbott, specifically in
    that milieu were multi-source - a lot of people had those
10
11
              Calcijex was sole source --
              Was that a branded product?
              Would you define the term "branded"?
              Well, was it a proprietary product --
              Yes, ma'am.
              -- that Abbott had?
             Yes, ma'am.
             Okav.
              Sir, during this initial phase when you were
20
    learning about the Alt Site Business Unit, were you given
21
    any information about our education concerning the pricing
22
    for Abbott products sold by the Alt Site Unit?
23
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
24
            THE WITNESS: I - I saw documents indicating the
25
         average unit price of our various products - what we
```

65-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc 9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 35 training; we would ship - we would compound the product in our pharmacies and we would ship the product. They would provide the nursing care and they would provide the patients. And Abbott was compensated by receiving a percentage of collections. Did Abbott have its own pharmacies? Yes, ma'am. Okay. 10 For how long? I don't -- I can't answer that. They were there when I got there in 1990, they were there when I left in 19in -- 2000. 0 Did you have an understanding that at some point in time the Abbott pharmacies were phased out? 17 Α Ma'am, I tried to close that business the day I got 18 there. 19 Okay. 20 Why? 21 It was a horrible business. 22 How so? We're manufacturers of product; we are not patient care providers. And, also, when we provided compounding and billing

were selling products for, if that's - if that --Well, did you --THE WITNESS: -- answers your question. Let me ask a more specific question. Did you have an understanding as to how Alternate Site products - products sold by the Alternate Site Unit were priced? Α Yes, ma'am. Okay. 10 What was that understanding? They were priced based upon negotiations which we 12 had with the distributors, wholesalers; those who sold the 13 products. 14 Home infusion services was priced in a different 15 way. We provided not only product, but we provided 16 pharmacies, we provided billing and reimbursement, and we 17 were reimbursed - or our price to go them - excuse me - was a function of how much we did and it would be a percent of 19 collections. 20 21 Percent of whose collections? 22 Percent of the money that we collected for them -23 we did billing and reimbursement for them, so a percent of 24 what we collected - we would provide pharmacy; we would 25 provide billing and reimbursement; we would provide

Page 34

Page 36 and reimbursement services, some of our customers would believe that we were competing against them. Competing against your customers is not a good way to make a living. So they became angry by that. The business was not profitable. We have a -- We have a responsibility, a fiduciary responsibility to our shareholders to invest their money to provide them with the best possible reasonable return. Home infusion services didn't do that, and I wanted out of the business. When you say, "the business," and when you said it was a horrible business, you mean the Home Infusion Unit. itself. Α Home Infusion Services. Home Infusion Services. Yes, ma'am. And what did you do in terms of your efforts to try and close the home infusion business? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: We just didn't renew contra -- You know, we'd try not no renew contracts. We tried to get the customer to take over billing and reimbursement for themselves. We tried to get the customer to take over pharmacy for themselves. And we would try to get out of

the business of competing with our own customers.

10

11

12

13

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

24

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 11 of 75

```
We -- Abbott is a manufacturing company, a provider
         of product; that's what we do best.
             This was thought to be initially a good outlet for
         Abbott product; it proved not to be.
              Who initially thought that it was a good outlet for
    business for Abbott product?
             I don't know that specifically.
              I know who was there when the business started and
    I know who ran the business initially.
10
              And who was that?
11
             A gentleman named Kris Kringel, K-r-i-s,
    K-r-i-n-g-e-l, who was president of the Hospital Products
13
    Division, and the first general manager of Home Infusion
14
    Services was - I will recall --
15
         0
             Okay.
             -- the lady's name.
             Now, was Mr. Kringel your boss?
         Α
             Yes, he was.
              Okay.
              Did you have any other -- I assume that you held
    this position until your retirement.
21
22
              Ten years; yes, ma'am.
23
              And who were your supervisors during this period of
24
    time?
25
             I had two.
```

```
Mr. Kringel retired, I believe in '97, and then a
     gentleman named Rick Gonzalez became my supervisor. He was
     president of the Hospital Products Division.
            And did you talk with them about closing the Home
     Infusion Unit?
             Yes, I did. There was no graceful exit.
         Α
              Okay.
            It was very difficult to get out of the business,
10
     but we continued to try to do that and we ultimately did - I
11
     think it's closed down now - I don't know - I have not
12
     spoken to him.
13
         0
             And were Mr. Kringel and Mr. Gonzalez receptive
14
     towards closing that business unit?
15
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
16
             THE WITNESS: Yes. I mean, they were receptive
17
         to -- I mean, they were good business people, they
         understood the argument. The argument was rationale, it
         was logical and based on fact.
20
            Did you receive any resistance to closing the
21
     business unit?
22
             THE WITNESS: From the customers.
23
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
24
            From the customers?
25
            Mm-hmm
```

Okav.

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

Page 38

```
Page 39
             What about within Abbott?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Within Abbott, people objected to
         closing the business?
            No. I mean the people who were in the business were
         going to be redeployed, they were not going to be
            This was a bad decision by the chiefs, the Indian
         shouldn't pay for it. The people would be redeployed to
10
         different areas of the corporation.
11
            And who were the chiefs, to your knowledge, that
    made the bad decision?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: They made it -- You know, hindsight is
         20/20 --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I know.
            THE WITNESS: -- it probably looked like a good
         decision 1987 or '86, when they went into it.
19
            But I guess the people - Mr. Kringel and - decided
20
         to get in it and thought it would be a good outlet for
21
         Abbott product.
22
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Are you familiar with the name Virginia Tobiason?
             Yes.
             Okay.
```

```
Page 40
              Who is Miss Tobiason?
              She was an employee in Home Infusion Services.
              Do you know whether she had any opinions regarding
     the continuation of the Home Infusion Business Unit?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Miss Tobiason left that business in
         about 1997, I believe - I would have to check. She went
         to work in a corporate function.
             So I wouldn't want to speculate on her feelings.
10
             Okay.
11
              Do you know of anyone who articulated any concerns
12
     about closing the Hospital - about closing the Home Infusion
13
     Business Unit?
14
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
15
             THE WITNESS: Some people may have felt threatened,
16
         but we obviously did our best to redeploy the people so
17
         they were doing something else.
1.8
             But some people may have had an apostolate and
19
         thought this was a great business, when, in fact, it was
20
         a bad business.
21
             I don't - I don't know how to respond to your
22
         question. Some may have thought it was a good idea.
              But are you aware of anyone making --
24
             No.
```

-- any comments either to you personally or

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 12 of 75

```
Page 41
    otherwise voicing objection to closing the Home Infusion
    Business Unit?
             No, ma'am.
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             Are you familiar with the CHIPS product?
             Yes, ma'am, I am.
              What is it?
              Once again, in our love for acronyms, that stands
    for Customer Home Infusion - the P confounds me - I don't
11
             Okay.
12
             That was a device that did billing and
13
    reimbursement and set up orders and kind of cued things up
14
    in pharmacy for a home infusion company.
15
              Was it proprietary software developed by Abbott?
             We paid for the development; yes, ma'am.
             Okav.
              In your contemplation of closing the Home Infusion
    Business Unit, did you have any opinions as to whether
    licensure of the CHIPS software should continue?
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
22
            THE WITNESS: I recall conversa --- Part of your job
23
         in business is to make lemonade out of lemons. If we
24
        had anything that was a viable product and those
         customers who were Home Infusion Services customers
```

```
could use that system and found value in that system, we
         would have a financial responsibility to our
         shareholders to sell that system.
              Okav.
              And was there such a market?
              I don't know that. I mean, I don't think we had
     sold any by the time I left.
         Ο
              Okay.
              Sir, going back to your initial training, or your
10
     initial learning curve concerning the Alt Site Business
11
     Unit, did you receive any formal or informal instruction or
12
     training concerning either Medicare or Medicaid billing,
13
     pricing or reimbursement?
14
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
15
             THE WITNESS: We must have had discus- -- I don't
16
         recall specific discussions or training on that, but
         reimbursement is an important part of the business so we
         must have had conversations on that.
19
             Did you have an understanding as to how Medicaid
20
     and Medicare reimbursement worked?
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
             THE WITNESS: I would characterize my knowledge as
23
24
             I mean, it's a very complex system. As I understand
25
         it, people make careers out of this, billing and
```

Page 42

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

Page 43 reimbursement systems. I was, you know, a neophyte. What was your understanding? My understanding of - although incomplete, was that was that Medicare in the hospital reimbursed based upon a system called DRGs - that may still be around, I don't know - and that in the Alternate Site it reimbursed based upon a percentage of charges or something like that - I don't recall, it was a long time ago. Any other understanding as regarding Medicare 10 reimbursement? No, ma'am. That's my understanding. Okav What about Medicaid reimbursement? I have no knowledge of Medicaid reimbursement. My only knowledge of Medicaid reimbursement is that the State of Illinois would pay you in 187 days, no matter 17 how clean your bill was, no matter how well the patient was, but it took a long time to get your money and that's my 19 recollection of - my only recollection of Medicaid; it was 20 tough to collect. 21 Who would you go to if a question arose concerning 22 either Medicaid or Medicare reimbursement or billing? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I would ask the general manager; those were the people with whom I dealt --

```
Page 44
             THE WITNESS: -- if I had a question. I'm not
         saving I had any questions, but if I had a question.
         that's the person to whom I'd go.
              Would you deal with any other subordinates?
              Oh, I may have had conversations with subordinates
     like - you know, you mentioned Miss Tobiason, she was
     involved in reimbursement. I spoke to her - I mean, I know
     I spoke to her on numerous occasions, reimbursement was
10
     probably the subject of one or more of those conversations.
11
     I don't recall.
              Do you remember any conversations that you had with
13
     Miss Tobiason concerning reimbursement?
         Α
              Specifically?
         Ω
              Yes.
16
17
              Do you remember any - receiving - either receiving
1.8
     any correspondence or generating any correspondence
19
     concerning Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement?
20
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
21
             THE WITNESS: I may have, but I don't recall
22
         specifically.
             т --
             Okay.
```

Before --

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 13 of 75

```
Page 45
             THE WITNESS: If there were going to be changes in
        Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement, it would be
         incumbent upon our group to communicate those changes.
             Who within your group was responsible for ensuring
     compliance with Medicare and Medicaid statute and
    regulations?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             Hold on just a second.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: What's wrong with that
10
11
             MS. CITERA: First of all, it's a compound question
        because you're asking about Medicare and Medicaid.
13
        Second of all, I think it's over broad, vague.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
            Sir, can you answer the question?
            THE WITNESS: Would you repeat it, please.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Sure.
            Can you read it back?
             (The question was read back as previously recorded
        by the court reporter)
             MS. CITERA: Let me just add also it asks for
22
        speculation.
23
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
24
             Who would you go to if you had a question about
    Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement compliance?
```

```
THE WITNESS: I would go to the general manager,
         probably. And if there were -- Our objective was to
         comply with all regulations.
              And how would you ensure that that was done?
              Continue -- You'd just have to continue to
     reinforce this with people, you know?
              Who would you reinforce it with?
              The general managers. I mean, you were just there
10
     to comply with the regulation. You have to do it. If you
11
     vary from that...
12
              Would the general managers be responsible for
13
     ensuring compliance with Medicare and Medicaid statutes and
14
     regulations?
15
         A Yes - Yeah.
16
              They had day-to-day contact with the people who
17
     were involved in these affairs.
              Would the buck stop with any particular person with
19
     regard to who was responsible for compliance with Medicare
20
     or Medicaid regulations?
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
             THE WITNESS: Ma'am, our responsibility was to
23
         comply with appropriate regulations. If we didn't,
24
         there was enough powder to blow everybody up.
25
             I mean, we were all responsible, but I guess at the \,
```

MS. CITERA: Same objections.

Page 46

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

b6be-b8c9e568b1fc 9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9

```
Page 47
        end of the day the general managers and I were the ones
        responsible to make sure that that was done.
             Okav.
              Would you consult with anyone in particular to
     ensure that that was done?
             I would consult my reports; general managers.
             Anybody else?
             No -- I -- I -- Miss Tobiason was responsible for
     reimbursement. It's doubtful that I would not have, at one
10
    time, mentioned to her that, Hey, you know, you've got to
11
    follow the regs, but I don't remember specific conversation
    in that regard
13
             What about outside of the Alternate Site Business
    Unit, do you recall discussing compliance with anyone -
    compliance with Medicare or Medicaid statutes and
    regulations with anybody outside of Alt Site?
17
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
18
             THE WITNESS: Specifically, no.
19
              What were your -- You indicated the general
21
    memories of conversations concerning reimbursement.
22
              Do you remember --
             I have no general memory.
              I indicated that it would be doubtful that I would
    not have had such a conversation because the individual's
```

```
Page 48
     responsible for that.
            Would you -- Okay.
              Which general manager would you go to on
     reimbursement issues?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: There were two general managers who
         dealt with the service business; one would be Bill
         Dempsey, who was responsible for Home Infusion Services,
         and the other, John Ward, who was responsible for
10
         Alternate Site Product Sales - we called it - those were
         sales of Alternate Site products that anyone who did not
         have a business development agreement with us.
13
            Let me go back.
              Who were your -- During your entire tenure, can you
15
     just take us through historically who were the general
16
     managers of the three components?
17
1.8
              The general managers for the Renal Business Unit
19
     were Mike King, Rich Ganz, G-a-n-z, Laurie Mershimer,
20
     M-e-r-s-h-i-m-e-r, and Susan Rodriguez.
21
              The general managers for Alternate Site Product
22
     Sales were - hold on - Mike Sellers, John Ward and Peter
     Baker
24
              The general managers for Home Infusion Services
     were Bill Dempsey and then Mike Sellers. Sellers was GM -
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 14 of 75

```
Page 49
    the final name of GM when I left.
             Were there any non-GM subordinates who you would
    deal with on a regular basis in your position as vice
    president?
        Δ
              Many.
             Who would they be?
         0
             I would go in and discuss sales with the sales
    managers; I would discuss, you know, contracting for the
    customers - when are we going to get this business - from
    the national account managers.
11
              I think every manager has an informal group of
    contacts with which one deals to see where the business is
13
    going or how things are progressing.
14
             Okay.
15
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Is now a good time to take
         a break?
            MR. HAVILAND: It would be for me just --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okav.
            MR. HAVILAND: -- for calling the office.
            VIDEOGRAPHER: Okav.
             We're going off the record.
22
             (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)
23
            VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
24
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
25
              Sir, what I'd like to do is go back to the Alt Site
```

10 Okay. It's a little cold; understandable. And all our children --12 MR. STETLER: I object. 13 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I would just like to note 14 for the record that Mr. Stetler requested that we take this deposition in January. MR. STETLER: Yes, I did. All of our children moved away from Chicago. So in January of 2001. I said to my wife. Sweetheart - we had a 19 condo here in Fort Myers - I said, Sweetheart, I'm leaving 20 and I'm not coming back. 21 And she sold our house, packed it up and we've been 22 down here ever since. 23 0 Now, are some of your former colleagues down here 24 from Abbott?

No. I don't see any colleague -- I know that there

Business Unit. But before we do that, I'd like to kind of

Did you retire in 2000?

After 26 years with Abbott?

I moved out of Chicago.

Yes.

Okav.

Ω

Ω

take you through what you did after your retirement in 2000.

And what have you been doing since your retirement?

25

```
Page 51
    are one specifically, Barry Gerber, whom I knew from Abbott,
    he lives in Sarasota; a person named Ray Earl lives in
    Sarasota. That's about it. No one locally whom I see or
    who I'm in contact with.
             I need to round back to a question that I forgot to
    ask you before which is have you - other than speaking with
    Mr. Stetler, have you had any other conversations with
    anybody about your deposition here today?
             No.
10
              Okay.
11
             Do you have much contact with other Abbott
    employees?
         Α
             I told my wife I would be here --
              Okay.
         0
              -- to give a deposition, so I misspoke.
              That's fine.
              Have you spoken with any other Abbott employees?
19
              And since your retirement, have you been completely
21
    retired or are you involved in any other, either employment
22
    or business ventures?
             No, ma'am. I'd had enough fun.
             Oh, vou're a smart man.
             I do charity work, I play golf and I fish.
```

```
Page 52
              Fantastic. What kind of charity work do you do?
              I work with the Slough - the Six Mile Cypress
     Slough, which is a sort of, it filters water that goes out
     in Estero Bay and try and stop people from paving it, and I
     work with my church.
              Okav.
              Sir, if we could go back to where we started our
     discussion in terms of your taking over as vice president --
              Yes, ma'am.
10
              -- back in '90.
        Ω
11
              Mm-hmm.
              Can you just walk me through the three different
13
     business units of Alt Site and what, generally, their
     products were, their marketing plan, their market?
15
            Okay.
16
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
17
             THE WITNESS: There were three.
1.8
             One was Alternate Site Product Sales. That was the
19
         sales of everything that the hos- - well, the products
20
         that Hospital Products produced and anything that was
21
         not a hospital; home infusion companies, physicians'
22
        offices, cancer treatment centers, nursing homes,
        anything that was not a hospital.
24
             Home Infusion Services entered into business
        development agreements with hospitals to help them get
```

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 15 of 75

into the home infusion services business. We would do pharmacy for them; we would bill for them; we would do reimbursement for them. The patients came from those hospitals and went home and they would do the nursing and whatever other services were required. In Renal Care, initially we sold peritoneal dialysis solutions - peritoneal dialysis is an alternative to. you know, using blood where solutions are injected into the peritoneal cavity and detoxify the person. We had 10 no specific advantage of competence there, so we sold 11 that business to Frazaneous (sp.) in, perhaps, '93. And 12 our sole business there was Calcijex, which I've 13 articulated earlier the usefulness of that in helping 14 the people in end-stage renal disease make bone. It's a 15 great drug. Was the Renal Department also responsible for developing another product? Yes. There's a - one of the -- Yes. ma'am - the answer to your question is yes. 20 Okay. 21 What was that? Can you explain that? 22 The name of the product was Zemplar. 23 There are people who don't respond well to Calcijex 24 - it can me idiopathic - they just don't respond, and there are people who come in with very elevated levels of

In conjunction with the University of Wisconsin, which is world-renowned in these renal osteodystrophy drugs, they had another drug which they felt would bring down the levels of phosphorus faster so that bone deterioration wouldn't go on as long and that the people would respond better to. We licensed that drug. Our experience in clinicals and post-approval studies indicate that it is a much better drug, so we've - Zemplar is replacing Calcijex 10 as the drug of choice for renal osteodystrophy. 11 Okay. 12 So is it fair to say that that was a successful 13 then project or product development R and D-wise? 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You're asking for my opinion. 15 You were the vice president, so, yeah, I'd like 17 your thoughts. The drug works better. 19 MS. CITERA: Objection. 20 Okav. 21 THE WITNESS: But based upon data that I saw, which 22 are old data now, being seven years, since the drug -23 Zemplar is still out there and, as I understand it, 24 continue to perform well, it's a good second-generation 25 drug.

phosphorus that you want to get down guickly.

Page 54

Page 55

When you -- For the ten years that you were the vice president of the division, were you actively marketing Zemplar or were you still in the development stage? We were developing the product. The product wasn't launched until, perhaps, late '99 maybe. So it was launched on your tenure then. It was launched while I was there; yes, ma'am. 10 Other than Zemplar and Calcijex did the Renal Department have any other either products or business other than the sale of Calcijex? 13 Yes, they did. They promoted nutritionals for -- They promoted nutritionals for Ross Division. They would show the benefits --17 I believe that's yours (handing). 1.8 Thank you very much. 19 (Continuing) They would show the benefits of Ross 20 nutritional products to the people involved in nutrition at 21 the various renal care centers. 22 And one of the problems with people in end-stage renal disease is malnutrition, so Ross nutritional products were useful. How did the Renal Department interact with the Ross

Page 56 Division; how was that -- How did that relationship develop and how was it presented to customers? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: How was it presented to customers? Really, to a customer, Abbott is Abbott, I think in large part. Abbott has a Ross Division and Abbott had a Renal Division, that's how it was presented to our --Did the Renal Division have a sales force dedicated 10 to promoting Ross products? 11 Α No. Ω Okav. 13 How would the introduction of Ross products occur to the renal clients? 15 Our sales force would provide them literature. And 16 if they wanted to speak to an expert, they had to speak to 17 someone in Ross. 1.8 Merely we were trying to provide entree -- We 19 thought that these nutritional products would be useful -20 that it was a marketing opportunity and it was an 21 opportunity for better patient care. 22 And we would provide the nutritionists in the renal care centers with literature 24 Okav.

And was that literature that was developed by --

25

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 16 of 75

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

```
Page 57
              By Ross
              -- Ross?
              Okay.
              Other than the sale or other than the promotion of
    the Ross products and the sale of Calcijex and development
    of Zemplar, did the Renal Department have any other either
    business or did it serve any other business function?
             No; not to my knowledge.
             Okay.
10
              Was it a relatively small unit?
              I think, as I recall, in sales it may have been
    100, $120M, something like that.
13
              Annually?
         0
14
              Yes, ma'am.
              Was that during the entire ten-year tenure that you
    were there?
             No. It grew significantly.
        Α
             Okav.
              When did it grow?
             During the entire time I was there.
              Do you know what the reason for the growth was?
22
              Lamentably, more people with end-stage renal
23
    disease who could use the drug --
24
              Okay.
         0
25
              -- longer survival.
```

Obviously, if -- I don't want to -- We don't have

So they're living longer. Then, lamentably, there

Do you recall what the renal - how much renal - the

No. No, ma'am. Renal pro- -- No, ma'am, I don't

Do you remember when it reached approximately the

And is it fair to say that most of that revenue was

to get into great detail; people were living longer because

are more people coming on to - diabetics and hypertensives who now need renal dialysis. Well, when you get a faster

growth rate and you get people living longer, you get more

Renal Department was taking in when you first started?

Probably toward the end of my tenure.

generated from the sale of Calcijex?

Yes, ma'am. Mm-hmm.

In part, because of the Calcijex?

We like to think so; yes, ma'am.

they were getting better care.

Okay.

utilization of the drug.

recall specifically.

100 to \$120M mark?

Okay.

Α

Ω

Page 58

Page 59 Α No. No. No. -- by Alt Site? No. No. No. 0 Okav. That's sold by Amgen. But there have been a lot of -- My point is these people were living longer because of a lot of good drugs to help them. 10 What I'd like to do is circle back to the Alt Site -- Oh, let me ask you first. For Renal Care, were there marketing plans for Renal Care? How would you -- What do you mean by marketing 13 Α plan? 15 Meaning did the Alt Site Business Unit have written marketing plans for the Renal - for its renal operation? 17 We had sales plans which articulated how much we 18 expected them to sell; we had marketing plans to the extent 19 we told them how do you - you know, how one would use the 20 data to approach a customer where clinical conditions were 21 useful; when to put people on the drug; when to take them 22 off the drug. And can you take me through how you, as sort of the head, the vice president, understood the sales force did that; how they would implement that sales plan?

23 There are some great drugs out there. Epo (sp.) is 24 a really terrific drug for --25 Was that something that was sold by the Hospital --Page 60 Well, how they would implement the sales plan. As one implements a sales plan, you articulate the benefits and usefulness of your drug; you find out the numbers of patients, and you talk -- They really were the only ones in our groups that talked to practitioners - they talked to nephrologists - the other folks talked to business people mostly, contracted for -- But they talked to practitioners and they convince - what pharmaceutical reps do, they convinced the physician based upon data of the 10 utility of the drug. Many of them had -- Many of the 11 physicians obviously had experience with the drug, they knew what patients could benefit from it. 13 That's what we did. Did you have an understanding as to how the pricing 15 worked for Calcijex? 16 And when I say, "pricing," I mean how Abbott 17 determined how it would price Calcijex. 1.8 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: How we would price it? 20 I guess it's as one prices anything; what clinical 21 benefit does it provide to the patient, what is that 22 clinical benefit worth, and price it as a function of that

Did you have an understanding as to whether

d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Calcijex was a drug that was reimbursed by Medicaid or

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 17 of 75

```
Page 61
             My -- As I recall, Calcijex is reimbursed by
     Medicare --
         Ω
             Is that because it's a renal product?
            -- in the end-stage --
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             -- renal disease program. That's my understanding.
             Okav.
              Sir, I'd like to take you back to -- We've talked a
10
     little bit about Home Infusion, but we haven't talked that
     much about Alt Site --
12
             Product Sales.
13
             -- Product Sales.
14
              I guess I'd just like for you to give me, as sort
15
     of the vice president, what was Alt Site Product Sales?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: It was the sales of the products that
        Hospital Products Division produced in non-hospital
         settings.
             There was -- There was and it continues to be a
         trend in this country to send people out of hospital
22
         quicker, to want to treat people at home, if possible.
23
         There -- People say there are data - and this
24
         information may not be true, that people recover better
25
         at home.
```

We do now that there are large numbers of nosocomial infections that people who go into hospitals to get their tonsils out end up with catastrophic staph infections - we know that. So if we can get people out of the hospital, treat them home, it's felt that they'll recover better and it's less costly - it's a less costly environment than having them in the hospital. So taking advantage of this trend and the products 10 in Abbott's portfolio, we said, Well, why can't these -You know, these people can be infused by antibiotics at home. Others saw this, they opened home infusion companies and then that's how the industry evolved. 14 Who generally were the clients that you sold to or 15 that the Alt Site Products Sales sold to? Wholesalers and distributors of -- Well, one has to be aware that this was a line of nondifferentiable generic drugs. 19 You -- You --20 Q Can you explain that to me? 21 Well, that they were multi-source, that they were 22 off-patent. 23 As I understand, the patent is intended to teach 24 you how to make something, and if it doesn't teach you how 25 to make it, the patent's no good.

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 63
             So many, many people could make this drug; many,
    many people could marketed it.
             It was felt that we had a position where we had a
    broad array of these products which we used in hospitals, so
    we would have an opportunity as these procedures moved out
    of the hospital to sell them in the home market.
             And was there a marketing plan for Alt Site Product
    Sales, or were there marketing plans that were developed for
    Alt Site Product Sales?
1.0
            You know, one man's marketing is another man's
11
    sales --
        Ω
             Okav.
13
            -- the terms, by some, are interchangeable.
             Were there plans for these business units? Yes,
    they were. There were marketing plans for products; there
    were marketing plans for product lines, and there are sales
17
    and income plans for businesses.
18
             And we had those.
             And how were the sales and income plans developed
    for Alt Site Product Sales?
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
            THE WITNESS: You know, the same way everyone
        develops the first plan. You have a market of a
        specific size; you have capture versus losses; you have
         new customers; you have new products; you have the
```

```
Page 64
         effect of customers you lost last year.
             You throw this all up in a hat, a number comes out
         and that's your plan.
              Who was responsible for developing the sales and
     income plans for Alt Site Product Sales?
              The marketing managers and general managers of the
     individual business, and then we would confer and - we would
     confer and then we would present these numbers to the
     division --
10
         Ω
              Okay.
11
            - for their approval.
             Okay.
13
              When you say the marketing manager --
              The marketing managers and the general managers
     within the business units; they conferred, they came up with
16
     a number or they came up with a plan, you know, and then I
17
     talked to them, we'd reach an agreement, we'd go to the
1.8
     division, ask to the division's agreement and then that was
19
     our plan.
20
         0
21
              When you say the individual businesses, do you mean
22
     the individual businesses within the Alt Site?
             That's correct
24
         O Okav.
              So would the general managers then develop the
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 18 of 75

```
Page 65
     sales plan?
             I'd meet with Mike King and we would talk about
    what his plan's going to be for next year, we'd come to a
    agreement on what it would be; I'd meet with Bill Dempsey
    and his staff and we would discuss it and come to an
    agreement, and I'd meet with Mike Sellers or John Ward and
     their staff and they would go through the logic of the new
    plan.
             Okav.
10
              Would these be written proposals?
              Sales plans for subsequent year are written; yes,
    ma'am.
13
              And who would maintain the sales plans?
14
              I don't understand the word "maintain."
              Where would they been kept? Would they be
    physically --
             They would be kept --
              -- filed somewhere?
              -- by the division, they would be kept by the
     individual business and they would be kept by me.
21
             MR. STETLER: Dan, make sure you let her finish her
22
        question. You're cutting her off quite a bit.
23
              I'm sorry. I apologize for that.
24
             That's okay.
25
              When you say, "kept by me" --
```

```
Page 66
             MR. STETLER: Apologize to her (indicating).
             THE WITNESS: Excuse me, ma'am.
             COURT REPORTER: It's okay. Thank you.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              When you say, "kept by me," did you have a file or
     file cabinets where you -- Well, I want to find out,
     understand where you physically maintained the records or
     kept the records --
              Oh --
10
              -- of the sales plans.
              -- they would be in a file cabinet in my office.
12
              And when you retired, do you know what happened to
13
     the file cabinet?
14
         Α
              No, ma'am.
15
              Okay.
16
              Let me ask you. As -- Did you -- I understand that
17
     as the chief you probably had a wide variety of
     responsibilities, but can you identify what your
19
     responsibilities were as the divisional vice president?
20
              To supervise each of the three individual
21
     businesses and to ensure that we maximized the opportunity
22
     that those businesses provided to Abbott shareholders, and
23
     to do it, you know, obviously in a forthright and with
24
     integrity -- In a forthright way and with integrity.
25
             Did you have any direct responsibility for pricing
```

```
Page 67
    of products either - well, within any of the three business
    units in Alt Site.
            Direct responsibility?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: We would look -- I would look at
        pricing.
            My concern was -- When you talk about pricing there
         are large numbers of what does pricing mean.
            My concern was the prices to end customers because
10
         that's what comes on the check, what the customer paid
         you for the product; to ensure that they were fair, that
         they were within the limits of our competitive
         environment and that they would allow us to gain share.
             How would you gauge what was within the limits of
    your competitive environment?
16
             Well, people would tell me what competitors - you
17
    know, we'd have some competitive pricing and customers were
18
    forthcoming, generally, with prices that our competitors
19
    were charging them.
20
            How would the sales of Alt Site products --
21
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Strike that.
22
            How would you compete with your competitors in
    terms of pricing Alt Site products?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: We had a wide variety or a wide array
```

```
Page 68
         of products. We had a very high quality product line
         and we tried to take advantage of the high quality of
         our products and the broad array of our line to make it
         beneficial for a customer to do business with us.
              You mentioned before that Renal sold - actually
     sold directly to physicians.
             MS. CITERA: Object to the form.
             THE WITNESS: Renal Care salespeople called on
         physicians --
10
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
             THE WITNESS: -- and, you know, who the ultimate
11
         decision maker was - you may have eight physicians in a
         practice, only one of them decides.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
             THE WITNESS: So, yes, we called on physicians, but
16
         we may not have always called on decision makers.
17
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
1.8
              For Alt Site Product Sales, who would your sales
19
20
              Well, hopefully, decision makers, people within
21
     the --
22
         Ω
              Okav.
              -- distributors or wholesalers who resolved with
     whom they were going to have contracts - that sort of thing.
```

We -- We didn't call on practitioners or

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 19 of 75

```
Page 69
    physicians.
             How did the relationships with the distributors and
    the wholesalers work in terms of sales?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Once again, we would take array --
         We'd try to take advantage of our broad array of
         products and present a whole package to the distributor
         or wholesaler or pharmaceutic benefit manager, which our
        competitors couldn't do because we had a broad array of
10
11
            Now, these products are generic, and you need to
         understand that wholesalers don't create demand --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
14
            THE WITNESS: -- distributors don't create demand.
15
        The demand is there - these are generic products. A
         doctor knows why amoxicillin is used; we didn't go out
        and call on doctors and tell them why amoxicillin is a
         annd drua.
             We just -- We merely provided these
         well-characterized, well-known products in a broad array
         to distributors and wholesalers who then sold to
22
         physicians in this milieu.
23
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
24
             How would you go about creating then demand for
    Abbott products - Abbott generic products?
```

```
We did not create demand for Abbott generic
     products.
         0
              Would pricing of products by Abbott impact the
     demand for an Abbott product?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Demand for an Abbott product?
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yes; as opposed to, for
         example, a Baxter comparable product.
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
10
             THE WITNESS: An individual product, I don't know.
         I don't know that - I don't know the answer to that.
12
             That was not our strength. It was not our desire to
13
         sell very low prices.
14
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
15
             Okay.
              What do you mean by that?
              Well, our products were costly to make, they were
     of quality, and, sometimes, you have to get out there and
19
     sell that quality and sell better patient care as averse to
20
     selling price.
21
              I didn't want to sell price. We didn't have to.
22
     We had good products.
23
              Okay.
24
              Sir, in Alt Site, as I understand it - and I'm
25
     still learning this and you know that's why I'm looking to
```

Page 70

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fe

```
Page 71
    you a little bit to teach me - how was the sales force
    divided up; were there national accounts and then regional
    accounts?
             We did have national accounts and we had local
    salespeople.
             And how was the sales force division of labor
    broken up?
             National accounts managers were responsible for
    contracting with national accounts; the local sales reps
10
    were responsible for going in and implementing these
11
    contracts.
              Now, an account could have had contracts with
13
    multiple companies --
             Okay.
              -- for example, we had a line of ambulatory
    infusion pumps which were really great - you know, the
17
    patient doesn't have to have this big old pole and, you
18
    know, a pump the size of this desk (indicating) and be stuck
19
    to a hospital bed; they can clip it on their belt and be
20
    ambulatory and walk around with a little bag - it's really
21
    great for them, and we had a line of those pumps.
22
              But these home infusion companies had contracts
    with many companies, so it was the local rep's
    responsibility to go in and make sure they articulated the
    benefits of our product and that we - they used our pump
```

```
Page 72
     instead of somebody else's.
              Okay.
              Can you remember what some of the large national
     accounts were?
              Oh, golly.
             I don't mean this to be a memory exercise, but to
     the extent you remember.
              You know, the names specifically - and what happens
     is they tend to - this industry tended to consolidate and
10
     the customers were getting bigger - but if I recall some of
11
     the names, Coram was a big customer of ours - golly, I don't
     - Cardinal, Medco - you know these sorts of companies, PBMs
13
     - they're a lot of them.
              I'm sorry. I can't remember them by name.
              Would Alt Site products sell to GPOs?
16
              Group Purchasing Organizations; yes, they were
17
     customers.
1.8
19
              And do you remember what some of the GPOs were?
20
              No. ma'am. I don't.
21
              I remember one was in Little Rock, Arkansas - gosh,
22
     it was a big one, I wish I could remember the name of it.
              I don't remember the names of the GPOs
             And I'd like to circle back to the explanation --
              Now, once again, the customer could be a member of
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 20 of 75

Okav.

```
multiple GPOs - a customer could be a customer of multiple
     GPOs, too.
         0
              Okay.
              And so that actually leads into my next question.
              For the local sales force, was it their
     responsibility to ensure that Abbott's products were being
     purchased over other products because these local customers
     might be involved in several GPOs?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Yes; that is job for a salesman --
11
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
             THE WITNESS: -- yes, ma'am.
13
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
14
              And that's -- Those were the responsibilities for
15
     the regional sales force?
              For the local salespeople, yeah; to ensure that
     they bought off our contract first versus the contract that
     they may have had with another.
              Were there any just regional sales contracts as
     opposed to national accounts?
21
              There may have been, but I don't recall
22
     specifically.
23
              Was it a large segments of the business, do you
24
     recall?
25
             I don't know. I don't remember.
```

```
Is it fair to say that primarily Abbott Alt Site
     Product Sales sold on to national accounts?
              That if one were to add up the sales to national
     accounts versus local accounts. I don't have those data -
     I'm sure this data could be made available to you.
              But I don't specifically recall what the split was
     national accounts versus local accounts versus regional
     accounts. I don't recall that.
10
              Do you recall in general how much the annual
     revenue was for Alt Site Product Sales during your tenure?
12
              It may have gotten to 150 million when I left - not
13
     big numbers by Abbott's standards of a $20B corporation, but
14
     we were kind of proud of it.
15
              Okay.
16
              When you came on do you recall approximately what
17
     the annual dollar volume was for Alt Site Product Sales?
              Maybe 20 million, if I remember.
19
         Ο
              Wow. So that's a pretty big jump then --
20
              Well --
21
              -- while you were --
22
              Well, DRGs were very helpful.
23
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
24
              When you say, "DRGs were very helpful," what do you
25
     mean?
```

Page 74

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 75
              Well, people left the hospital early and needed
    treatment at home. And then this became their regardant;
    let people out of the hospital earlier, get them out of
    here.
              So the treatment at home became important, so
    therefore, the market for high quality, reliable products
    outside the hospital grew significantly.
             Other than a shift from hospital markets to more of
    an in-home care arrangement or alt sites, can you provide
    any explanation as to how in ten years a $20M business can
    grow into a $150M?
        Δ
             New products, ma'am.
13
         0
             New products? Okay.
             Yes.
              And, once again, I don't remember the break out
    specifically.
17
              Was vancomycin a new product?
1.8
              Vancomycin? No, that was one of our generics, I
19
    believe, one of our generic products.
20
              Okav.
21
              Do you recall whether the vancomycin market grew in
22
    Alt Site Product Sales during your tenure?
             Vancomycin market grew.
              For a specific drug, without going back and
    reviewing the clinic- -- What you've got to do is review the
```

Page 76 clinical states, ma'am. If those clinical states increased or if the claims for the drug increased -- In other words, if you don't have a claim for a drug, you don't sell anything for that disease. If you go out and do the clinical study and get a claim for that drug, the sales of the drug can grow significantly, although it's the same you know, you have to have a claim. What do you mean by that, "you have to have a 10 claim"? 11 If, for example, you get - let's use some ridiculous example - that vancomycin works again- -- You 13 don't have a claim that it works against E. coli, it will not be used for that. 15 Ω Okay. 16 You don't sell off-label claims. 17 So if we go out and do the clinicals and suddenly 18 we get a claim that vancomycin works against E. coli and now 19 we have a new claim for that, we can go out and sell that 20 and sales will increase for the same product because you 21 have a new market fundamentally. 22 0 Do you remember what some of the claims were for 23 the utility of vancomycin? 24 A No, ma'am. 25 Do you remember vancomycin being an important

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 21 of 75

```
Page 77
    product for the Alt Site Product Sales?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I remember it being one of them.
             Okav.
             What were some of the other products that were
    important?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: You know, once again, I apologize.
        After so many years and clinical medicine's not my
         deal --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
            THE WITNESS: -- If one were to artic -- I have a
13
         latent memory of these things. If you mention a drug
14
         like vanco, sure, I remember; if - some of the others,
         if you were to mention them, I'm sure I'd remember them.
    BY MS ST PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Okav.
             Were sales of -- Well, we've already established
    that sales of Calcijex were very important to the Renal
20
    Department --
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
            THE WITNESS: But that was all they sold.
23
             Huh? That's all they sold. Yeah.
24
             Yes, ma'am.
             But they did so successfully, it sounds like.
```

said --No, ma'am. No, ma'am. We didn't develop a market. This is a good drug. Renal osteodystrophy is a dreadful disease. We spent the money. We got the claim. We marketed the drug. And I misspoke. I apologize. You were very successful in developing a product to 10 11 Right. The need was there and we met it with a 12 good drug. 13 I don't mean to be a nitpicker, but --14 No. No. 15 -- that's what happens. Believe me, I want you to clarify my questions for 17 me. Okav? Were there large volume products that you can 19 recall that Alt Site Product Sales sold? 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Individual products, no, ma'am. 22 What about dextrose solutions, do you recall those? 23 Yes, ma'am; the bane of my existence. 24 Oh, how so? 25 Did you ever try to go out and sell sugar water?

MS. CITERA: Objection to form.

I mean, you developed a market and by the end, you

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 79
             Well, Starbucks does it pretty successfully?
             They do.
             We were selling -- You know, we'd sell a litre of
    sodium chloride sterile solution for less than a liter of
    Perrier, and Perrier had benzene in it, and our stuff was
    less expensive.
             Yes, ma'am, I'm familiar with dextrose and I'm
    familiar with sodium chloride.
             Were dextrose and sodium chloride large volume
10
    sales --
            They're diluents.
             -- for Alt Site products?
13
             They're diluents.
             These are injectable drugs. You inject the drug
    into the I.V. bag, and then in the diluted form, the drug is
    infused in the individual.
17
             Okav.
1.8
             So they were important for that dilutent purpose.
19
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: As I understand it, ma'am, clinically
21
         the drug has to be diluted in the solution.
             And it was something that the Alt Site market or
    the Alt Site customers would use with some frequency?
            To my --
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
```

```
Page 80
             THE WITNESS: -- In my -- As I recall, ves.
              Okay.
              And is it fair to say that Abbott Alt Site had
     competitors in the alt site market for dextrose and sodium
     chloride?
         Α
             Yes, ma'am.
              Okay.
              How would Abbott go about or how would the Alt Site
     Unit go about determining how it was going to compete for
10
     the dextrose or sodium chloride market?
11
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: The products are undifferentiable.
13
         You try to take advantage of your relationship with the
         customer - if you have a good relationship; if your
         service has been good and you've always performed well
16
         for them in that regard; if your product line is broad
17
         and they can satisfy many of their requirements with
1.8
         you, and you just try to satisfy that customer as best
19
         you can with service and quality product.
20
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
21
             THE WITNESS: And you had to keep your service
22
         levels up and you had to provide quality product all the
         time, you know.
24
             In this life, if you want loyalty, buy a cocker
         spaniel. Customers left if you had a product problems,
```

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 22 of 75

Page 81 customers left if you had supply issues, so you had to make sure that you did a better job than your competitors of these - especially with these multi-source, undifferentiable drugs of doing a good job of service and availability BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: And I'm assuming doing that good job, you always did that with an aim towards your responsibility to the shareholders to try and maximize as best you could the 10 profits for your units? 11 Well, ma'am, there are a lot of people who are 12 stakeholders at Abbott; the patient who receives the drug, 13 the customer that buys this drug, the people who make the 14 product - there are a lot of stakeholders. 15 Our goal is high quality health care and to provide a reasonable return to our shareholders, and I don't think you have to apologize for that - that's what we do - --Okav. Α -- otherwise, they'd invest someplace else and our 20 company, you know, doesn't do well. 21 Other than the --22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Strike that. 23 Let me go on a different route. 24 We've talked a little about Alt Site Product Sales. What was your understanding of the business model for the

detail than what we've discussed already how that worked? There were hospitals who were releasing patients to third-party home infusion companies - in other words, Children's Hospital in Chicago would release a young patient to a home infusion company - and Children's is maybe an extreme example because they get really sick kids - and they'd do the best they could and then they'd turn them over to this third party. 10 Well, it was their desire to treat this patient to 11 make sure that the clinical progress of this patient was 12 steady and that they were treated well, so they decided they 13 wanted to be in the home infusion business, but they didn't 14 have a pharmacy, they didn't know how to bill or reimburse 15 for it, they didn't have contracts for product. So we would go to them and say, Well, we'll 17 compound the product for you; we'll do the billing and 18 reimbursement for you; we'll provide all the products you 19 need, including non-Abbott product, and you do what you do 20 best, provide clinical care to these sick kids. So that 21 model developed. 22 And then, as I articulated to you before, this 23 business is - this is bad business, so we would try to 24 off-load, as soon as it was practical, some of the other 25 responsibilities - some of the responsibilities back onto

Home Infusion Unit; can you explain in a little bit more

Page 84

Page 82

Page 83

They opened up their pharmacy - their own pharmacy - thank God - in the northwest suburbs and we're able to compound and provide these drugs to the kids. We still gave them all the drugs. And then what would happen when they started doing the compounding, our percentage of the collections would go down and then, hopefully, at some point

Children's Hospital.

then we would merely provide them product. 10 So our goals were to wean them off our services because these are very unprofitable and just get them using our product and, perhaps, the CHIP system that I mentioned

in time they would take over billing and reimbursement and

before, if there were an opportunity to sell that.

Why was this business model unprofitable?

The business model was unprofitable because it was a very easy-in business so that people -- You know, you could set up a pharmacy - it's a service business so it wasn't very costly. And many very good organizations got into it because since it was so easy to get in, there may have been more schlak (sp.) artists in this business than

21 others. So you'd want -- If you wanted quality patient care 22 you either did it yourself or signed up with an organization

that you knew provided quality. So that's how it happened.

0 And --

11

15

17

18

19

20

And once you let them out, you don't want them --

The hospitals, once they let them out, they don't want them

back - they don't want them getting infected by the port

sites or their I.V.s. especially, as an example, like

children, you've worked so hard to get these kids well; if

they're on chemo at home, you don't want them back with

infections and those sorts of things.

Now, you said that the Home Infusion Business Unit sold even products that were not Abbott products?

Yes, ma'am.

How would that work?

Δ Our agreement was to get a percentage of

13 collections.

10

11

24

14 So we would buy, for example, Rocephin; if a person 15 had Lyme's disease, it was required that they be infused 16 with Rocephin. We didn't buy that -- We didn't manufacture 17 that product, it was a proprietary product of Roche, we

1.8 would buy it.

19 Would you buy it as part of a group purchasing 20 organization?

21 Yeah; I mean I'm sure we were part of various group 22 purchasing organizations where we bought the drug.

Okav

What about other products from Abbott -

manufactured by Abbott affiliates, like TAP and Lupron;

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 23 of 75

marketing department?

```
Page 85
    would the Home Infusion Unit provide Lupron?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I don't ever recall having provided
        Lupron.
             Do you recall whether the Alt Site Business Unit
    ever priced or sold Lupron?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: To my -- No, we never sold -- To my
         knowledge, we never sold Lupron. It's been a long time,
         but I don't ever recall selling Lupron.
            We didn't -- They did that themselves.
             Who's they?
             TAP
14
             Oh, TAP. Okay.
             How would the customer, the home infusion customers
    be approached by Home Infusion; did --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Let me strike that.
             Did Home Infusion have a sales force?
             A very small sales force; yes.
             And did they have a contract marketing division?
21
             Not contract marketing; no, ma'am. There were
22
    individuals responsible for working out the contracts, you
23
    know, to make sure they were viable.
24
             I mean, we made proposals to these folks.
25
             Did Alt Site Products Sales have a contract
```

Yes; we had a small contracting group. I don't remember how many people were there. One of the things that was important was that the pricing would be proper for customers or you couldn't get business - as I said before - with multi-source injectable pharmaceuticals. Ο Okay. In terms of the Home Infusion sales force, would 10 they just make cold calls upon hospitals to discuss developing the home infusion --12 Home infusion services? 13 Yes 14 No. They -- How they prospected, I don't really 15 recall. You know, obviously you go to the big dogs, the big hospitals that don't have their own home infusion business 17 and they're sending out to others and approach them on it. Do you recall whether there were some clients that 19 were like that? 20 Oh, yeah. We did that. 21 Do you remember the names of the hospitals? 22 I don't -- I'm sure many of the large hospitals. I 23 remember going on calls - Lahey Clinic in Boston was in, you 24 know, many large customers wanted to do this. 25 But, once again, my concern was getting the

Page 86

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

-100-29-200111C

```
Page 87
    profitability, that business up, and getting our customers
    to do their own stuff - you know, their own ancillary
    services.
        0
            Let me circle back to an issue that we just touched
    upon.
             Do you recall whether Abbott either Home Infusion
    or Abbott's Home Infusion pharmacies would dispense Lupron?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I believe TAP had an organization
1.0
         named TAP Care which did that. We didn't do that.
             Okay.
             Would Abbott Home Infusion work with TAP Care?
             Not my knowledge.
             Do you know whether Abbott Home Infusion would seek
    reimbursement for Lupron?
16
            MS. CITER: Objection to form.
17
            THE WITNESS: Seek reimbursement for Lupron? I
         can't imagine a reason why.
19
            No, ma'am; I don't think so.
20
             Okav.
21
             With regard to the Alt Site pharmacies - the
22
    pharmacies, themselves, who were the Home Infusion Services
    clients for those pharmacies?
        A Hospitals.
             Okay.
```

```
Page 88
              Just hospitals?
            Generally just hospitals; yes, ma'am.
              Would you ever have a situation where physicians
     would, you know, have patients work directly with Abbott's
     pharmacies?
             The only patients I recall dealing directly with
     were those whose lifetime benefit had expired, and we had
     several of those. Specifically, those were total parenteral
     nutrition patients; their lifetime benefits had expired and
10
     we couldn't get paid any longer, so we continued to do it
11
     pro bono, and we dealt directly with them.
         O When you say, "lifetime benefits had expired," what
13
     do vou mean?
14
              That means a lifetime benefit, what their insurance
15
     companies would pay - it may be $200,000, it may be $250,000
16
     and their lifetime benefit expired - they had surpassed that
17
     number and the insurance company would no longer pay; well,
1.8
     we would deal directly with the patient and continue to
19
     provide the product.
20
              Okav.
21
              Do you recall whether there were sales plans or
22
     marketing plans for the Alt Site Home Infusion business?
             Yes, ma'am, there were.
24
             There were?
              Were those similarly reviewed by you - developed by
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 24 of 75

```
Page 89
    the general manager and then reviewed by you?
             The sales plans? Yes; that would be how we would
    do it.
         Ω
             Did you keep copies in your office?
             Of their sales plans?
         Α
             Yes.
         0
             Yeah.
         Α
             Okay.
             Sir, do you ever remember receiving memoranda,
10
    either a memorandum or memoranda asking you to retain
    documents because litigation was ongoing?
12
             I may have - I don't specifically remember, but I
13
    mav have.
14
             If you did receive that, what would you do with
15
    your records or documents?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: If I had received that?
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yeah.
            THE WITNESS: I'd retain them.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
            THE WITNESS: Compliance with the requests of my
22
         supervisor at Abbott was not optional.
23
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
24
            THE WITNESS: If they were to be retained, they were
25
         retained
```

```
Page 90
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Okav.
              What about do you recall as you sit here today
     furnishing any documents to - incident to one of those
             Any -- No, ma'am, I don't. But any document that I
     had with regard to a sales plan are - my supervisor would
     also have had.
         Q Oh, okay.
10
              So do you send all the sales plans up to the
12
              Well, he would have had copies of those; yes,
13
     ma'am
14
              Okay.
15
              So Mr. Kringel, initially, and then Mr. Gonzalez?
         A Yes; they would have copies of our sales plans.
              Would you discuss the sales plans with either
     Mr. Kringel or Mr. Gonzalez?
19
              Either Mr. Kringel or Mr. Gonzalez would approve
20
     our sales plan and then we would present it to the
21
     corporation, and after corporate approval it would become
22
     cast in stone as our sales plan.
23
             Okay.
24
              Who would -- And would this be done on an annual
25
     hasis?
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 91

The sales plan would be done on an annual basis and it would be updated. How frequently would it be updated? In April and August. In April and August? And who in corporate would sign off on the sales plans for your business units? As I recall, Hodgson, the president of the corporation. 10 Mr. Hodgson would? Mr. Hodgson, yes. Okav 13 And who else was the president of the corporation while you were there? While I worked for the corporation? Boy, there must have been - there were a lot of them; Kirk Robb, Jim 17 Vincent, Jack Schuler - there were at least four. 18 Okay. 19 Did you ever work with Miles White? 20 21 Okay. 22 Did you work with Mr. White when you were in Diagnostics at all? No -- Oh - hold on - yes; I made a sales call with

Mr. White probably in 1983 on the largest customer we had -

Page 92 he was in national accounts then and I had Physiological Diagnostics - we made a sales call on MetPath in Teterboro, New Jersey, and Mr. White accompanied me on that call. Okav. Do you recall when Mr. White took over as president of the company? Probably in 1999 or --Okay. -- 2000. Δ 10 Do you remember who preceded him? 11 Who preceded him as president? It may have been Jack Schuler - I don't even remember - Mr Schuler as 13 president? Okay. 15 So when Mr. White --16 No - excuse me - Tom Hodgson was president. 17 Tom Hodgson; okay. 1.8 So when Mr. White took over in '99 and 2- --20 Mr White is CEO 21 Ο Is CEO. 22 Right. Α Okav. Ω Would he sign off on the plans?

I don't know if he dealt in the level of detail

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 25 of 75

```
Page 93
     that would include a business like Alternate Site Product
     Sales or Home Infusion Services.
              I am sure that, as president -- Well, the president
     was a gentleman named Bob Parkinson - Mr. White was
     chairman. Mr. Parkinson, I'm sure would -- But whether
    Mr. White as chairman would sign off at that level of
     detail, I doubt -- I don't know.
             Okay.
              What about you talked earlier about trying to phase
     out of the Home Infusion Business Unit --
11
              Yes, ma'am.
              -- would that be a decision that would need to be
13
     made above you?
14
         Α
             Yes, it would.
              Who would you expect would need to be consulted and
     conferred with and ultimately make that decision?
             Mr. Kringel or Mr. Gonzalez, and then probably
    Mr. Hodgson or Mr. Parkinson.
             COURT REPORTER: Give me one second.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okav.
             Why don't we take a brief break.
22
             VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
23
             (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)
             VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
```

```
Page 94
              Mr. Robertson, I'd like to talk with you about
     different folks that you worked with, but before I did that,
     I have to tell you, I don't have a business background, and
     you've sold product all over the world on behalf of Abbott.
              I wanted to understand a little bit more about how
     Alt Site went about differentiating its product - its
     hospital products from those of its competitors - are you
     with me?
             Mm-hmm.
10
              You mentioned earlier that, you know, dextrose is -
     I think you used the word loss leader?
12
              No, ma'am --
13
              Oh, I'm sorry.
14
              -- I didn't use that word.
              It's sugar water.
              Okay. It's sugar water.
              Yes, ma'am.
         Ο
              I mean, are there different qualities of sugar
19
     water?
20
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
21
              You know, like is Abbott's product that different
22
     from, say, you know Baxter's product on sodium chloride or
23
     dextrose?
24
             Not highly different; no, ma'am.
25
```

Page 96 and our competitor's out there for 40 cents, there will be no profitability --MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I got you. I got you. THE WITNESS: -- we have to be competitive with BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Is it fair to say that sort of the -- But -- Was

the benefit of using your product to the customer an important consideration for Alt Site Product Sales? 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: The benefit of using our product was 11

You know, these products are very inexpensive --

MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.

the service and breadth of product line.

THE WITNESS: -- right?

0

Okay.

16 In a home infusion environment, if you've got a 17 nurse whose fully burdened cost is \$60 an hour, whether 1.8 you're paying a dollar and a quarter or a dollar-forty 19 for an injectable pharmaceutical is not going to make or 20 21

What could make or break is the fact that when that I.V. being gets to the customer's house, it hasn't broken; when the vial of injectable drug gets there, it opens properly and doesn't snap; that the person that you order this from is pleasant and professional -

Page 95 How do you go about then sort of as the head or how did Alt Site as a business unit go about differentiating its product so that it was competitive? MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Well, the product was always competitive. It's a good product; it's just difficult Once again, we tried to accentuate the quality of our product, the constant availability of our product, our service and the breadth of product line. Okay. So you've got service. Mm-hmm. Now, was the profitability to the customer an important factor in how Abbott went about selling these sort of large volume hospital products? 17 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: Profitability to the customer was - we 19 couldn't do anything about that. I mean, our profitability was - that's a competitive milieu there, 21 and our concern was our profitability versus our 22 competitors. MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay, I got you. Okay. THE WITNESS: If we're out there -- If we're out there trying to sell a liter of dextrose for two bucks

22

24

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 26 of 75

Okav.

advantages to gain business.

```
that's what matters. And we couldn't impact the
         profitability of our customers. These drugs are -
         indiluents are trivial --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okav.
            THE WITNESS: -- in their overall situation.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             But in terms of differentiating your product from.
    say, Baxter's product for sodium chloride or dextrose, for
    example, you mentioned that price --
              -- was one way --
              -- we --
              -- to differentiate.
             We would not do that, ma'am.
             Oh, you wouldn't. Okay.
             Baxter doesn't have a line of injectable
    pharmaceuticals.
             We'd say. We're a one-stop shop here. Why would
    you do business with Baxter and have to make two orders when
    you can call us and make one?
             Oh, okav.
22
             So it was the nature of the product line?
23
             Nature of the product line, the breadth of the
    product line and our ability to fulfill more of the
    customer's needs their our competitors.
```

bags that Abbott sold as compared to those that Baxter sold or were they just completely different products? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Well, would there be a direct --10 Customers would want to say, Well, Baxter will charge me this and you're charging me that. 12 And we would have to say, Yeah, but if you do 13 business with Baxter, you're going to get two delivery 14 charges; it's costing you 11 bucks to cut an invoice; 15 it's costing you another 15 bucks to cut the check. Those charges go away if you do it with us because you've got one bill, you know, one purchase order, one invoice, and our service tended to be superior because 19 we paid a lot of attention to that. So we tried to 20 differentiate in that regard. 21 THE WITNESS: Price -- I'm not trying to downgrade 22 price - price is important - but our products were 23 trivial in the total cost picture of these companies. 24 We were not -- If someone was inefficiently dealing with 25 a \$60.00 an hour fully-loaded nurse, whether he was

We tended to use those specific competences and

Well, then would there then be a direct price

competition between, say, sodium chloride bags or dextrose

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 99
         paying a buck-forty or a buck-twenty-five for an
         injectable was not going to solve his problem of
         profitability.
             Well, would reimbursement for Abbott products be
    something that factored into an Abbott customer's analysis
    of whether to go with an Abbott product as opposed to a
    Baxter product?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
            THE WITNESS: Would reimbur- -- I -- There would be
10
         many issues that would lead them to one product or
         another - I don't know -- I don't know. I don't believe
         that a customer would say that -- I don't know the
        answer to your question. Perhaps, perhaps not; I --
             Well, let me ask it more generally.
              In developing marketing plans for initiatives or
    sales plans for initiatives overall for Alt Site --
17
18
              -- would reimbursement at all be a factor - would
19
    reimbursement to the customer at all be a factor in how Alt
20
    Site developed its plans?
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
            THE WITNESS: Well, we would have to have
         reimbursement. I mean, there would have to reimburse
         for the --
             So it would have to be a reimbursable product.
```

```
Page 100
              Reimbursable product.
              What about the level of reimbursement, was that a
     consideration?
             I don't -- I don't think we considered that a
     market based upon a level of reimbursement.
              I mean, our problem was what our competitors
     charged versus what we charged and whether or not we could,
     you know, get the business.
              I -- They had to be reimbursed for the product.
10
              So reimbursement was important if that regard.
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Well, if the customer didn't get
         reimbursed for the product there would be no utilization
         of the products so the problem goes away.
16
              Do you know whether there were different
17
     reimbursement levels between Abbott products and comparable
1.8
     Baxter products?
19
              No. It was the same product.
20
              Do you know whether there were different
21
     reimbursement rates, though, by other third-party payors or
22
     states or the Medicare program?
              There -- As I re- -- There may have been - I mean.
     I'm sure there were. There were probably different levels
     of reimbursement for product. If product is a function of
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 27 of 75

```
cost or charges - if there are different charges for
    different product, there's going to be different levels of
    reimbursement
             Okay.
             Do you know whether that was - the different levels
    of reimbursement factored into the Alt Site's customer base
    in terms of making a decision as to whether to go with
    Abbott products as compared to Baxter products?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
10
            THE WITNESS: I -- Ma'am, you'd have to ask the
11
         customers that. We marketed based on our -- You know, I
         don't know what the customers are thinking. We market a
13
         product based upon a competitive environment.
14
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Well --
            THE WITNESS: We never marketed to a customer by
         saving. You'd make more money with this product - is
         that your question?
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             In part.
20
             Did, you know, the reimbursement to the customer
21
    factor into the sales plans developed by Alt Site?
22
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
23
            THE WITNESS: No.
24
            Not at all?
             The reimbursement to the customer --
```

```
MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: -- we never discussed that.
             We marketed based upon the quality of our products.
              You never discussed reimbursement?
            T never did --
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: -- personally. I don't know if others
         did, but I didn't.
             Do you know whether the sales forces at all did?
10
              Not -- Not to my knowledge.
             Do you know whether Medicaid or Medicare
12
     reimbursement at all was considered by Alt Site customers in
13
     making decisions about whether to go with Abbott products as
14
     compared to a competitor's generic?
15
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: It may have been; I don't know. I
17
         can't speak for them.
            Did anyone bring reimbursement issues to your
19
     attention as being a factor that customers considered?
20
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
             THE WITNESS: -- not that I remember.
23
             But, once again, these drugs are trivial in their
24
         total cost picture. It may very well -- You know, it
25
         may very well have been, I just don't recall.
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fe

```
Page 103
             Do you whether other -- We're talking about
    sodium -- When you say, "trivial," I take it you're talking
    about the sodium chloride and dextrose?
             And I'm talking about the drugs.
             Okay.
             Including like, for example, vancomycin?
             The drugs aren't a big -- I don't recall what the
    pricing was. But these drugs, based upon their total cost
    basis for providing patient care, were trivial.
1.0
             Okay.
             I mean --
             Do vou know --
             -- do you access to those data? I don't know.
             Well, do you know whether --
            MS. CITERA: Could you guys not speak over each
        other?
            You're both doing it.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I'm sorry.
            MS. CITERA: Thank you.
            MR. STETLER: Wait for her to finish her question.
21
            THE WITNESS: Okay.
22
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Do you know whether reimbursement, for example, of
    vancomycin - reimbursement levels of vancomycin was an
    important consideration to Abbott Alt Site customers who
```

```
Page 104
     were purchasing vancomycin?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: It may have been. Reimbursement is a
         critical issue, so I assume that reimbursement for vanco
         was important to the customer.
              Okay.
              When you say, "reimbursement was a critical issue,"
            I didn't say it was a critical issue, ma'am, I said
10
     it was important --
11
              Okay.
              -- to a customer because they want to recover their
13
     cost, I assume.
15
              Do you know whether they want to recover more than
16
     their cost?
17
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
1.8
             THE WITNESS: Once again, I can't speak for them.
19
             I mean, they want to make money - I know - I would
20
         know that; yes, they would.
21
              Do you know whether the Alt Site sales force when
22
     working with customers would discuss levels of reimbursement
     for Abbott products?
24
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
25
             THE WITNESS: Levels of reimbursement for Abbott
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 28 of 75

```
products were never a way we sold - were not a way we
         sold. No one of told them to sell that way, to my
         knowledge. They were told to sell based upon the
         quality of our products.
             But do you know whether they may have used
    reimbursement levels as a way to market Abbott products?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I have -- I don't know that. They
         were never instructed to do that.
            I don't know that, that anybody did.
            They could have but you just don't know?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
13
            THE WITNESS: I don't know whether they - whether
14
         they ever did that. I mean, I don't know.
15
             Sir, you testified earlier -- I'd like to go over
    sort of a list of names of folks to see if you've worked
    with them or are familiar with them.
             Did you work with Mr. White at all when he - after
20
    he assumed the helm in '99?
21
22
             Do you know whether the Home Infusion Business Unit
23
    was ever formally shut down?
24
        Δ
             No --
25
         0
             Okav.
```

-- I have not spoken to anybody in that business. vou know? 0 Okay. Are you aware that the Hospital Products Division spun off to another company called Hospira? Hospira; yes, ma'am. Okav. How are you familiar with that? I read it in the newspaper. 10 Mr. Gonzalez; what was your day-to-day interaction with him? 12 Mr. Gonzalez --13 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: -- I reported to Mr. Gonzalez. 14 15 How much -- What would you -- What would reporting 17 to go him involve? I would submit plans and updates on my business to Mr. Gonzalez. I would see him infrequently. 20 0 21 If he had any questions, he would ask them of me -22 I had an occasional one-on-one conversation with him. And 23 we would run the business based on the numbers. If I had a 24 problem and needed his help, I would contact him. 25 What do you mean when you say you ran the business

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b11

Page 106

```
Page 107
    based upon the numbers?
             He looked at my numbers and if they were according
    to the plan and there were no questions provoked by these
    numbers or by my commentary on the numbers on a monthly
    basis, then if he had questions - which was pretty frequent,
    he would call me and ask me or I'd have a one-on-one with
    him and I would talk to him about that.
              So Mr. Gonzalez reviewed numbers for the Alt Site
    Products Division on a - or Alt Site Product Sales on a -
10
    I'm sorry.
11
              For Alt Site, would Mr. Gonzalez receive numbers
    concerning your sales on a monthly basis?
13
        Α
             Sure. Yes.
              Would he ever come to you with questions about
        0
    those?
        Α
              Yes.
17
         Ω
             How frequently?
              Whenever there was a discrepancy between what I had
19
    agreed to and what the numbers came in at.
              How would those discrepancies arise?
21
              He, seldom, if ever called me if they exceeded his
22
    expectation --
         Ω
             Okav
             -- if they did not achieve his expectation, I heard
    from him every time.
```

Page 108 How frequently would you hear from him on numbers that didn't exceed - that failed to meet his expectations? We reported monthly. If they meet his expectations, I'd hear from him monthly. Okay. Did you hear from him every month? No, ma'am. We made our numbers pretty well. We had to -- We made our numbers pretty well. If there was a good opportunity, we made the numbers pretty well. 10 Did you take any problems to Mr. Gonzalez seeking 11 his assistance? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Specific problems that we had that we weren't able to solve, no. But we'd come to him and ask him for -- If there was a product opportunity, we'd ask 16 him to fund it so that the manufacturing group would do 17 it. But specific -- That's a problem - if you need a 1.8 product and you don't have it, it's a problem - I would 19 define it as a problem - so we'd do that. 20 Okav. 21 So when you say "product opportunity," what do you 22 mean? If there was line extension - a product line extension; a new product; a new drug; a change in a current product that would make it better suited to Alt Site - that

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 29 of 75

```
Page 109
    kind of thing.
             Other than the Zemplar sort of R and D that you
    discussed earlier, what other products would Alt Site be
    involved with developing?
            I think we requested a line of injectable
    pharmaceuticals be developed - you know, once again, it was
    a long time ago. I can recall going to him and asking him
    to develop some specific product for us.
             Do you recall which those were?
             No, ma'am, I don't. I'm sorry.
11
             Does the Advantage line, does that ring a bell?
             The Advantage line was developed for the hospitals.
13
    That was in place well before Alternate Site Product Sales
14
    became -- The Advantage line, I don't know how much of that
15
    we used in Alternate Site. We may have sold some to
    distributors, I don't know - I don't remember specifically.
             That's the little gizmo you'd dial into the
    diluent --
         0
             Okay.
             -- Advantage, I guess that's -- You'd dial it into
21
    the diluent and it makes pharmacy operations more efficient
22
    - is that the product line you're discussing?
23
            You know, I'm not sure.
24
            MR. STETLER: If I could interrupt a minute.
25
            Keep your voice up all the way through your answer -
```

has upe the bounselys.

she's having a real hard time hearing you.

end and kind of go like this (indicating).

MS. CITERA: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: Not really.

So just all the way through, keep it up.

MR. STETLER: You tend to drop your voice at the

Any other -- Other than for sales - the monthly

sales reports, sales plans and going to Mr. Gonzalez for his

assistance with problems, did you have any other interaction

I mean, he was my supervisor; he would review my

performance with me and I saw him on annual reviews, and

Did you have a good relationship with Mr. Gonzalez?

that was our relationship - I mean, he was my boss.

What about Mr. Kringel, what was your --

He preceded Mr. Gonzalez as my supervisor.

And would your interaction with Mr. Kringel be

We had the same reporting relationship; yes, ma'am.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.

with Mr. Gonzalez?

Yes

Okav.

He's a good guy.

He was my supervisor.

-- involvement with him?

comparable to your interaction with Mr. Gonzalez?

0

Α

10

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

Page 111 Did you have any other relationship with Mr. Kringel? Other than professional? Well, yeah - I'm sorry, that was poorly-phrased question. Other than what you described in terms of your interaction with Mr. Gonzalez, with Mr. Kringel, would you have more interaction with him than you would with 10 Mr. Gonzalez? 11 In the beginning I had more because I was new to the job and he was - wanted to make sure I could do it, but then he let me do my job and Mr. Gonzalez would let me do my job. 15 So did I see them every week and discuss plans and 16 activities? No. 17 I reported to them. If there were discrepancies, I 18 would explain them. If we were - and that was our 19 relationship, just a professional relationship. 20 What about Mr. Beglev? 21 Mr. Begley, as I recall, he worked in Hospital 22 Products Division. He had - his responsibility was the large solutions business. And I also worked with Mr. Begley and he was one of the people that worked out in San Diego and had a line of ambulatory infusion pumps - that was his

Page 112 responsibility and I - we sold those pumps. And I guess he's currently CEO of Hospira. Okav. Where did you learn that? From the newspaper. Tom Hodgson; what was your involvement with Mr. Hodgson? He was president of the corporation. 10 Did you interact with him? We presented plans and updates to him. Would you do that in a meeting? Yes. ma'am. 0 How often? Three times a year. 16 And you would also -- Would you also present 17 written plans? 1.8 They were always written. 19 20 And what was his interaction with the plans? 21 He would challenge our reasoning, challenge the 22 numbers. We would explain how we got there. If he agreed with our explanation, if he agreed with our assumptions for 24 coming year, he would approve the plan. If not, he would change them.

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 30 of 75

```
Page 113
              And how would he -- How frequently would he change
    plans?
              Well, I mean, there's so many issues, he's bound to
    change one issue or another.
         Ω
              In each plan?
              Oh, yeah; absolutely. I mean, that's - that's his
        Α
    iob --
         0
             How would he --
         Α
              -- to challenge our reasoning, and numbers change
     from time to time based upon his input.
11
             How would he know how to change the plan?
12
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
13
            THE WITNESS: We would discuss assumptions and he
14
         would either agree or disagree with those assumptions.
            Numbers are generated by assumptions; growth rates
         of markets, volume increases, new customers coming on
        board - that sort of things.
             Would you have any of these comparable
    presentations with Mr. White at all?
20
            I never --
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
            THE WITNESS: -- did that with Mr. White.
23
             Would you have any other action - interaction with
24
    Mr. Hodgson?
25
             No. I'd see him at the plan and update meetings
```

```
and that was all.
              Okav.
              Mr. Heggie, does that name ring a bell?
              Michael Heggie?
         Ω
              Yes
              Mm-hmm.
         Α
         Ο
              Who is Mr. Heggie?
              He - Mr. Heggie worked in our Renal Care area.
              Okay.
10
              Other than working in your Renal Care area, did you
     interact with Mr. Heggie?
12
              Yeah; I knew him. I -- You know, I've -- I -- I
13
     mean, we'd go to meetings and I'd see him in there and, you
14
     know, he'd be there.
15
              And I remember going out for a couple martinis one
16
     night with Mr. Heggie. He's a martini connoisseur --
17
         0
              Okav.
         Α
              -- I am not. I regret the experience.
19
              I'd like to go back to the meetings that you
20
     discussed having with Mr. Hodgson.
21
              Who would be present at those meetings?
22
              Mr. Kringel; all of the accounting and finance
23
     people in the division and the manufacturing people would be
24
     there; all of our group would be there; sometimes
25
     representatives of other business groups; the large Hospital
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 115
    Solutions business would be there; the marketing people from
    the division whose products we sold would be there. The
    room would be occupied by, perhaps, 30 to 40 people.
             Okav.
              Would you ever discuss pricing at these meetings?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
              What would the discussions about pricing be?
             Averaging and selling prices.
             Okay.
             Any other pricing discussions?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: No.
             Do you recall whether a decision was made to add
    acyclovir to the Generic Hospital Products Division?
17
              Acvelovir?
1.8
              Acyclovir, it begins with an A- --
19
              -- c-v- --
21
             To Hospital Products Division?
22
              I've heard that word before. I don't know what the
    product does. I don't know what claims it has or what it's
    intended to do --
```

```
Page 116
              Do vou know --
              -- but I have heard the name before. I --
              Do you what do you about the product?
              I've heard the name before; that's all I can tell
         Δ
     vou
              That's it?
              -- I don't --
              Do you know whether it was generic or branded?
              I have no idea - I'm sorry. And I don't know in
10
     what context I've heard the name, but I know I've heard the
11
     word "acyclovir" before.
              At these meetings with Mr. Hodgson, would there be
13
     any discussions about adding generic products to the
     Hospital Products Division listing of products?
15
            In those discussions with Mr. Hodgson, most of the
16
     time the - we would ask for funding to develop a new product
17
     and he would have to approve that funding.
1.8
              Okay.
19
              What about -- Well, let ask you this.
20
              Who within the Hospital Products Division would
21
     have to sign off on a decision to add a new generic product?
22
              Mr. Kringel, the division president, because he was
     the one that divided up the R and D resources.
24
         0
              Okav.
25
              What about Mr. Gonzalez; would he -- When he
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 31 of 75

```
succeeded Mr. Kringel, would he have to sign off --
             Mr. Gonzalez had identical responsibilities to
    Mr. Kringel, so, yes, he would.
             Okav.
             Would anyone else be involved in the decision
    making about whether to approve a generic product?
            Approve a generic product --
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: -- for research and development; is
10
        that your question?
             Well, yeah, to make -- To make and ultimately sell
    a generic product.
13
        A No. If it was proposed by the --
14
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: If it was proposed by our organization
15
        and we had the R and D resources available and we were
        willing to pay for it. Mr. Kringel would approve it and
        then it would be done.
             Okay.
             Mr. Kringel or Mr. Gonzalez after him?
21
             If the funds were available, Mr. Kringel divvied up
22
    the funds. If we're asking for incremental funds, then
23
    Mr. Gonzalez or Mr. Hodgson would have to approve that
24
    increment
25
        O Okav.
```

Yes, ma'am. -- do you know who Mike Sellers is? Yes. ma'am. Who is Mr Sellers? Ω He was general manager of Alternate Site Product Sales when it started, then he went over to the division and worked as director of contract marketing for the division, then he came back as general manager of Home Infusion 10 Services, and now, I believe, Mr. Sellers works for 11 Hospira - I don't know. 12 Do you know -- Were you -- Did you bring 13 Mr. Sellers back to work in - to be the general manager of 14 Home Infusion? 15 A Yes; that would have been my decision. Q Why did you decide to do that? He's a good guy, he's professional, he works hard, he works with integrity, he's smart, and I thought he was a 19 good person to have that responsibility to try to figure out 20 some way to help us extricate ourselves from the business. 21 Well, was he tasked with the responsibility of 22 trying to figure out how to close down the Home Infusion 23 business? 24 A Specifically, yes. 25 I mean, we got to get out of this business. I

Mike Sellers --

```
Page 119
    mean, he knew that it was not gaining resources. We just -
    ma'am, we just wanted out.
             Who did he succeed as the general manager of
    Home --
             I believe --
         Α
              -- Bill Dempsey was in there before him.
             Why did you make the change?
             Mr. Dempsey was promoted.
             Did Mr. Dempsey similarly have the responsibility
    of trying to figure out how to extricate Abbott from the
    home infusion business?
            Mr. Dempsey's a bulldog, and he tried very hard.
             Toward the end of his tenure, I believe he was
17
    coming around to my way of thinking.
18
             Okay.
19
             When you say, "he tried very hard," you mean he
20
    tried very hard to keep the business unit?
21
             He tried very hard to make it successful.
22
             You know, he's a hard worker, he was also very
    smart and he had good people that were working. It was
    just -- I don't think the model -- I don't think there was a
    stainable business model - no matter how we tried to manage
```

```
Page 120
     it. I don't think it would have been successful, so.
        O Okav.
              What about David Brinks, does that name ring a
     hell?
              I think he was a contract analyst in that business,
     David Brinks. As I recall, he was a contract analyst in
     that business.
        Q Okay. Let me go back to Mr. Sellers.
              What was your day-to-day interaction with
10
     Mr. Sellers when he was the general manager of Alt Site
11
     Product Sales or the general manager of Home Infusion?
        A Day-to-day?
13
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: They reported to me. I would see them
        as needed.
16
            Okav.
17
              Would they -- What would their job responsibilities
18
     be as -- What would --
19
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Strike that.
20
              What were Mike Sellers' job responsibilities as the
21
     general manager of Alt Site Product Sales?
22
            After we had discussed the plans and updates, his
     responsibility was to direct his people in the achievement
24
     of those plans and updates.
            What about as the general manager of Home Infusion?
```

#### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 32 of 75

It's the same job; once you've come to an agreement with the people to whom you report, your job is to manage your resources to the accomplishment of those goals. Okav. And one of the goals for Home Infusion was to figure out a way to extricate Abbott from home infusion? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Initially, it's how can we --Initially, the goals are, Is this a proper business for 10 us; Can we be useful; Can we be helpful; Can we be profitable; Is there a future? And when it became obvious that we should have been 13 out of that business, we just sort of denied it 14 resources and it went its way. Cynthia Sensibaugh or Cindy Sensibaugh, did you interaction with her? You know, I can't remember if she -- Is that the name she used for the entire tenure of our - of her work there? 20 I'm not sure. I don't know. 21 I'm just asking you if that's a name you're 22 familiar with. 23 No, ma'am, I don't remember that person. 24 Okav. 25 David Landsidle, is that a name familiar to you?

Oh, the name is familiar, but the person I can't picture. 0 Okay. You gave a sigh. Do you have a specific recollection of Mr. Landsidle? No. No. No. I mean, when you hear a name that you recall and the name comes to mind and you can't picture the face or the person's responsibility - I mean, you know, I'm here to 10 answer your questions --Sure. 12 -- I just can't answer that question. 13 Sure 14 MR. STETLER: We just thought there was a good story 15 behind it. THE WITNESS: There's a good story behind everybody. MR. STETLER: Well, forget I said anything. BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 19 Do you have any recollection whatsoever of Mr. Landsidle? 21 Landsidle, if you could tell me what he did I might 22 be able to. 23 0 Well, do you recall interacting with any government 24 relations folks or lobbying folks? 25 Oh, we had a group in Washington, D.C., as I

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 123
    recall
             Okay.
             What do you recall about that group?
             That they were - you know, the major responsibility
    seemed to be to take people to lunch - I don't know what
    they did. You know, to report to us on government
    activities and actions and that sort of thing.
             I don't think it was anything you couldn't read in
    the Federal Register - I don't know. I remember those - we
10
    had a group there.
             As part of your responsibilities, would you review
    the Federal Register?
        Α
             Not my responsibilities; no, ma'am.
             Okay.
             Would you review state or federal regulations or
    statutes?
17
             No. ma'am.
1.8
             Who within Alt Site would do that?
19
            I don't think we had anybody --
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
21
            THE WITNESS: We didn't have anybody with that
22
         specific responsibility.
            That was sort of - since it impacted the whole
         corporation, that may have been a corporate
         responsibility, I don't know. But we didn't have
```

```
Page 124
         anybody to do that.
              Do you recall if a question arose concerning state
     or federal statutes or regulations who the guestion would be
     directed to from Alt Site?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: No -- State or federal regulation?
         No, I don't think we had anybody -- I know we didn't
         have anybody specifically responsible for regulation.
         We could ask the corporation - \ensuremath{\mbox{I'm}} sure they had great
10
         interest in that and somebody there would have done
         Ω
              Do you recall who within the corporation you would
13
     go to?
14
              I'm sure there's a regulatory affairs organization
     within the corporation would have been the people. I don't
16
     recall specifically.
17
1.8
              What about Jerry Eikorn, is that a name that rings
19
     a bell?
20
              Jerry Eikorn I thought was a national accounts
21
     person - Jerry Eikorn - Jerry Eikorn - Oh, I think he worked
22
     in HPD - big, robust fellow worked in HPD, and I don't
     recall what his specific responsibilities were.
24
            Okav.
25
              Let me ask you just physically where was Alt Site's
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 33 of 75

Page 125 office? Initially we were in a commercial office building about two miles away from Abbott Park. Subsequent to that we moved onto the Abbott facility but were in a building about 500 yards south of where the Hospital Products Division was located. We were in a separate building. Okav. So Alt Site was contained in one building and the other components of the Hospital Products division was in another building? That's correct. Trudy Bucheri, does that name ring a bell? Yes, ma'am. Okay. Who is Miss Bucheri? She was originally sort of a technical person in our Alternate Site Products Sales Group in California, I believe - yeah, it is - it's California. That's what I remember of Miss --Do you recall any other responsibilities that Miss 21 Bucheri would have? 22 Not in the -- No. 23 Let me ask you, as part of Alt Site would there be a formal training program for the different components of 25 Alt Site?

Yes. We hired -- We hired people to come in and they'd have to be trained. 0 Okay. And who would do the training? A Someone within each of the individual business units - maybe that's Miss Bucheri's responsibility - that's where we're going - maybe she was a trainer. Okay. Do you know what component like, for example, in 10 Alt Site Product Sales, what would the training programs be? 11 Selling skills and how the products worked and the 12 technical aspects of the product performance and function. 13 Any other training programs? 14 No; just how to sell and what our products did. 15 Were there manuals? There may have been training in planning and organization, as well. Ο Okav. 19 Would there be manuals that would be used to assist 20 in the training? 21 I don't know that specifically, but it's reasonable 22 to assume that there were. 23 Are you familiar with any manuals that discussed in 24 Alt Site Product Sales selling or marketing concerning the 25 Alt Site Product Sales product lines?

Page 126

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

Page 127 No, ma'am; not specifically. What about for Home Infusion, do you recall what the training program was there, if there was one? I believe there it was -- Training is very expensive unless - and in that business, probably not affordable, so we hired people who were experienced in the business. Do you recall who you hired? Oh, wow. 10 We had a young woman from California - that was early on. As the - and the others -- We didn't hire very 11 many people. 13 Once again, the business was not that profitable, so I don't think we were anxious - I know we weren't anxious to hire a bunch more people to be in that business. What about Don- - is it Donald Buell, B-u-e-l-l, do 17 you recall that name? Buell, B-u-e-1-1? Or B-e-u-l-1, but I believe it's B-u-e-l-1. No, ma'am. 21 Okay. Mike Trutel, does that name ring a bell? Δ Nο Kathy Babington. The name is very familiar, but I - not in the

Page 128 context of working for Alternate Site, I thought she worked for the corporation in some public affairs or some kind of --O Did you have interaction with her at all that you can recall? I know the name and I'm sure I've met the person. I know the name - Babington's not a common name, so I've heard the name and I - and it's reasonable to assume that some point in the past I met her, but I don't know this 10 person. If the person were to walk in, I might recognize 11 her, I might not. I don't know. Do you recall working with Public Affairs at all? 13 A Seldom, if ever, did I personally work with Public Affairs. Do you remember those occasions when you might have had occasion to work with Public Affairs? 17 No, I can't remember specifically any occasion when 18 I worked with them. 19 What about Guy Wiebking? 20 Oh, yes, I know Guy Wiebking; yeah. 21 How do you know Mr. Wiebking? 22 He was the vice president of sales of Hospital Products Division when I joined HPD. And then he was worked in contract marketing, I believe, after that. And

subsequent to that, I don't know what Guy did. I think he

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 34 of 75

```
Page 129
     retired in like 2002, 2003.
              Did you have interact with Mr. Wiebking?
              How? What would that interaction be?
              He was part of our update and plan process. He was
     also part of our plan reviews, so I would see him at those
     meetings.
         Ω
              Would Alt Site Product Sales or Alt Site, the
     business unit, in general, have interaction with his
     business unit?
11
              We had a --
12
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
13
             THE WITNESS: -- That was a very large corporate - I
14
         mean hospital marketing group - contract marketing -
         pardon \operatorname{me} - group within hospital products and \operatorname{we} would
         - they would approve our contracts to ensure that the
         pricing that we provided, the terms and conditions we
         provided made sense in the larger context of the
         division.
20
              So would all Alt Site Product Sales contracts have
21
     to be approved by HBS?
22
             That doesn't seem rationale because I'm sure there
23
     were certain parameters we were allowed to do. And --
24
              Okav.
25
              Do you remember what they were?
```

```
(Continuing) -- and it wouldn't make sense just to
     go every time if there were certain parameters we could
     arrange, so. But I'm sure that they would have copies of
     our contracts and that they would have to grant their
     blessing to these contracts before we could implement them.
     T mean.
              Are you aware of anyone else who would need to
         0
     review the contr- - the Alt Site contracts?
10
              Not to my knowledge.
              Would the general counsel's office need to review
12
     them?
13
              Oh, absolutely.
14
              Was there someone in particular that you worked
15
     with in Alt Site for contract review?
              Each of the business units was assigned an
     individual, and I can see the faces but can't recall the
     names.
19
        Ο
              Okay.
20
              Do you have any recollection whatsoever as to
21
     identifying information?
22
            I don't know --
23
             MS. CITERA: Wait a second.
24
             I just want to instruct you to the extent - I'm not
25
         sure about your question, but not to reveal any
```

Page 130

```
Page 131
         conversations that you had with counsel.
            I'm not sure that that what you're asking, but I
         just want to make that clear.
            THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
            MS. CITERA: Okay.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Do you recall any identifying features about the --
            There was a young -- Is that -- There's a young,
    curly-haired fellow who was very cooperative and hard
10
    working and - I mean, the division had a person and her name
    was Honey Lynn Goldberg - I remember that - I remember her -
    she also worked in Diagnostics for a year, so I remembered
    name, we'd work with her all the time. I can't remember the
    name of the young man who - with whom we dealt.
             But, yeah, it was important to us that the
    contracts have the approval of our legal department.
17
             Lorene Mershimer --
1.8
             Yes, ma'am.
19
             Who is Miss Mershimer?
             Miss Mershimer is - oh, I don't know what she does
    now. Miss Mershimer was general manager of the renal
22
    business.
         Ο
             Okav
             And she succeeded Mr. Sellers?
             She succeeded --
```

```
Page 132
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: -- Mike King.
              Oh, Mike King. I'm sorry.
              Mr. Sellers was never involved in Renal.
              Right. Okay.
              And so you were her direct supervisor?
              Yes, ma'am.
              For how many years?
              Two or three; I can't recall.
10
              And did Miss Mershimer then move on to something
11
     else after?
              She was promoted into the Hospital Products
13
     Division in responsibility there.
              How was your interaction with Miss Mershimer?
              She reported to me.
16
              Okay.
17
              How often would she report to you?
1.8
              I saw Miss Mershimer daily. We would have
19
     conversations probably weekly.
20
              How was she as an employee?
21
              As an employee?
22
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             MS ST PETER-GRIFFITH: Yes
             THE WITNESS: As a colleague -- Do you -- I cannot
```

answer, you know, as an employee.

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 35 of 75

```
Page 133
             As a colleague --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
             THE WITNESS: -- she was bright, articulate,
         aggressive, smart, and I believe she did very well in
         the Renal Care business
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Virginia Tobiason, is that a name that rings a
    bell?
              We had already talked about her earlier.
10
              Yeah, I know Miss Tobiason.
              How do you know Miss Tobiason?
              She worked in Home Infusion Services.
              Okav.
              And did she work there during part of your tenure?
              Oh, yes.
              Okav.
              For how many years, do you recall?
              It may have been five or six. It was quite a
    while.
20
              What was her role in Home Infusion?
21
              She administratived (sp.) the part of Home Infusion
22
    that did the billing and reimbursement piece, I believe.
23
              Did Miss Tobiason have any other responsibilities
24
    beyond that reimbursement area in Home Infusion?
25
              She may have, but I don't recall them.
```

```
Okav.
              Do you recall whether she had any particular
     expertise with regard to reimbursement issues?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: She was in charge of the department.
         I would have to assume that she was competent.
              Okav.
              Was she consulted on reimbursement issues that
     transcended or that went beyond the Home Infusion area?
10
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: I think she may have been; yes.
12
13
              Did you rely upon her for those, for reimbursement
14
     issues --
15
             I personally -- I relied on her --
16
             COURT REPORTER: Did you rely on her --
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: For reimbursement issues
         beyond or outside of Home Infusion?
19
             MS. CITERA: Object to the form.
20
             THE WITNESS: I personally do not recall ever having
21
22
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Okay.
23
24
              How was Miss Tobiason as an employee?
25
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
```

Page 134

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

Page 135 THE WITNESS: As a colleague, as a person that worked there, I saw her infrequently but I heard no complaints about her competence, her performance. How about her interaction with her colleagues or subordinates, were there any problems? Any problems? Serious problems that I recall, no. But that doesn't mean they didn't exist but I just don't know of 10 Do you know whether she was well liked by her 0 11 staff? MS. CITERA: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I don't know whether she was or was not. I know that no one came to complain to me about her - I don't believe anybody came - I don't recall anybody ever coming to me to complain, so. Did you hear any complaints about her at all? No. Okav. 21 22 We touched upon a little bit earlier litigation hold memos Do you recall that I asked you whether you received memos?

```
Page 136
              And I think my answer was I may have received them;
     if I received them I would have complied.
              I have no specific recollection of having received
     them
              If the memo directed you to distribute the memo to
     others within your business unit, would you have done that?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I believe we've covered
         this ground; that compliance with directives of my
10
         superior was not optional.
11
              Okay.
              Do you recall for Alt Site - for products that Alt
13
     Site sold whether annual price increases were taken?
14
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
15
             THE WITNESS: Whether annual price increases were
16
         taken.
17
             Our objective was, once again, to fulfill our
18
         responsibility to the shareholders and get as high an
19
         average unit selling price for the product as we could;
20
         that was our responsibility --
21
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
             THE WITNESS: -- similar to anyone's responsibility
22
         to be as productive as possible for their employer.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
```

Do you recall whether average unit selling prices

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 36 of 75

Page 137 would go down? It would depend upon the level of competition. Do I remember specific products going down? No, but it would depend upon the level of competition, the numbers of competitors. I do have a specific example; isoflorane, we went from one competitor to four and the prices in that one specifically went down. When you get generic competition, the prices 10 invariably go down. 11 Sir, how much interaction did you have with 12 Abbott's legal department? 13 As needed. 14 Okay. 15 And what --I mean, they ---- would be the nature - I don't want you to discuss with me the discussions you had with the legal department, but what were the general natures of your interaction with --21 As I recall, the legal department at Abbott wanted 22 to make sure our contracts were correctly written, that we 23 followed all legal requirements. 24 I found them to be cooperative, I found them to be professional, and my relationship to them is what they said

Page 138 went - there really was no discussion after a decision was made; they made a decision, this is the way it's going to be done, end of story --Okav. -- and I have no complaints with that. I mean, we Δ want to comply. What Abbott - the Abbott corporate office, what would your interaction with them be? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 THE WITNESS: The corporate -- Do you mean Mr. -- Do you mean specific individuals, which specific functions? 12 Well, let me ask you this: Would there be an area 13 in Abbott called Abbott Corporate? 14 No, ma'am. 15 Ο No? 16 Okav. 17 Not -- Not to -- Abbott Corporate, someone may use that term. To me, the term is meaningless. 19 Does it mean that all the chiefs sitting around in 20 their offices up in AP6? Does it mean everyone in that 21 building? Does it comprehend everybody who works for a 22 corporate department? It's sort of a - to me, a meaningless 23 24 If you'd talk about a specific department, I'd be

happy to relate my relationship with that department.

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

25

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 139
              Well, I wanted to ask you whether you had an
    understanding as to whether there was a corporate
    department.
               Oh, there was corporate. We used to get the
        Α
    allocations.
              And would you interact with anyone in what you
    perceive to be the corporate department?
10
             As needed.
              For example, Mr. Hodgson, who would approve our
    plans and updates was corporate --
13
        0
             Okav.
             -- corporate is - let's use for this context anyone
    who's not part of a division, does that work?
16
             Okay.
        0
17
              Sure. Our contacts would be frequent. The legal
    people were corporate - they may assigned to a division, but
19
    they were corporate.
20
              So in that context, yes, ma'am.
21
              What about Hospital HBS; that was another division
22
    within the Hospital Products Division or Business Unit?
              HBS, is that the acronym that you were given, HBS?
              Yeah.
         0
             Do you know what the words are?
```

```
Page 140
              Does that mean --
              The Hospital Business Sector.
              Okay. That would be - yeah. That would be
     Mr. Begly's responsibility --
              Okay.
         0
              -- HBS.
              Did you have interaction with HBS?
              Sure. They were part of our division. We were in
     their updates, they were in ours. We knew their results,
10
     their activities and their plans.
11
         O Go ahead.
              But I just remembered the name of the person whom I
13
     replaced - and this was very early on in the conversation -
14
     the person's name is Joy Amundson.
15
         Ω
             Okay.
16
              That's why it's so frustrating to have these
17
     conversations after such a long time; you can't remember the
1.8
     names of some people.
19
              Sir, what I'd like to do right now is go over with
20
     you some terms that have come up in this litigation --
21
              Mm-hmm.
22
              -- and I'd like to get your understanding of the
         0
     terms
24
         Α
              Mm-hmm.
              They're primarily related to pricing, okay?
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 37 of 75

important.

```
Page 141
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Well, I haven't asked the
        question.
             MS. CITERA: Well, I mean or objection to the
         commentary, I should say.
             MR. STETLER: Object to what you're thinking about
         asking.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Sir, have you ever heard the term "WAC"?
              I've heard the term WAC, yes, ma'am.
              What is WAC?
13
              The term, as I understand it, mean Wholesale
14
    Acquisition Cost.
15
        0
              And how did that -- What did you understand the
    purpose of WAC?
             I have absolutely no idea.
              Would it be something that you would be familiar
    with or interact with on a day-to-day basis?
              Do you know whether WAC was important to Alt Site
22
    at all?
23
24
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: -- I don't remember it as being
```

```
Rx link.
              Rx link?
              Mm-hmm.
              I've heard that term before, and it maybe involved
     the Hospital Business Sector.
              I don't remember its connection to Alternate Site.
     though.
             Catalog price.
10
              Catalog price is, I assume, a list price for a
11
     product.
12
              And what is a list price? That was my next term.
13
              Catalog price.
14
              It's the price that appears in published material
     from a company or organization of the list price that they
15
16
     charge or --
17
              That who charges?
         0
         Α
             The company publishing the pricing charges. That's
19
     their list price.
20
              Would Abbott have list prices?
21
              Yes, ma'am.
22
              What would be the purpose of the list price?
23
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
24
             THE WITNESS: Well, list price is sort of a
25
         negotiating - a start of a negotiating position with a
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 143
         customer - not sort of, it is --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
            THE WITNESS: -- the start of a negotiating position
         with a customer.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Would Alt Site charge list price to anybody?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Very few, if any, people paid list
         price for product.
10
             How come?
             It was very -- It was highly competitive and
    people, through buying groups, or -- You know, we sold
    mainly through contracts - buying groups or distributors or
13
    wholesalers - and they would negotiate a price and people
    would become members of those buying groups and have
    privilege to access those prices.
17
             Very few people part were part of no group, and
18
    therefore, coming up and paying list price. It was
19
    infrequent. I'm sure it happened, but just not very
20
    frequent.
21
             Okay.
22
             Do you understand under what circumstances it might
    happen?
             If I wanted to -- I don't -- No, I can't -- I don't
    know what circumstances it might happen. Someone just -
```

```
Page 144
     someone is starting a business who has no affiliation or an
     individual wants a solution for a specific reason - I don't
     know.
              Certainly, and individuals, if they didn't have the
     proper licenses wouldn't be able to acquire drugs.
              But very few, if any, people bought off list price.
              Just, once again, it was a start of a negotiating
     position with customers.
              Other than being the start of a negotiating
10
     position, did you have any understanding as to what purpose
11
     a list price might have served?
         A Well, I mean --
13
             MS. CITERA: Object to form.
             THE WITNESS: -- it's part of the start of a
         negotiation.
16
             Do you know why Abbott published a catalog that
17
     listed these prices?
1.8
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
19
             THE WITNESS: To give our customers a starting point
20
         for negotiation.
21
              Okay.
22
              Resource file, is that a term you've ever heard?
             I have no idea what that term means
24
            Okav.
         0
              Direct price.
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 38 of 75

Page 145 I don't know what that term means. It may have been used, I may have heard it in the past but I don't recall what it means. Ω ASP. Α Is Average Selling Price. And what is Average Selling Price? Average Selling Price is the total units shipped out the door, divided by the total revenue received for those products - oh, excuse me - the total revenue received divided by the number of units that went out the door pardon me, I had that backwards, didn't I? 12 What I gave you was the Reciprocal of Average 13 Selling Price. 14 I got you. 15 What would the purpose of an Average Selling Price be or why would Abbott be concerned or Abbott Alt Site be concerned about Average Selling Price? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, directionally, it tells you many things. It's not always bad news to have an average unit selling price go down. That mean - That may mean 22 you're getting larger customers who may pay less per 23 unit but buy a potload of units and so the Average 24 Selling Price will go down. It allows you, by examining that, to challenge your

Page 146 assumptions on the plan of who is going to buy it, how much they're going to pay for it and where the market's going directionally or where you're going in the market directionally. You'd referenced before in your earlier testimony average unit selling price. Right. Is ASP what you're talking about? Average unit selling price, I -- The difference 10 between Average Selling Price and average unit selling price 11 is Average Selling Price to me is how many units you - the 12 revenue received divided by the units you sold. 13 Average unit selling price is all the revenue 14 received divided by the units you sold plus any samples or 15 free goods you send out the door. 16 Let's discuss that. 17 Under what circumstances would you provide samples or free goods? 19 Samples, you would -- Samples just to make sure 20 that people knew how to use the drug or knew how to use the 21 product --22 23 Α -- free goods and samples is sort of identical. As 24 I recall, they weren't big numbers in our business because

people knew how to use these, these were commonly used

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

25

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 147
    things
             Do you know whether Abbott customers were permitted
    to seek reimbursement from third-party payors for free
    goods?
             Permitted, no.
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: No. I -- No.
             How else would Abbott Alt Site use Average Selling
10
    Price?
             Oh, pardon me, you have to give me one more --
13
             -- one more common use of free goods or samples; if
    we had shipped product and it was damaged in transit and the
    product was not suitable for the function which the customer
    intended, we would replace it and that would be free - we
17
    would not bill them again --
18
             Okay.
19
20
             But that's just fulfilling a contract obligation,
21
    isn't it?
22
            Yeah. I mean, if you send somebody a product that
    they can't use, you can't make them pay for it. So we'd
    send them the product.
         0
             AMP.
```

```
Page 148
              AMP, you mean ampule?
         Ω
              No. A-M-P.
              I don't know what that term means.
         Α
         0
              Okav.
              Best price.
              It should mean what it says; best price.
              Was it a term that was used --
              In our context --
         0
              Yes
10
              -- of Alternate Site?
11
              In Alt Site.
              I may have heard the term but it was not a term
13
     that I recall hearing frequently.
         0
              I bel- -- That's Average Wholesale Price.
16
              And what is the purpose of Average Wholesale Price?
17
              I believe that was - Average Wholesale Price was
1.8
     used to determine reimbursement in certain market segments.
19
20
              Do you remember which market segments?
21
              I believe it was used to determine - I think
22
     Medicare used Average Wholesale Price.
              Okav
         Ω
24
              What about Medicaid program?
              I have no knowledge of that. I don't know.
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 39 of 75

```
Page 149
              Do you know whether the Medicaid programs or some
    of them would use WAC?
             I'm sorry --
         Ω
             Does that refresh your recollection at all on what
    WAC might mean?
             I'm sorry. No, I don't.
        Α
             Okav.
              How would Average Wholesale Price be determined?
             Once again, we sold to distributors and wholesalers
10
    and they sold - they to the end-user through buying
    contracts that they had.
12
              So my knowledge of how these terms would be used is
13
    limited
14
             Okay.
        0
15
              Well, did Average Wholesale Price have a
    significance to Alt Site?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Well, as we discussed before, there's
         a reimbursement responsibility. You asked me if,
        before, I believe, if Miss Tobiason know that - did you
22
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yeah.
23
            THE WITNESS: Yeah.
24
            So if it's part of reimbursement and she were
         competent in reimbursement, she would have to know what
```

```
Page 150
         that term meant.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Outside of -- Well, did you understand what the
     term meant?
         Α
              No. I knew that it was part of reimbursement and
     that it was a component of reimbursement.
            Outside of Home Infusion was AWP important, for
     example, to Alt Site Product Sales?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
10
             THE WITNESS: Well, we had no way of knowing where
         the products were going. But I don't know; may have. I
12
         mean, we've talked -- You know, this reimbursement issue
13
         has recurred --
14
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
15
             THE WITNESS: -- so it may have been important to
         our customers
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
            Do you know how it was important to your customers?
19
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
20
             THE WITNESS: Well, the reimbursement's import- -- I
21
         mean, they get paid, it's important.
22
             But, once again, I'd like to reiterate that the cost
23
         of these drugs is trivial and the overall profitability
24
         wasn't impacted by these drugs.
25
              By which drugs?
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 151
             By the drugs that we sold.
             Including vancomycin?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I don't think vancomycin was a very
         expensive drug.
              What about the term "spread," have you heard that
    term?
              Spread is -- Spread is the difference between
    Average Wholesale Price and reimbursement level; am I right?
10
              Well, was spread a term that was used in Alt Site?
              Seldom. I mean, I know what the term means, but
    not a term that we would use frequently.
        0
             How do you know what the term means?
              Because I've heard it.
         Α
              Okay.
              In what context have you heard it?
17
             I mean, I don't recall.
1.8
              Over the years, this term has come up, spread, the
19
    difference between reimbursement and the price of a drug.
20
             Did you have an understanding as to whether spread
21
    was important to Abbott customers?
22
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: It may have been. But that's not how
         we marketed it; we marketed that drug based on the
         quality of the drug.
```

```
Page 152
              Do you know whether the spread differ- -- The
     spread between an Abbott product and as compared to a Baxter
     product factored into decision making for some of Abbott's
     customers as to whether to purchase a product?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: I don't know that. It may have; I
         don't know.
             I mean, I guess if you -- I don't know. I don't
10
              Do you know whether Abbott Alt Site Product Sales
11
     customers considered spread in evaluating whether to award
12
     contracts --
13
             MS. CITERA: Object- --
             -- to Abbott Alt Site?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
16
             THE WITNESS: You mean the broad contract?
17
             Any contract.
1.8
             MS. CITERA: Same objection.
19
             THE WITNESS: They may have, I -- You know, once
20
         again, they may have, I don't know.
21
             That's one product out of 4,000 in the catalog.
22
         Whether that would tip the contract, I don't know.
              Do you know whether, for example, an organization
     like Gerimed evaluated AWP and spread in considering who to
     award a contract to?
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 40 of 75

```
Page 153
            MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
            THE WITNESS: They could have. They may have.
            If AWP and spread was important to a customer like
    Gerimed, would that be something that Abbott Alt Site would
    carefully evaluate in putting together its proposal to
    Gerimed?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: That would be marketing on spread.
            We never marketed on spread, to my knowledge.
             What do you consider marketing on spread?
             Going out and promoting that with customers.
             Were there any policies concerning marketing on
14
    spread?
15
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I don't know. I -- You know, this
        isn't -- Not to my knowledge. I mean, other than we
        didn't market that, we didn't market on the total
        product line.
             Was there any formal policy that say said that you
21
    didn't market on spread?
22
            I think I've had this discussion with Ward and Ward
    never marketed on spread. We did not market on spread.
23
    That's not the way we did it.
25
             Is there a written policy that I can find? I doubt
```

```
In what context -- When you say "Ward," do you
         Q
     mean --
              John Ward.
              -- John Ward?
         Ω
              Mm-hmm.
         Α
              In what context did you have a discussion about
     this issue with Mr. Ward?
              Well, you just -- You know, they would -- This
10
     issue of spread, I think we had discussed -- I mean, if you
11
     wanted to do that, you'd end up with 100 percent market
12
     share - you wouldn't do that.
13
              We didn't want to do that. We wanted to market
14
     based upon the quality of our products and keep marketing
15
     that way.
16
         0
              Okav.
17
              When you say you would have, "100 percent market
     share." what do you mean?
19
              Well, that might gain you a competitive advantage,
20
     as you've articulated what it means.
21
              We didn't want to do that. We wanted to market
22
     based upon the quality of our product.
23
              I don't remember anybody ever marketing on - or
24
     gaining market share on the basis of - on that basis.
25
              It's a small product, I think. It's just one of a
```

```
Page 155
    number
             What do you mean, "it's just one of a number,"
    which product?
             You were talking about vancomycin.
             I'm talking about at any time. I'm sorry, I should
    have clarified. Not just for vancomycin.
              Was spread for any Abbott product that Alt Site
    sold --
            Not to my -- No; not to my knowledge.
1.0
            MS. CITERA: You need to let her finish her
         question.
            THE WITNESS: I thought the question was finished.
            Did you have more to ask?
             That's okay. You answered my question.
             But let me go back and make sure.
             For any product that Alt Site sold --
17
1.8
              -- was AWP or spread ever a consideration in how
19
    Abbott Alt Site marketed the product?
             Ever. You used the word "ever."
21
             Ever.
22
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Our policy was not to market on the
        basis of spread. We didn't discuss spread.
            If there were an aberration in the pricing, I
```

```
Page 156
         can't -- I can't ex- -- We did not market on the basis
         of spread. We'd market on the basis of being
         competitive with the selling prices of individual
         competitors.
              If customers would has you for spread information
     or AWP information, how would you respond?
              No one ever asked me for that personally.
              What about your sales force that you were
     responsible for?
10
              We had a sales force within the organization. \ensuremath{\text{I}}
11
     don't know of anybody asking for information on that --
             You're not aware --
13
         A -- I personally -- I personally don't know about
14
     that.
15
              I mean, once again, I may have been -- This is a
16
     long time ago, they may have been asked, I may have gotten
17
     the information, but to the best of my recollection, I don't
1.8
     recall it.
19
              Do you know whether spread or differentials between
20
     AWP and contract prices is one way to differentiate between
21
     generic products that otherwise are, for the most part, the
22
     same product --
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
24
         0 -- as between competitors?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 41 of 75

```
Page 157
             THE WITNESS: It may be. I mean, if they make more
         money, it may be. That may have tipped it.
             We just didn't market in that fashion.
             Are you aware of any government investigations into
    Average Wholesale Price or spread during your tenure at --
              Not that I --
              -- Abbott?
             Not during my tenure. Not during my tenure.
              I wasn't -- Not that I remember.
10
11
              Do you recall in 1996 Abbott being served with an
    Investigative Demand from the Department of Justice?
13
              No. I mean, it may well have happened, but I don't
14
    recall.
15
         0
              What about any litigation concerning AWP and
    Lupron; do you recall any issues associated with that?
             I remember that -- I remember that there was a suit
    involving the marketing of Lupron and that I believe Abbott
    was fined and Takeda, the joint venture partner, was fined.
              What's the basis of your information? Where did
21
    you learn that?
22
              Newspaper.
23
              Anyplace else?
24
             Well, I know some people over there.
              Over where?
```

I know people at TAP. Oh, that actually takes me back to a question. What was your interaction with TAP or TAP employees? Well, we -- I know several of the individuals who were there who worked there, and my son worked there. Okav. And who's your son? His name is Don Robertson. 10 And what was his role at TAP? He was a salesperson, then he managed TAP Care, he 12 was a sales manager. 13 Did you discuss the TAP litigation with him at all? 14 I must have, but not in any detail. 15 Do you know whether he was at all involved in --Ά -- the litigation? 0 Α No; not to my knowledge. 0 Okay. He was well down in the organization. 21 Did he have a particular sales region? 22 He was a salesperson in Illinois and then he moved 23 to Des Moines, Iowa and he was a sales manager there, I 24 helieve 25 Ω How long did he work for TAP?

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 159
         Α
              Twelve years.
              From what period to what period, do you recall?
         Α
              You know. I -- He left there three or four years
    ago.
              Okay.
         Q
              And now what's he doing?
              He works for Sepricore in Boston.
              And you're still not a Red Sox fan?
              Well, they play the Yankees, so I like them to that
10
    point.
         Ω
             Oh, okay.
             I'm a Mets fan.
         Α
13
        0
             Not only do they play the Yankees, they beat the
    Yankees.
             Yes, ma'am.
16
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why don't we take a lunch
17
18
             VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record.
19
             (Whereupon, a lunch recess was taken from 12:15 p.m.
20
         until 1:06 p.m.)
21
            VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
22
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: (Continued.)
              Mr. Robertson, before the break, there were a
    couple of areas that we were talking about that I want to
    kind of revisit.
```

```
Page 160
              First, you indicated that your son is at TAP?
              No; he was at TAP.
              Oh, he was at TAP --
              Yes, ma'am.
              -- I'm sorry. He was at TAP.
              Now he's in Boston and you're still not a Red Sox
              Sir, are you conversant with or friendly with other
     either current or former employees of TAP?
10
              I know many former or current employees of TAP.
11
              Do you speak with them on a regular basis?
13
              Are there some TAP employees that have retired to
     the Naples/Fort Myers that you're aware of?
15
         Α
              No.
16
              No? Okay.
17
              Sir, the other more substantive issue that we were
18
     discussing before - although, that's not to say the Red Sox
19
     aren't substantive because they are - was marketing the
20
     spread, and what I'd like to find out from you is, first,
21
     the policy that you were talking about, was that something
22
     that was implemented when you came onto Alt Site?
             MS. CITERA: Object to the form.
24
             THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
             Do you know what the origin of the policy was?
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 42 of 75

```
The origin of the policy when it began, I don't
    know that.
             And do you know whether it's written down anywhere?
         Α
             It may be, but I don't know of anywhere that it's
    written down
             How are you aware of the policy?
         0
             We didn't market the spread. We knew that that
    existed. We didn't market our products in that way.
             So --
        0
10
             It was brought to my attention by someone.
             What I'm trying to ascertain is is this a formal
    corporate Abbott policy or is this more of an informal
13
    practice?
14
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
15
            THE WITNESS: I can't comment on whether or not it
         was an Abbott policy. I don't recall ever having seen a
        document that said it was. I don't know that.
             ∩kay.
             What -- How do you define marketing the spread or
    what activities do you consider to be marketing the spread
21
    activities?
22
             If you're talking to a doctor about - or an
23
    organization that - and due to the reimbursement the doctor,
24
    would make more money using your product than someone else's
    product and you'd talk to them about that, that would be
```

```
marketing the spread.
              What's wrong with that?
             MS. CITERA: Object to the form.
             THE WITNESS: It's just not the way we marketed our
         products. We chose not to do it in that fashion; we
         chose to market on our own merits.
              Is there anything wrong with doing that?
              I -- I don't -- I don't know because that's -- Is
     there anything wrong with doing that? I don't -- You know,
10
     I don't know - I don't have a moral judgment on that. I
11
     know we didn't do it.
12
              Okay.
13
              Other organizations may have done it. We didn't do
14
     it.
15
         Ο
             Are there any other activities other than talking
16
     to physicians comparing, you know, spreads that you would
17
     consider marketing the spread?
             Talking to them about other marketing activities;
19
     do you have an examples?
20
21
22
              Do you consider or would you consider discussing
23
     with Abbott customers Abbott's AWPs as a marketing the
24
     spread activity?
25
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 163
            THE WITNESS: You know, I -- I don't know. It
         may -- All of these things are context - you know, what
         the objectives of the people are.
            It may be marketing the spread. I mean, people may
        have spoken - within my organization, people may have
         spoken to other about the spread, there may have been
         information about the spread. But it was our policy not
         to market our products in that fashion. We didn't do
         it.
10
             Okay.
11
             And did you -- Was that policy something that you
    instituted?
13
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Well, the general manager would
         probably institute the - you know, the policy.
            I have no specific knowledge of any communications
17
         with the sales force. But that would be something the
         general manager instituted.
             So that wasn't a policy that you would institute.
             That I did institute.
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
             Or that you did institute.
             No; I don't remember ever communicating to the
    sales force regarding that.
             Do you whether that was a policy that your
```

```
Page 164
     predecessor instituted?
             I have no knowledge of that.
              Okav.
              Why were you talking with Mr. Ward about the
     marketing the spread issue?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: We talked about marketing all the
         time; how we, you know, market our product contracts all
         the time.
10
             This issue may have come up, or probably did come
11
         up, and I didn't consider it a huge issue at that - you
         know, at the time, as I recall, but it evidently has
         become a big issue.
             I can't remember the context.
15
              What I'm trying to ascertain from you is is or are
16
     spread marketing activities a prohibited activity, at least
17
     with regard to Abbott's Alt Site, or is it just not a
1.8
     marketing tool that you would use?
19
              It's something that -- It's something that we
20
     didn't really want to do.
21
              If you look back seven or eight years ago it wasn't
22
     a huge issue.
              Because something that comes can go away. If
     you're not marketing based upon the quality and utility of
     your products, things like that can go away, and it's just
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 43 of 75

```
Page 165
    not a good marketing tool. Things can change.
             Sir, I'd like to show you what's been previously
    marked in another Texas deposition as Exhibit --
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Is it 766?
            MR ANDERSON: Yes
             And what I'd like to do is mark it as the first
    exhibit in your deposition.
             Mm-hmm.
         Α
             First, I'm going to have the court reporter mark
10
11
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
12
         identification.)
13
             Sir, if you could take a look at this document --
14
    Actually before you do, can I ask a question?
15
             How would spreads potentially go away?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Spread would go away if reimbursement
         changed.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
            THE WITNESS: And if you remember the era 1991,
         1992, there was an awful lot of talk about changing the
22
         health care delivery system in this country - I believe
23
         it was about that time the DRGs came in for Medicare and
24
        hospitals. And that may be why it gained greater
         attention on, you know -- And it's - doing that is not a
```

substantial advantage of your product. It's just not a long-term good thing. (Referring.) Okay. Sir, do you recognize this document? Α Ο It says, Interoffice Correspondence, Abbott, do you see that at the top? Mm-hmm. 10 Do you know who Mr. Gorman is? Yeah. Mark Gorman was a fellow who worked in Home 12 Infusion Services, and I believe he was one of the 13 salespeople over there. 14 Okay. 15 And you notice there are several people who this memo is directed to --17 Mm-hmm. Α Ω -- from Mr. Gorman? 19 Mm-hmm. Α 20 Including Mr. Dempsey and Mr. Ward? 21 22 And Mr. Karas and Miss Tobiason? 23 Mm-hmm. 24 And there's a cc listed that says, D. Robertson. 25 Mm-hmm

Page 166

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Do you have any reason to doubt that that's
    referencing you?
             No. That's me.
         Α
         0
             Okav.
             Do you have any reason to doubt that you received
    this memorandum?
             No, I don't doubt that I received this.
             Sir, if you could look at the second paragraph --
10
             -- it says. Conspicuous in its absence from the
    list is Baxter
             Do you see that?
             Mm-hmm.
             What significance does that have?
             Well, if it --
17
            MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
18
             THE WITNESS: I mean, if you look at the first
19
         paragraph, it says if they didn't sign up for the rebate
         program they wouldn't be eligible to sell the drugs to
21
         organizations who were billing Medicaid.
22
             Would that put Abbott in a better position for the
    products that it competes with Baxter?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: It would certainly put Baxter at a
```

```
Page 168
         disadvantage.
            Okay.
              Would it put Abbott at an advantage?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: It would put everybody who competed
         with Baxter at an advantage.
              Do you see the last sentence of that paragraph, We
     certainly can use this as a competitive selling advantage,
     do you see that?
10
              Yes, ma'am.
11
              How would Abbott's sales force use the fact that
12
     Baxter was not listed for Medicaid reimbursement as a
13
     competitive selling advantage?
14
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
15
             THE WITNESS: Well, I don't think we would have to
16
         use it, ma'am.
17
             When people tried to bill for Baxter products and
1.8
         didn't get paid, they would convert. It wouldn't be up
19
         to us. We wouldn't have to do anything.
20
              Okav.
21
              Well, do you know whether your sales force used the
22
     fact that Baxter was not listed to their selling advantage?
              No, I don't specifically know.
24
           Do you recall anything about this issue?
              No, ma'am.
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 44 of 75

out?

```
I also have to be honest; I received a lot of
    documents every day, and if I was not an addressee or cc'd,
    chances are it went -- I didn't read it.
              But I did receive it. Whether I read it or not is
    not - you know, is another issue.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Mark the next exhibit.
         please.
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 2 was marked for
         identification.)
             (Referring.)
            MR. HAVILAND: Could you identify it for the record,
13
         please?
14
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Sure.
             What we've marked as Robertson Exhibit 2 is the June
         14th, 1991 memorandum from Mr. Robertson to Kris Kringel
         with cc's to Virginia Tobiason; Chris Beglev; Bill
         Dempsey; Mike King and John Ward.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
20
              Sir, do you recognize this document?
21
              No, I don't. But I assume I wrote it and sent it
22
    to them.
23
              Why do you assume that?
24
             Because my name's on the top of it.
             Do you have any doubt that you sent this memorandum
```

No, ma'am. That's my signature on the bottom. Okay. And, sir, did you draft this memoranda? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't know that. I know -- I don't know that. Well, if you didn't draft this memorandum, who would have? 10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. You know, I really 12 don't know. 13 When you sent out memoranda as the vice president 14 and general manager of Alt Site, would you generally draft 15 the memoranda that you sent out? Most of the time -- Now, I didn't say I disagreed with what this said --Ω Okav. 19 Α -- you know, because I sent it out. But I'm saying 20 did I write the thing, and I'm saying I don't remember 21 writing the thing. 22 But you could have? 23 Oh, yes, I could. 24 Okay. 25 This is a memorandum from you; correct --

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b11

```
Page 171
        Δ
              Mm-hmm
              -- at the top?
              And Mr. Kringel, we've already established, was at
    the time the president of the Hospital Products Division?
             And, sir, in June of '91 you testified earlier that
    you assumed the position of vice president and general
    manager in 1990 --
10
              -- were you still, so to speak, learning the ropes
    in '91?
             Hopefully we always continue learn.
              I assume I was still learning; yes, ma'am.
              Do you see where it says, Proposed rules changes
    published by HCFA, do you see that in the Re: line?
17
1.8
              Do you know why you were - or can you tell us why
19
    you were writing concerning proposed rule changes published
20
    by HCFA?
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
22
            THE WITNESS: Well, I think it -- My job would be to
         inform my supervisor of any change which would impact or
        affect our group, and proposed reimbursement changes
         would impact and affect our group, so.
```

```
Page 172
              Who would proposed reimbursement changes impact and
     affect your group?
              Well, if they were to go down significantly - if
     reimbursement went down significantly, that would impact our
     group.
              The market would probably shrink or we would have
     to make significant price reductions or the products
     wouldn't be used.
10
              Physicians don't like to lose money --
11
         Ω
              Okay.
12
              -- so they would -- The price would come out of
13
     someplace - you know, the money would come out of someplace.
14
              Sir, do you see that first sentence attached here,
15
     The proposed rule changes published by HCFA regarding the
16
     reimbursement for drugs incident to patient treatment in
17
     physician's offices or dialysis center?
1.8
            I see that.
19
              Sir, can you look at the attachment to this
20
     document?
21
              (Witness complies.)
22
         0
              Can you tell me is that the attachment that was
     attached to your memorandum?
            Probably. Undoubtedly. I mean, I have no reason
     to doubt that it is.
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 45 of 75

0

sentence?

Yeah.

Okay.

```
Page 173
             Okav.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: And I will just represent
         that this document was produced in this sequence by
         Abbott.
            I guess it was Victoria Shain?
              No one claims authorship, do they?
            MR. STETLER: She's really supposed to be asking you
         the questions.
             Sir, let me ask you, do you know what the
    Reimbursement Task Force is?
              Based -- No.
12
              Okay.
13
              For sure, no.
14
              If you could turn to the very last page of this
15
    document at ABT21078, do you see your name where it says,
    Home Care, at the top?
             (Referring.)
              Yeah.
             Do you know what that means?
20
              I assume it's a department -- Let me look at the
21
     (referring). It makes me part of a distribution list of the
22
    Federal Health Issues Report.
23
             Okay.
24
              Do you have any doubt that the D. C. Robertson
    listed there is you?
```

MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, it refers to this document (indicating). 10 Okav. Hold on just a second. 12 (Discussion off the record.) 13 Sir, do you recall in '91 proposed changes to HCFA 14 rules concerning reimbursement for inpatient - for drugs 15 incident to patient treatment in a physician's office or dialysis center? 17 Well, that's what this document deals with. Ω Okay. I'm just asking you without looking at the 19 document --20 Oh, do I recall --21 Yeah. Do you recall that? 22 23 Q Do you know why you would have sent this memorandum 24 to Mr. Kringel?

MS. CITERA: Objection to form.

You received a copy of this, it appears.

Sir, that first sentence, what did you mean by that

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

25

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 175
            THE WITNESS: Just to keep him informed. It was
         something that was generated evidently by our Washington
         office because that's where Victoria Shain worked.
             Okav.
             Who is Miss Shain?
             She was a person who worked in Abbott Washington, I
    guess. Once again, we talked about that group earlier and
    what they did and what they were responsible for.
             I guess she was keeping her ear to the ground on
10
    all issues of health care for Abbott.
11
             Now, it says, These rules are being published
    within the larger context of a re-examination of the entire
13
    system and allocation of physician reimbursement, do you see
    that as your second sentence?
            Yes.
             What did you mean by that?
17
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
18
            THE WITNESS: I remember that in the early 90s,
19
         there was - people were looking - or the federal
         government, specifically, was dealing with the subject
21
         of how health care was provided, how it was billed for
22
         and reimbursed specifically at the federal level - their
        Medicare responsibility.
            And I remember DRGs, I think, came out about that
         point in time and there was a relook at the whole issue
```

```
Page 176
             And of forwarding this, I thought it might be of
         interest to Mr. Kringel what our Washington office
         thought, so I forwarded it.
              Okay.
              What do you recall about these rules?
              About the rules? As I recall, not much happened.
              Why do you have that recollection?
10
              Because I can't remember -- I can't remember
11
     anything happening now. I can't remember any specific
12
     change that occurred, you know, as a function of this relook
13
     by the government and others at this. I don't remember
     anything important or big issues happening.
15
              We don't have national health care now.
16
              Okay.
17
              I'm going to mark ask that this be marked as the
18
     second exhibit, and, sir, I'd like you to --
19
             MR. ANDERSON: Third.
20
              Oh, third - I'm sorry, the third exhibit, and it is
21
     a memorandum dated June 11th, '91.
22
              And, sir, I'd like you to flip to the last two
23
     pages, okay --
24
         Α
              Sure.
              -- first because we're going to talk about Exhibit
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 46 of 75

```
Page 177
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
         identification.)
              But we're going to talk about the last two pages
    and the context of Exhibit 2.
              If you could just flip to the last two pages first.
              (Witness complies.)
              Sir, I'll represent to you that sequentially this
    is how these documents were produced to us and these -- No,
     just if you could look at the last two pages, please.
11
             Okay.
              And the pages are labeled ABT212053, 212054 and
    then there's the final page that is - that doesn't have a
14
    label on it --
15
        Α
             Mm-hmm.
             -- with an ABT prefix.
              And then there's 212056.
              And, sir, I'm going to ask you to look at these two
    pages which, at the top, are proposed rules and ask you
    whether --
              The first two pages.
22
             No. The last two pages --
23
             I don't have --
24
             I'm sorry. The last three pages.
              I don't have a 2056 --
```

THE WITNESS: I have no idea. Okay.

Page 179 these appear to be proposed rules or is this a report? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This seems to be a report (indicating). Okay. Is it fair to infer that from the context of the second sentence of your June 14th, '91 memorandum that you attach rules published? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 THE WITNESS: Are these rules attached? (Referring.) Well, I may have misspoken in the memo because the rules don't appear to be attached. The summary of the rules may be attached, but the rules are not attached. Okay. 17 Then my next question to you is if you could turn 18 19 -- and we're going to leave these open because I 21 have questions for you about this. 22 For 212053 and 54, sir, are those the proposed rules that were being published that you are referencing in that second sentence?

```
Page 180
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: They may be; I don't know.
              Well, take a look --
              If you look at chronologically, they're pretty
     close in time. They may be.
              (Referring.)
              Sir, if you could move on to the next sentence, the
     third sentence in the June 14th, '91 memorandum --
              Yes, ma'am.
10
              -- it says, Changes are intended to effect drugs
11
     administered by injection or infusion, do you see that?
             Yes, ma'am.
13
            Where did you get that information from?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Oh, probably from -- If -- Probably
         from -- If it's a drug incident to treatment, probably
17
         from that sentence, I don't know where I got that.
1.8
              Okay.
19
              Then it says, This would include pain management
20
     drugs, Oncolytics - do you see that - Lupron and Calcijex?
21
              Oncolytics.
22
         Ω
              Oncolytics; thank you.
              Those are cancer drugs.
24
         0
              Okav.
              Lupron and Calcijex?
```

Page 178

- No. Down here (indicating).
- I have 54, 53.
- 53 and 54, okay?
- Right.
  - And then do you see the 212056.
- My question concerns these two pages --
  - Oh. okav.
- -- okav?
- MS. CITERA: I think you're talking about another
  - document. I think that's his confusion.
- MR. HAVILAND: I think you're talking about Exhibit
- 12

10

13

- MS. CITERA: Yeah.
- 14 Oh, this one (indicating).
- Yes, I'm talking about yes Exhibit 2.
- Okav.
- My question to you is, sir, could these two pages
- have been the regulations that you attached to this memo --
- 19 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
- 20 -- because it references, These rules are being
- 21 published.
- 22 MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
- 23
- 24
- 25 Well, for Exhibit 2, if you flip the next page, do

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 47 of 75

```
Page 181
             Where did you get that information from?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Because those are injection or
         infusion
             Okav.
             Do the regulations specifically speak to those
    drugs?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
10
            THE WITNESS: I would have to reread the regulation
             Why don't you take some time to do that.
13
             -- if they're drugs incident to treatment.
14
             Okay. Then I'll take the time and look through
15
    here. It may take a while for me to find that, but I will
    give it my best shot.
            MR. STETLER: Wouldn't it be easier for us to agree
         whether it's in there or not?
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. I mean, we're
         welcome to. I don't think it is in there and I want him
         to feel comfortable as to that.
22
            MS. CITERA: Well, I would object --
23
            MR. STETLER: And I would like to know -- It looks
24
         like Jones Day may have just produced the documents out
         of sequence, the way I read it, but I'd like to
```

establish whether or not the memo's referring to the document that the Witness is looking at just so we don't have an issue down the road about it. MS. CITERA: Well, first of all, there's zero foundation for the memo because he doesn't remember it. So whether or not he's going to remember --MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: He admitted to publishing it. MS. CITERA: He admitted that he must have sent it 10 around but he doesn't recall the document or the issue. so there's no foundation for this document nor could 12 there be any foundation as to whether this (indicating) 13 was attached to this document. 14 MR. STETLER: Maybe I could back to what I -- The 15 only thing I suggested - and I realize you guys have a purpose and a right to inquire, but it ought to be easy between the two sides to say whether or not there's a reference to those drugs in that very small print that 19 is going to take him about ten minutes to go through. 20 MR. HAVILAND: It should be except Jones Day just 21 said they're not going to stipulate to the authenticity 22 and admissibility that this is a document authored by 23 the Witness here, we've got a problem for using this at 24 trial. And if we can't do it with this witness, who are 25 we going to do it with? That's my problem.

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 183
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: That's my problem, too.
            MR. HAVILAND: So if it's a foundation objection and
        foundation has to be laid, you know how that is.
        Counsel, sometimes it takes a long time to establish
            I, for one, would like to have a foundation for this
            MR. SISNEROS: Well, maybe we can take a five-minute
        break and you review all three documents.
1.0
            THE WITNESS: Do you want me to read this
        (indicating) and read this?
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: These two pages and that,
        ves.
            THE WITNESS: I'm afraid it will take me more than
        five minutes, and I'd like Mr. Stetler to read it, too,
        and I'd like to review it in detail if this is very
        important.
1.8
            MR. HAVILAND: Ann, what is 55 - let me ask on the
19
        record. The document that breaks between the memo and
        the rules is one document.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I don't have that.
            MR. HAVILAND: Isn't there a 55?
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: No, I don't have that.
            MR. HAVILAND: Sometimes documents get produced out
        of sequence.
```

```
Page 184
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why don't we take a few
         minutes so you can look at that.
             I don't want to confuse the issue. We could start
         here
             THE WITNESS: This starts in mid-sentence. I don't
         know what -- This page starts in mid-sentence.
             Do you have a specific part of this page you want me
         to look at? I mean --
             MS. CITERA: Are we still on the record?
10
             MR. SISNEROS: Yes.
             MS. CITERA: Okay. Good.
             THE WITNESS: This starts in mid-sentence. What --
         Should I just read this and then what do I do then?
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why don't we go off the
         record for a few minutes.
             VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.
17
             (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)
1.8
             VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
19
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
20
              Sir, can you identify whether or not the
21
     regulations that you were referencing in the first paragraph
22
     of this memo imparted information concerning the page, Pain
     Management Drugs, that are listed?
24
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
             THE WITNESS: No. I look at the drugs specifically
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 48 of 75

```
mentioned here, I can't find them in here (indicating).
              If you could look at that next sentence, Rationale
    for a 15% reduction, do you see that?
             What did you mean by that?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Someone may have communicated to me
        that that was why it was going to happen.
10
              Do you know who would have communicated that to
11
    you?
12
              I have no idea.
13
              Okav.
14
              What do you mean by the phrase, And that AWP is a
15
    poor indicator of actual drug acquisition cost?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: AWP is an average. An average is
        wrong 100 percent of the time.
             Okay.
             Is that what you meant by that phrase?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
             THE WITNESS: Well, that's what I was told and that
23
         seems to me -- You know, I have no idea. If I look at
24
        it 16 years after the fact it seems a reasonable -
25
         reasonable to me, but I don't - I don't remember.
```

5-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc 9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 187 president and general manager of Alt Site? No. Do you know whether anyone at Alt Site did interact with them? Α Do you have any knowledge of how Alt Site would have learned that ASCO had an interest in resisting the HCFA changes? Δ Nο 10 What about PMA, what's PMA? Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association. Did you interact with the Pharmaceutical 13 Manufacturers Association when you were the vice president and general manager of Alt Site? I didn't personally; no. Do you know if someone in Alt Site did? 17 No. I don't remember. 1.8 Do you know what the basis of the assertion is that 19 PMA had an interest in resisting the published HCFA changes? 20 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 21 THE WITNESS: I can conjecture, but I don't know 22 specifically. What's your conjecture? They have an interest in drug pricing. But I don't know specifically.

Do you have any recollection as to why you wrote that phrase? Α No Okay. The next sentence in the second paragraph reads, Several professional groups have vested interests in resisting these changes, do you see that? Α Mm-hmm. And then the following sentence is, They include 10 ASCO, PMA, ASN and the Alliance for Infusion Therapy, do you see that sentence? 12 Mm-hmm. 13 Sir, how do you know that several professional 14 groups had a invested interest in resisting the proposed 15 changes? 16 Δ I must --17 MS. CITERA: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: -- have believed it at the time, but I 19 don't remember why. 20 Did you confer with any of these professional 21 groups? 22 23 Q What is the American Society of Clinical Oncology? 24 A professional organization. 25 Did you interact with them in your capacity as vice 0

Page 186

Page 188 What about the American Society of Nephrology, ASN, Ω do you see that? Mm-hmm. Α 0 Did you interact with ASN when you were the vice president and general manager of Alt Site? I knew people at the ASN. Who did you know at ASN? I don't remember their names. 0 Did you confer with them concerning the proposed 10 changes --11 Α -- published by HCFA? 13 COURT REPORTER: Let her finish for me. THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 15 What about the Alliance -- Do you know if anyone 16 else within Alt Site interacted with the American Society of 17 Nephrology? 1.8 Not my knowledge. 19 And the Alliance for Infusion Therapy, did you 20 interact with them when you were the vice president and 21 general manager of Alt Site? 22 Ω Do you have any knowledge as to whether anyone else 24 in Alt Site would have interacted with them? They may have, but I don't have specific knowledge

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 49 of 75

```
Page 189
    of that.
             Okav.
             Do you know in what context they would have
    interacted with them?
             It was a trade group that may have interacted, but
    I have no specific knowledge.
             How did you learn that these particular groups may
    have had an interest in resisting the HCFA changes proposed
            I think these --
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: These may be -- These appear to be
13
         groups that are incident to patient treatment, but I
14
         don't -- That would be the only thing I could think of.
15
         They used drugs instead of the patient treatment.
             Sir, the next sentence reads, These groups are
    being contacted by appropriate Alt Site and Abbott
    Washington personnel to determine a response to the proposed
    rule changes, do you see that?
            Mm-hmm.
             What do you mean by that sentence?
22
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
23
            THE WITNESS: Once again, it would be conjecture. I
24
        have no specific knowledge of what we would have done or
         told them.
```

Did you direct anybody to determine --I don't recall that. MR. STETLER: You've got to let her finish. She really wasn't done. Pardon me again. Okav. 0 Did you direct anyone to determine whether a response was necessary to the proposed rule changes? I don't remember --10 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: -- doing that. 12 In that sentence, who are the appropriate Alternate 13 Site and Abbott Washington personnel? 14 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: The Alternate Site people would be the 15 Home Infusion GM, the Alternate Site Product Sales GM, and Washington would be these individuals who were in the Abbott Washington office who were charged with 19 acting in our behalf, whatever they did. 20 I asked you a name before and you couldn't quite 21 place it, David Landsidle. 22 Do you know whether Mr. Landsidle was based in 23 Washington? 24 As -- Yes, I -- Yes. 25 And would he have been some of the Abbott

Page 190

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 191

personnel that you were referencing in this memo? As I recall now, yeah. Yes. He, and the other name is Miss Shaine here (indicating). Okav. And, sir, are the general managers of Alt Site and Home Infusion that you referenced addressed as cc's on this memorandum? (Referring.) 10 Yes, ma'am. Was this letter -- Was this memorandum directing them to make those inquiries --MS. CITERA: Objection to form. -- or intended to direct them to make these inquiries? It doesn't appears so, does it? It appears that 17 this merely an informational copy for them and we may have had a separate conversation directing them to do that; I 19 don't recall. 20 0 Do you have any recollection of having such a 21 conversation? 22 No, ma'am.

Do you know why you would have had such a

conversation?

Α

I think --

Washington personnel - one of the Abbott Washington

Page 192 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: -- reimbursement was an issue that everyone was interested in; health care reform was an issue that everybody was interested in. We were in the health care business; it seems to me natural that we would be interested in that. As these responses take shape, we will discuss them with you, do you see that, it's the next sentence? (Referring.) 10 Yes, ma'am. 11 What responses are you talking about? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: It appears the responses from our Washington group; what they came up with, I don't know. Do you recall any discussions with either 16 Mr. Kringel or any of the cc's concerning any responses? 17 No. ma'am. 1.8 The next sentence reads, The HCFA proposal has 19 significant momentum and we believe that some form of 20 reimbursement reduction has a high probability of occurring, 21 do you see that? 22 Mm-hmm. What did you mean by that? 24 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: My assumption is that I meant what I

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 50 of 75

```
Page 193
             What do you recall about the HCFA proposal having
    specific momentum?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Nothing.
            Why did Abbott care whether the HCFA proposal had
    significant momentum?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: As I said before, we were in the
10
         health care business. Health care reform was a very hot
         topic and, being in the health care business, such an
         interest would have been appropriate.
             Well, do you know whether your interest was in
14
     support of or against the proposed changes?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I don't know specifically; no. I'd
        have to read the - once again, the changes that are
         proposed. I don't have much memory what - you know.
         this was 16 years ago. I'm sorry.
20
             The next part of that sentence says, And we believe
21
    that some form of reimbursement reduction has a high
22
    probability of occurring, do you see that?
23
24
             Do you know why you believed that?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
25
```

```
Page 194
              Or why you wrote. We believe that?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Once again, it would be conjecture on
         my part. Because I have no specific recollection, it
         would be conjecture because we believed it because we
         believed it.
             The environment - the health care environment may
         have been such that we believed it but I have no
         specific recollection of facts or reasons why.
10
              Why would Abbott care about reimbursement
     reduction?
12
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
13
             THE WITNESS: Reimbursement reduction reduces the
14
         utilization of drugs.
15
              Why does it?
              Why does it?
              Mm-hmm.
              Because physicians will use less drug if the
     reimbursement's inadequate, I assume.
20
              How do you define inadequate?
21
              If they lose a lot of money in - in - in - in
22
     treating patients, I mean, they might lower the level of
23
24
              Is it would that occur only if they lost money?
25
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 195
            THE WITNESS: You know, I don't - I don't know - I
         don't know specifically.
            I know that - that it's evident here -- I don't
         recall specific facts. It was evident here that
         lowering of reimbursement would have a negative impact
         on patient care, so.
             And do you have any recollection as to why that is
    or why you conclude that?
             No, ma'am.
1.0
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I mean...
             The next sentence reads. Our efforts are to ensure
    these changes are as small as possible, do you see that?
            Do you recall what efforts you were talking about?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
17
            THE WITNESS: Our efforts were generally to try to
         explain - although, I haven't - to explain what the
19
         impact might be to appropriate individuals not within -
         within our organization. We didn't deal with people
21
         outside our organization.
22
             Well, do you know whether any lobbying efforts were
    undertaken with regard to the proposed HCFA changes in 1991?
             They may have been by our Washington group - I
    assume they got paid for something, but I don't know
```

```
Page 196
     specific actions that they took.
            Do you recall discussing lobbying efforts
     concerning the '91 HCFA changes with anyone?
              No, ma'am.
              Why would Abbott have an interest in seeing that
     the changes are as small as possible?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: Once again, I have no specific
         recollection but I do know that disruption and change
10
         muddies the waters, slows the market and just is
11
         disruptive to businesses - change is disruptive to
         businesses. But I can't remember specific facts in that
         regard.
              But can change at times be for the good?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
16
             THE WITNESS: I can only speak experientially.
17
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
1.8
             THE WITNESS: That -- I just know that it's
19
         disruptive. Over the long period of time change
20
         certainly has to be positive or people wouldn't make it
21
         but it can be very disruptive.
22
             But I have no specific recollection of the facts of
         these issues here (indicating).
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             The next sentence, which is a longer one, reads,
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 51 of 75

The abandonment of AWP as a good indicator of product acquisition cost and the statement in the proposal that wholesale price guidelines substantially overstate the true cost of drugs, as well as the statement that ultimately there should be a national drug fee schedule have significant implications for our business, do you see that? Mm-hmm. What did you mean by that? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: My assumption is that I meant what I said; that they were using AWP and they were going --COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. They were --13 THE WITNESS: -- using AWP here and they -- The 14 sentence says that the - whoever's making these changes was dissatisfied with it. Did you believe in your capacity as vice president and general manager of Alt Site that AWP was a good indicator of product acquisition cost? No; probably not a good at product acquisition cost. No; there were differences between acquisition cost 21 and AWP. 22 Okay. 23 And what were those differences? Once again, an average is always wrong - you know, by definition an average is always wrong. So it can change

and customers who didn't have access or a membership in the large buying groups. Do you know why you wrote that, The abandonment of AWP is a good indicator product acquisition cost would have significant implications --MS. CITERA: Objection. -- on Abbott's outside business? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 10 THE WITNESS: Because that's -- Although I don't remember specifically, I do know that there would be -12 if you abandon one system and go to another it causes change and it would be a bureaucratic change, it would 14 take a long time and it would be disruptive to our business. How would it be disruptive to your business? Because would have to understand it, understand the 18 changes, and changes in our system are always disruptive. 19 But if the change proposed was to base 20 reimbursement upon a more reliable indicator of product 21 acquisition cost, wouldn't that, in the long run, be more 22 beneficial to the overall reimbursement scheme? 23 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 24 THE WITNESS: Forgive me, ma'am, but in my 25 experience, federal government would create a

and it would probably discriminate against small customers

Page 198

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

Page 199

bureaucracy that would take everybody 16 months to get paid for and half the places would be out of business by the time it got done. I was concerned about disruption in the business. not the level of reimbursement. Were you at all concerned about the possibility that a reduction in reimbursement could impact the interests of Abbott's customers in purchasing product from Alt Site? A reduction in reimbursement --1.0 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: -- could impact the interest of our customers; I believe that to be correct. Okav. Why do you believe that to be correct? Because, once again, it's a change. If the reimbursement went up, it would have one 17 change; if the reimbursement went down, it would have 18 another change. It would just be disruptive. 19 Does that answer your question? 20 Okay. Let me ask this -- Let me move on to the 21 next part of the sentence. 22 You wrote, And the statement in the proposal that wholesale price guidelines substantially overstate the true cost of drugs.

Do you think that that was an inaccurate statement?

Page 200 I don't know where I got the -- It's in quotes. Does the quote come out of here? If that's true, I quote someone else's opinion. I have no specific knowledge of where I got that from. Well, do you think that AWP -- When you served in your capacity as vice president and general manager of Alternate Site, did you have any understanding as to whether the AWP reimbursement scheme or the wholesale price guidelines caused a substantial overstatement of true drug 10 costs? 11 They could. I believe they could. But I don't -- Once again, in my memory, I believe 13 they could. I don't have any specific facts in that regard. 14 I don't have any numbers or specific facts in that 15 regard. 16 As an average, did AWP sometimes underestimate 17 provider acquisition cost while it sometimes also 1.8 overestimated provider acquisition cost? 19 That's a computed number, and I don't know how the 20 number was computed. We didn't -- We didn't compute it, I 21 don't think. 22 I would just have to take a look at the formula that was used to compute AWP and we could tell whether it did or it did not, and also the -- There are probably

invoices available from the period of time that would tell

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 52 of 75

```
Page 201
    you whether it did or did not.
             Other than the overall business disruption that you
    described as being a concern, were there any other
    significant implications for Abbott's Alt Site business that
    you were concerned about?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Well, I was probably concerned that
         the reimbursement rate would be significantly lowered so
        that the drug might not be used, but I have no specific
         regulation of - recollection of that.
            A prudent person might be concerned that the
         government would make a decision that was ill-based and
13
         impact the health care of the patient and the
14
         utilization of the drug.
             But if the drug reimbursement was lowered so that
    physicians were still recovering their cost and, perhaps, a
    little bit more, why would that have an impact on
    utilization?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
20
            THE WITNESS: I -- Once again, I'm -- I -- I don't
         know. I don't recall the specific -- There are more
22
         costs that are incremental to drug acquisition cost that
23
         are associated with treating patients which makes -
24
        which dwarf the drug cost - someone has - you know, a
         nurse or someone - someone who is highly paid on an
```

```
hourly basis has to inject the drug. There are all
         sorts of costs that are associated with that, so - and
         in addition to records keeping, so the drug is a portion
         of the issue.
             But I don't remember specifically why I did that.
              Do you know whether the HCFA 15 -- the HCFA
     proposed rule changes impacted anything other than physician
     reimbursement for actual drugs?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
10
             THE WITNESS: I don't remember what the proposed
         HCFA rule changes impacted; sorry.
12
             I mean, I don't remember any of this. This is a
13
         long time ago.
14
             Well, let me ask you this: If the proposed
15
     regulations reduced reimbursement but the physicians were
     made whole, would there be any concern with the physicians
17
     being made whole and not losing any money and being able to
     recover what they needed to recover to continue to maintain
19
     their practices, would there still be a concern in your mind
20
     that drug utilization would be reduced?
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
             THE WITNESS: I don't -- You know, I don't -- I
23
         don't know what the impact would be on them. I just was
24
         concerned - you know, we were concerned about
25
         disruption.
```

Page 202

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

3000230-1207-4307-1008-1008-3000110

```
Page 203
            Whether or not the physicians make money or not was
        not my concern; my concern was whether or not we could
        market these drugs effectively in the appropriate
        markets. I'm not -- A physician's income is - they get
        paid for treating patients, not for drugs.
             Well, how would a change --
            MR. SISNEROS: Excuse me. I think that speaker's
            COURT REPORTER: No. It's the person next door.
10
            MS. CITERA: Are you having trouble hearing, because
        I see you leaning.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: If we could hold on just a
        second, I'm going to go outside and make sure that
        they're not outside.
            Let's take a quick break.
            VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.
            (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)
            VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
19
            THE WITNESS: Okay. These issues were a long time
        ago, and I apologize if I can't be more helpful, but I,
21
        frankly, don't remember.
22
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Okay.
             Was AWP or government reimbursement by HCFA rooted
    in an AWP formula important to Alt Site marketing?
```

```
Page 204
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: How -- How the cost of the drug was
         recovered by a physician or a physician group, or how
         health care was paid for - how cancer patients or
         Medicare patients or whomever, how they got care and
         whether or not this care was adequate, yes, was a
         concern to us.
              Well, did physicians make money by providing drugs
     or treating patients?
10
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: As I recall, they're in business for
11
         treating patients.
             I don't know how much money they made -- I don't --
         You know, I understand, I've heard of instances where
         physicians have made money selling drugs.
16
              Well, can we agree that it's probably not a good
17
     health care practice overall for physicians to be making
1.8
     their money selling drugs?
19
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
20
             THE WITNESS: I'm not a commenter on health care
21
         policy, but in some countries, that's how they make most
22
         of their money.
              Okav
              Do you think that that's a fair practice or are
     there problems with that practice?
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 53 of 75

```
Page 205
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: There are problems with every
         practice, but I'm not qualified to comment on the health
         care delivery systems of other countries.
              If you look at the final sentence of that second
    paragraph --
             Yes. ma'am.
              -- - do you see that - The framework for a downward
    spiral of drug prices is laid through these rules, do you
11
              Mm-hmm.
12
             What did you mean by that statement?
13
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
14
            THE WITNESS: As I recall, if you -- If this
15
         elaborate bureaucracy were set up to find out what the
         actual cost of drugs were, and if they were low - now,
         it could be an upward spiral, too, but the upward spiral
         - for the upward spiral to happen, doctors would have to
         lose money for two or three years on buying these drugs.
         But if the prices were downward, then they would take a
         look at the actuals and then it would be a downward
22
         spiral - they'd replace it with new actuals and new
23
         actuals if physicians refused to - maybe to ultimately
24
         refuse to use the drugs, I believe. So that was what I
         - that was kind of the thing.
```

```
Page 206
             And, once again, this is all conjecture and things
         that are going to happen in the future and things we
         were discussing 16 years ago. I wish it could be more
         helpful with regard to specifics.
              The final sentence of this memorandum say, We will
     keep you informed of progress regarding the industry
     responses and our response, both as supplier and
     manufacturer, do you see that?
             Mm-hmm.
10
              What did you mean by that?
            I believe --
12
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
13
             THE WITNESS: -- that we had the opportunity to give
14
         input into the PMA, which is the lobbying
15
         organization --
              Sir, I can tell you, I'm going to have to ask you
17
     to slow down because I can barely --
              Oh. okav.
19
              -- hear you and I know the court reporter is --
20
             COURT REPORTER: If you could just take a breath
21
         because she keeps objecting and I can't - it's three
22
         people I'm trying to get, so.
23
             THE WITNESS: Okay. It's that New York upbringing.
24
         I will slow down.
25
             MR. STETLER: You can tell when the machine starts
```

```
Page 207
         to smoke like this, (indicating) you're going too fast.
            THE WITNESS: I apologize. Forgive me.
            MR. STETLER: Slow down.
            THE WITNESS: Your question again?
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: If you could read it back.
            Okay. Why don't I just re-ask it.
            COURT REPORTER: You were asking if he saw the last
         sentence and then --
             The last sentence --
10
            COURT REPORTER: -- What do you mean by that?
11
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Yeah. What do you mean by the last --
            MS. CITERA: Same objection.
            THE WITNESS: (Referring.)
            We will keep you informed of progress to the
         industry; the -- There's -- The PMA would respond to
17
         proposed changes - would probably be asked to respond to
18
         proposed changes, our lobbying group in Washington would
19
         probably be given the opportunity through the PMA to
20
         respond to these.
21
            And I was -- It appears to me that I meant what I
22
         said; that I would keep Mr. Kringel and those copied on
         this memo informed of anything that I knew regarding the
         potential of health care reimbursement reform or --
         Yeah, I mean, that's --
```

```
Page 208
              Okav.
              Do --
             THE WITNESS: -- what I appear to be saving, ma'am.
             Go ahead.
             THE WITNESS: That's what I appear to be saying.
              Sir, do you recall what you did to keep Mr. Kringel
     or the others informed of the progress regarding industry
     responses?
             No, I --
10
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
11
             THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
             And you write at the very end, And our response,
13
     both as supplier and manufacturer, do you see that?
14
         Α
              Mm-hmm.
15
             Did Abbott -- Would Abbott have had different
16
     responses as a supplier as compared to as a manufacturer?
17
              I don't know why I wrote that. Perhaps it was one
1.8
     was going to go through the PMA and one was going - one
19
     response was going to go through an industry organization.
20
              Okav.
21
              Well, do you know whether Abbott, itself, made any
22
     response to the proposed published HCFA changes?
              I don't -- I don't know and I have no knowledge of
     that. I don't remember that.
```

Sir, what I'd like you to do is turn to Exhibit -

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 54 of 75

```
what we've marked as Exhibit 3, the first two pages.
              I've had you concentrate on --
              This is 2; this is 3.
             Right there (indicating).
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: And, for the record, this
        is a June 11, '91 memo from Virginia Tobiason,
        Interoffice Correspondence to Mr. Dempsey, Mr. Heggie,
        Mr. King, D. Robertson and J. Ward.
             Mm-hmm.
              (Referring.)
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Sir, do you recall seeing this memorandum?
12
13
              No, ma'am.
14
              It says at the top that it's from Virginia
15
    Tobiason, Manager of Reimbursement?
        Δ
              Yes
             And then on the To list, do you see the D.
        0
    Robertson?
              Mm-hmm.
        Α
             Do you have any doubt that that's you?
21
              Oh, no, ma'am.
22
              And do you have any doubt that you received this
23
     memorandum?
24
              I probably received this; yes, ma'am.
25
              Let me ask you, based upon the content of this
```

```
memorandum, do you believe that you might have obtained
     information from Miss Tobiason that informed your June 14th,
     '91 memorandum?
            That --
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: That may be; I don't know. You know.
         once again, it may be; I don't know.
              Okay.
              Well, if you see the first sentence of Miss
10
     Tobiason's memorandum, it says, On June 5th, HCFA
11
     published --
12
              Right.
13
              -- the proposed --
14
              Right.
15
         Ω
              -- rule on physician payment reform, do you see
     that?
17
              Yes, ma'am.
              Was that June 5th HCFA publication the proposed
     rule changes that you're referencing in your June 14th, '91?
20
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
22
             THE WITNESS: -- know that, ma'am. I don't know
23
24
             They talk about renal care here. I don't -- I don't
25
         know that. I'm sorry.
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 211
             The second sentence reads, This rule included a
    proposal to lower the payment for drugs incident to a
    physician's services to Average Wholesale Price, AWP minus
    15%, do you see that?
             Yes, ma'am.
             Do you understand what Miss Tobiason was
    referencing there?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
10
            THE WITNESS: She was probably referencing these
        pages here, (indicating) but I - once again, I have not
        read them in detail and don't know whether that's what
        she's articulating in this memo.
             Well, what does AWP minus 15% mean to you?
             It means Average Wholesale Price minus 15%.
              But this rule - the published rule - I don't know
17
    where it says in here what she notes in front; I don't see
     that in the back here (indicating).
19
             Once again, I haven't had an opportunity to read
20
    i t
21
             Well, why don't we do this. Why don't we go off
22
    the record so you that you've got an opportunity to do read
    it okay?
             And what I can try and do, sir, is, if you want me
    to, I can try and blow that up on our copier if that would
```

```
Page 212
     be easier for you.
            I'll do my best to read this.
              And you could be helpful; the question you asked me
     on AWP minus 15%, are you talking about a specific place in
     here where it says that that you can show me or send me to?
             Let me see if I can find it.
             MR. HAVILAND: Third column, carry-over paragraph.
             THE WITNESS: Third column.
10
             (Referring.)
            Right here, (indicating) 85%.
             MS. CITERA: What page are you looking on?
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: 53.
             MS. CITERA: Are we on the record?
             COURT REPORTER: Yes.
16
              Okay. And it says here -- (referring) Yeah, that's
17
     the same statement, Average Wholesale Price minus 15%.
1.8
              You know, I mean is there a reason for me to doubt
19
     that that's what she's referencing is Column 3? I don't
20
21
              Well, what -- What significance would that have for
22
     Abbott's Alternate Site if the AWP reimbursement-based
     system was changed to AWP minus 15%?
24
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
25
             THE WITNESS: What impact would it have?
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 55 of 75

```
Well, if it was based on AWP and then it's going to
    be based on AWP minus 15%, it could go down, stay the same
    or remain.
             And the memo here articulates some reimbursement on
    AWP, some reimbursement on AWP plus, some reimbursement on
    AWP minus - I mean, I don't know what -- Does it talk about
    a specific impact? I don't know.
             Well, what I'm asking, sir, is does it have any
    significance for you as the former vice president and
    general manager of Alternate Site?
             It would appear here that the proposed rule would
12
    reduce reimbursement
13
             Okav.
14
             And if physicians are still made whole, why would
15
    Abbott care about a transition to an AWP minus 15%
    reimbursement?
            The biggest --
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Excuse me, ma'am.
            The biggest word in the English language, "if."
            As I articulated earlier, we are always concerned
22
         about the disruption due to reimbursement. If
23
         physicians are made whole; we had no way of knowing
24
         that, we had no way of knowing how any of these rules
         changed proposed could impact the business.
```

certainly based on this memo, Miss Tobiason isn't much of a prophet. This was definitely the start of a national drug pricing control policy - well, it's 16 years later and that hasn't happened. So as a prophet, she doesn't have much credibility. I don't know if this memo has much more credibility. Well, let me ask you this: Do you know whether Abbott could determine whether physicians were made whole 10 based upon an AWP minus 15% reimbursement? 11 I don't know if -- We could -- We probably could 12 take an effort at it, but I don't know if we could do that 13 definitively. 14 Well, you knew, didn't you, how much they were 15 paying for Abbott drugs, didn't you? Right 17 And AWP was a published figure, wasn't it? I guess it was; yes, ma'am. I never -- Personally, I never looked at the Red Book and saw it. I'm taking on 20 faith that it was a published number. 21 What is the Red Book? 22 It's some -- I guess it's a book where you send in 23 prices and it's some sort of published book of pricing. 24 And how do you know that? 25 I've heard the term.

So if you were to ask me to make a determination.

Page 214

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 215
         Ω
              Have you ever reviewed a Red Book?
             Never.
              Well, if Abbott knew how much it was selling or how
    much physicians were paying for its products and Abbott was
    able to ascertain how much the AWP was, couldn't you
    determine whether or not physicians would be made whole on
    Abbott products?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: A reasonable person could assume that.
10
         I don't know for a fact.
11
             Okay.
              Sir, do you see the third sentence of the first
13
    paragraph, In effect, this rule will change reimbursement
    for all Medicare Part B injectable drugs including renal,
    pain management and chemotherapy?
             Yes, I do.
17
             Do you know what Miss Tobiason meant when she said
18
    that?
19
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
20
            THE WITNESS: Well, evidently, this AWP minus 15% is
21
         not the rule that's being followed - was not the rule
22
         that was being followed at the time this memo was
         published. So if it went from something to this, it
         would change the reimbursement.
             Okay.
```

```
Page 216
              And would that be -- Why would that be of
     significance to Abbott's Alternate Site?
             MS. CITERA: Object to the form.
             THE WITNESS: As I said before, all reimbursement
         changes, all conditions of client, customer practice are
         of interest to providers of drugs.
              If we could move down to the next paragraph where
     it says, Current policy, if you could just read those two
     sentences, please, sir?
10
            (Referring.)
11
              Medicare currently reimburses drugs either based --
             THE WITNESS: Oh, excuse me, ma'am. I'll slow down.
13
            Medicare currently reimburses drugs either based on
     reasonable charges or the drug cost based on AWP as
15
     published in the Red Book. Each Medicare intermediary
16
     carrier has discretion to determine the reimbursement level
17
     with some paying below AWP and others paying above AWP
1.8
              Sir, did you understand that in June of 1991 that
19
     was the current Medicare reimbursement policy?
20
              I don't remember.
21
              Let me just ask you this general question: Do you
22
     know how AWP was calculated?
             No
24
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             Do you know whether there was a formula for
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 56 of 75

```
Page 217
    determining AWP?
              One would assume there was a formula, but I don't
    know it.
         Ω
             Do you know who calculated AWP?
         Δ
             Nο
             Sir, do you know how Medicare knew to reimburse
    either at a reasonable charge or the drug cost based upon
    AWP as published in the Red Book?
              Frankly, I don't know how they did that.
10
             Do you know whether it was determined by the amount
    of charge reported to Medicare on the HCFA 1500 Form?
12
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
13
            THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the HCFA 1500
14
         Form. Is that is a cost report?
              Well, then I don't know how they would know what it
    cost if that's not a cost report.
             Okav.
              Are you aware that charges for drugs administered
    by physicians could be billed to Medicare if they were
    Medicare reimbursable on a HCFA 1500 Form using a J code?
22
             I have no idea what had a 1500 Form or a J code is.
23
24
              Do you know whether Abbott's reimbursement
    department would have handled that?
```

MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Anything that had to do with, you know, reimbursement - dealing with Medicare, dealing with any reimbursement would be done by -- Now, there was only - please realize there was only one department in my little bailiwick that had a reimbursement department, and that was Home Infusion Services. How the corporation or how Hospital Products would have dealt with government reimbursement, you'd have to 10 speak to people more qualified than I who were in that department, and I don't know who they are. 12 13 Sir, the next sentence reads, Major Issues, do you 14 see that --15 Yes, ma'am. -- the next section? 17 The first bullet point reads. There is a guestion whether HCFA has authority to limit reimbursement for these 19 drugs through regulation, do you see that? 20 Yes, ma'am. Were you aware of any question concerning HCFA's 21 22 authority to limit reimbursement through regulation? 23 24 Are you aware of any initiative or effort by Abbott 25 or Abbott's Washington unit to challenge the authority of

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fe

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

```
Page 219
    HCFA to limit reimbursement through regulation?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I personally never saw Abbott's
        Washington organization challenge anybody.
             Do you know whether they lobbied anybody?
             I don't know if they spoke to anybody in that
    regard.
             The next bullet point reads, that, In the proposed
     rule, HCFA indicates that they are going to consider
10
     establishing national drug fee screens in the future. This
11
    is definitely a start towards national drug pricing
     controls, do vou see that?
13
            Yes, ma'am.
             Was that of concern to Abbott?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: Evidently it was a concern to the
        author of this memo, but I don't know -- Drug control,
        would it be -- Would it impact the organization? Yes,
        it would.
             What was the likelihood that that was going to
21
        happen? Probably small.
            And was it a concern of the corporation - a large
        concern of the corporation? Probably not.
             Okay.
             It was a concern to Alt Site?
```

```
Page 220
              Once again, it was -- I guess it was a concern to
     this person. But she was so far off the mark in terms of
     where things were going - she talks about this is definitely
     the start of national drug policy; a person could not have
     been more incorrect from the standpoint of what happens, or
     the standpoint of what happened over the course of the last
     16 years, at least.
         Q Okay.
              Why do you see that?
10
              We don't have national drug controls -- National
11
     controls on prices in this country.
              And this individual indicated this is definitely
13
     the start of national drug control. That is -- That is --
     That's totally incorrect.
15
            The next bullet point reads, Also included in this
     rule is a proposal to reduce even further the payment for
17
     very high volume drugs, do you see that?
1.8
              Yes, ma'am.
19
              What were the high volume drugs that you can recall
20
     for Alt Site?
21
              For us? Well, they would probably be - Calcijex
22
     was a high one because, you know, most renal care - renal
     dialysis patients are on Calcijex; that was a high volume
24
     drug. And, I apologize, I can't remember specifically, I'd
     have to see some documents from this point of time that had
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 57 of 75

Page 221 volumes on them. Well, if you could -- Okay. What about vancomycin, was that a high volume drug to your recollection? MS. CITERA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Vancomycin, as I recall, was a pretty commonly used - commonly used antibiotic, but I don't have any specific numbers for you. Okay. Sir, the next bullet point reads, HCFA is seeking comments on the level of discount, do you see that? 13 0 And then Miss Tobiason writes, I believe there are 14 a number of arguments we can use in response? Do you know whether Abbott made any arguments in response or made any comments to HCFA concerning these proposed rule changes. Not that I -- No, I don't know of any responses made formally to HCFA. 21 The next sort of sub-bullet point is an example of 22 an argument that Miss Tobiason is proposing could be made 23 and it reads, Discounts counts vary among purchasers. Some 24 providers may pay full AWP and others may get discount but not a full 15% due to manufacturer discounting practices, do

you see that? Α Yes, I do. Do you know which Abbott Alt Site customers paid full AWP for their products? No, I don't. Okav. 0 Do you know what Miss Tobiason - or how did you interpret Miss Tobiason's comment that others may get a discount but not a full 15% due to manufacturers discounting 10 11 MS. CITERA: Objection to form. 12 THE WITNESS: Some people may belong to small 13 purchasing groups, others may belong to larger 14 purchasing groups, and volume generally drives -- Volume 15 drives the discount level. So there may be differences in pricing there, or at least that was industry practice. I can only assume that that's what she means. 19 Okay. 20 It says, Manufacturers discounting practices. 21 Did Abbott Alt Site have any, or did Abbott, 22 itself, have any discount practices with regard to products 23 sold by Abbott Alt Site? 24 I'm sure we had --25 MS. CITERA: Objection to form.

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1

Page 222

Page 223 THE WITNESS: -- quidelines with regard to discounting, but I don't remember what they were specifically. Do you know who would have been responsible for developing those guidelines? The discounting guidelines would be the contract marketing people in the division, our fellows in Alternate Site Product Sales and - yeah, those would be the people. They're working together to try to make sure that across the 10 customer segments and market segments that the pricing made 11 sense. Ω When you say contract marketing within the 13 division, which contract marketing do you mean? We have a big con- -- the Hospital Products Division had a huge contract marketing organization. 16 0 Okav. 17 Α We had a very small Hospital -- We had a very small contract marketing organization, so we would go to the 19 Division to make sure that any actions we took in terms of 20 discounting and pricing would make sense versus the large 21 Hospital Products division that was -- Well, we were a 22 smaller business, they were the larger business so we wouldn't -- This is my sense, I don't remember this specifically, but a reasonable person would not let the tail

wag the dog and we would go to them and make sure that our

Page 224 discounting practices made sense. Are you aware of any Alt Site customer who paid full AWP price for any products? List -- AWP price --As published in the Red Book or another comparable 0 compendia? I don't know of any; no. I don't know of any. It's been a long time and I don't have the 10 customers list. But I'm sorry. 11 If you could flip to the next page. Yes ma'am 13 0 Okav. The next bullet point is a proposed argument by Miss Tobiason which is, Actual drug costs are not the only 16 costs to be considered when establishing drug fee screen, do 17 you see that? 1.8 19 What is a drug fee screen? 20 One would assume, based upon reading this memo or 21 based upon reading this bullet point, that a drug fee 22 screen, which would be an attempt at saying how much should we pay for this and we're talking about other costs -24 wastes, breakage, inventory cost, drug procurement cost, bad debts; that these are other things that should be considered

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 58 of 75

```
Page 225
    when considering the cost of a drug to a provider of health
    care
              So if a provider of health care was reimbursed for
    drug costs plus a little extra that covered these
    contingencies concerning bad debt and possible waste and
    breakage, would there be any concern to Alt Site as to a
    loss in either physician utilization or market share?
            MS. CITERA: Objection to the form.
            THE WITNESS: You know, it's hard to come up with an
10
             If physicians are adequately reimbursed for what
         they do and what their costs are, one would assume
         that -- But I - once again, I can't do that, I can't
14
         speak for the physicians, I'm not a physician.
              Okay.
              The next argument says, There are disincentives to
    use higher cost, more effective drugs because of
    reimbursement limits, do you see that?
              Mm-hmm.
20
             Do you understand what Miss Tobiason was - meant
21
    there?
22
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
23
            THE WITNESS: It appears to me that Miss Tobiason is
24
         saying that if you lose money per unit you don't make it
         up in volume, and if you lose money per unit in high
```

```
volume drugs you specifically don't get whole in volume
         - that's what it says to me, just reading it here today.
             Perhaps Miss Tobiason could explain better than I.
              Okay.
              And then it says, This could have a serious impact
     on patient care, do you see that?
              Mm-hmm.
              I think TAP or Renal could use this argument
     effectively, do you see that?
10
              Do you know why TAP - or she was arguing that TAP
12
     or Renal could use that argument effectively?
13
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
14
             THE WITNESS: That it would have been an impact on
15
         patient care?
             If physicians lost money - if they change the
         reimbursement and physicians lost money on drugs - --
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okav.
19
             THE WITNESS: -- is that your question?
20
             No. My question is a little bit simpler than that.
21
              Do you know why Miss Tobiason believed that or
22
     did --
23
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Strike that.
24
              Did you think that TAP or Renal could use that
25
     argument effectively?
```

Page 226

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 227

```
MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I believe that if providers lose large
         amounts of money treating patients that patient care
         will suffer
            I believe that, suddenly, a phosphorus level in
         bone, which was - which was unacceptable yesterday may
         be acceptable today and that patient care will suffer if
         physicians lose money on drugs.
            These patients can benefit from these drugs. The
10
         physicians will not go out of business extending them to
         patients. And I believe if they lose money on drugs - I
         know this was a concern, they begin to lose large
        amounts of money or a drug that patient care would
         suffer.
             Well, was the concern that they would lose,
    actually lose money or was the concern that they wouldn't
17
    make as much as money?
18
             Oh, that they would lose money.
19
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             That was the concern, that they would actually come
21
    out of pocket money for drugs that they distributed to their
22
    clients.
            That was --
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
```

THE WITNESS: -- the problem that was articulated to

```
Page 228
        me, as I recall.
             COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. She keeps objecting and
        it's hard to hear all three of you at once.
             THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry.
             COURT REPORTER: "That they would lose money; that
         was the concern, that they would actually" --
             MR. STETLER: You know, it may help her if we took a
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yeah. Why don't we take a
10
        break.
11
             MR. HAVILAND: Why don't you just do the question so
        we don't have to come back to it. You want to do that
         again; guestion, objection, answer and...
             COURT REPORTER: "Or they wouldn't make as much
        money"; you said, "They would lose money," and you said,
16
         "That was the concern, that they would" --
17
             MS. CITERA: And I said, "Objection," and then --
             MR. STETLER: "They would lose money," she said --
19
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: That they would lose money.
20
             MR. STETLER: -- Objection.
21
             COURT REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.
22
             THE WITNESS: If physicians, especially with high
         cost drugs, lose money that patient care will suffer.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Okay.
        0
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 59 of 75

```
Page 229
              And did you interpret lose money to mean that they
    would actually be out of pocket money?
             Yes, ma'am.
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             Okav
             And not that they would not receive as high of a
    profit above their cost.
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
            THE WITNESS: I don't recall the specific
10
         conversations. The conversations centered around losing
         money and the impact that that would have on patient
         care.
13
             Okay.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why don't we take a break.
            VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're going off the record.
             (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)
            VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Sir, we just got back from a break.
20
             Before I ask you a few more questions - and I'm
21
    going to try and move away a little bit from the documents
22
    so that we can have a dialogue here.
23
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I just want to state I've
24
         got some 82 documents, and as I predicted, somewhat
25
        accurately, I think, to Mr. Stetler, I don't think that
```

```
and I just wanted, for planning purposes, for everybody
         to know that and I know that the Relator has questions
         and I believe that Mr. Haviland may have questions after
         that, so I just wanted to put that on the record,
         because we've got probably, maybe at best, another two
         hours to go.
             MR. SISNEROS: Well, California probably has some
         questions.
10
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. And California does,
12
             MS. CITERA: And then just before we start with your
13
         questioning, I just want to get our agreement on the
14
         record which is, in order to assist the court reporter,
15
         going forward, if I have an objection to form, I will
         simply say, Objection. If anyone has a problem with
         that and does not agree to that deal, please speak up or
         else I will assume that my objection counts for
19
         objection to form.
20
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: The government has no
21
         problem with that.
22
             MR. STETLER: Agreed.
             MR. SISNEROS: Agreed.
23
24
             MR. HAVILAND: Is there a - I don't want to belabor
25
         the topic but given the timing that was just laid out,
```

the government is going to finish its examination today

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fe

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 231
        we're not coming back tomorrow?
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yes; we are not because --
            MR. HAVILAND: We're going to try to get another
        date that may work for the Witness and everybody else.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: And we just ask Mr. Stetler
        to work with Mr. Robertson. I know we kind of want to
        close this out as quickly as possible.
            MR. STETLER: December.
            MR. HAVILAND: The snowbirds are back.
            MR. STETLER: Oh.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Yeah. The traffic will be
        much heavier then
13
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: (Continued.)
             Sir, we've talked a little bit about the content of
    Miss Tobiason's document.
16
             As the former vice president responsible for
17
    Alternate Site, I'd like to come at my questions this way:
    You have an understanding that with regard to reimbursement,
19
    if physicians lose money they're not going to utilize Abbott
20
    products or drugs, in, general right?
21
             My impression -- Yes, and that patients who could
22
    benefit the drugs - for the use of the drugs won't get that
    drug
             Because the doctors lose money on it.
        0
        Α
             Yes.
```

```
Page 232
              What I'd like to ask you is what -- How should
     doctors be made whole under a reimbursement scheme --
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
              -- should they been paid for the cost of the
     product?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: I'm unqualified to answer that. I'm
         not a health care planner.
10
             But I know that no ideal system exists, but I'm not
11
         a health care planner. I don't know how they should be
         reimbursed
             A lot smarter people than I have tackled that issue
         and broken their pick on it.
15
              But, sir, as when you were the vice president of
16
     Alt Site, for Abbott's marketing purposes, what level of
17
     reimbursement impacted Abbott's ability to sell its
18
     products --
19
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
20
              -- in Alt Site?
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: I -- You know, specific recollection,
22
         I don't have
             But would you -- I mean, you're asking the
         questions. It would seem intuitively correct that if
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 60 of 75

```
physicians lost money, they wouldn't use the drug.
              Okav.
              How would physicians lose money?
             If -- Physicians would lose money if the costs of
     administering - of buying, storing, administering,
     accounting for and notating, including nursing notes, were
     significantly higher than what they get paid for.
              I mean, physicians are human beings. A marginal
     loss, fine. But if they're going to bleed money, I don't
10
     think they'd use the drug. I have no data to support this.
11
              It was a hypothetical question and I answered it
12
     hypothetically.
13
             Okav.
14
              Well, did Abbott, when it was pricing its drugs,
15
     factor in the possibility of physician losses?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: We priced our drugs -- We're talking
         about two different prices. We priced our drugs based
         upon competitive environment - now - you know, what our
         competitors charge versus what we charge. There's a
         difference between reimbursement and pricing.
22
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
23
             THE WITNESS: We based our pricing on competitive
24
         environment, as I recall.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
```

```
Page 234
              Well, do you know whether Abbott had any ability to
     influence reimbursement?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to the form -- Oh, objection.
             THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that.
             Do you know whether Abbott's reporting of list
     prices impacted reimbursement?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: You know, I don't know.
             If - If - If AWP is a calculated number off list
10
         price, it would affect it.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
12
             THE WITNESS: I didn't -- I didn't determine those
13
         prices; I don't know how that whole process worked.
14
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
15
              Do you know who did?
              The division
              When you say, "the division," who do you mean?
              Hospital Products Division.
19
         Ο
             Any particular unit within the Hospital Products
20
21
              The contract marketing people seemed to do
22
     everything else - they did all the contracting, so I assume
23
     it would be their responsibility to establish list prices.
24
              In terms of making physicians whole for their
25
     administration of drugs, should the overall reimbursement
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fe

Page 235

8c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
received by physicians take into account bad debt and the
    possibility that Medicare patients might not be able to pay
    their 20% co-pay?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I think what -- If you're talking
         about in the context of this memo, I think what Miss
         Tobiason was trying to articulate was that cost
         transcends just what you pay for this vial, and that the
         presentation of an inclusive versus a narrow view of
1.0
         cost should be considered when dealing with authorities
         that reimburse, be they private or governmental.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Can you repeat that? Can
         you read back that answer, please.
             (The question was read back as previously recorded
         by the court reporter)
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
17
             Well, what would be inclusive?
1.8
             As the former divisional vice president for Alt
19
    Sites, what would be inclusive?
20
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
21
            THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know what else should be
22
         included. But cost would comprehend the - there are
         some other costs included in here. And the fact that
         sometimes things break there's administrative
         responsibilities, et cetera, some sort of attempt should
```

```
Page 236
         he made to determine what those costs are
              Well, would those total inclusive costs be more
     than 100% of the actual drug cost, do you anticipate?
             MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
             THE WITNESS: It's impossible for it to be less.
              What do you mean it's impossible for it to be less?
              I'm having trouble articulating.
              If you have -- If you're paying for a vial and you
     have more costs associated with that vial, how it could be
10
     less than the cost of the vial.
11
             And I'm sorry, I misspoke.
12
              Let's say -- I meant to say 200% of the drug cost.
13
              Do you think that if physicians were reimbursed for
     200% of the drug cost that that would be inclusive?
15
             I'm sorry --
16
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
17
             THE WITNESS: -- I have no way of knowing what would
1.8
         be adequate to cover physician's cost. A worthy
19
         objective would be for somebody to try to find out,
20
         though.
21
              Well, do you think there becomes a point in time
22
     when reimbursement above the cost of the product plus the
     other, you know, intangibles such as breakage that are
24
     inclusive in the physician's cost, do you think that there
     reaches a point that reimbursement might be higher than
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 61 of 75

```
Page 237
    those inclusive costs plus the cost of the product?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: It could be. Could be.
            Do you know, for example, if a physician were
    reimbursed at a 1000% of the drug cost, that would be
    unreasonable?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: You know, I'm sorry, I have no way of
        knowing that, it's all conjecture, but tools exist to be
        able to examine cost and determine what would be fair
        and adequate for reimbursement.
             Did Alt Site ever employ those tools to make that
13
    evaluation?
14
            I think we probably had some informal efforts to
    determine that because - but I can't produce documentation
    that articulates what we did or how we tried do it.
             Why do you think that there were informal efforts?
             We had a lot of things to do and that may not have
        Α
    been one of the highest priorities. I don't know. I don't
21
             If physicians were making money above their cost of
22
    the product plus the inclusive costs that you described,
23
    would that surprise you if they were being reimbursed at an
24
    amount higher than the inclusive costs plus --
25
            If --
```

```
Page 238
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: -- If the physicians -- Could you
         repeat it?
             I don't -- I'm sorry. Please forgive me.
         O Sure
              If the physician were reimbursed at an amount that
     exceeded the cost of the product plus the inclusive costs
     that you just described, do you think that that's
     reasonable?
10
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: Is your question -- Is your question
12
         should physicians make money on drugs as a philosophical
13
         question or --
14
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: That's one way to ask it,
15
         so, yes --
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: -- that's my question.
             THE WITNESS: That's your question.
19
             I don't believe that the use of drugs should be an
20
         incentive for any physician to use it. They should use
21
         it based upon specific care plans and patient status, I
22
23
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
24
         Q Do you know whether, as a matter of policy,
25
     physicians -- Or do you have an opinion as to whether as a
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 239
    matter of policy physicians should be making money on the
    drugs that they are prescribing that they purchase from
    Abbott?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: As a -- You know, once again, that's a
        health care planning issue.
            They -- You know, they should make the majority of
         their money treating patients; that's what they should
         do.
10
             Okay.
             Sir, I want to read a statement that Virginia
    Tobiason wrote in here, and I want to ask you if you believe
13
    as the former vice president - as the former divisional vice
    president of Alt Site if you believe this statement is true,
    and the statement is, Discounts vary among purchasers. Some
    providers may pay full AWP and others get a discount but not
17
    the full 15% due to manufacturers discounting practices.
             Is that a true statement?
19
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: That's probably correct.
21
             Why do you say that?
22
             Because if manufacturers won't discount more than
    50% -- 15%, they're not going to be able to buy the drug.
    In other words, if a manufacturer's discounts stop at 85% of
    list price and you want to pay 80% of list price and the
```

```
Page 240
     manufacturer refuses to sell it at that price?
              I don't get it.
             Sir, earlier you made reference to the HPD contract
     marketing and not wanting to have the tail wag the dog. Do
     you remember that?
              What I meant by that - and let me please
     explain - --
              -- we were a smaller organization, the Hospital
10
     Products Division - significantly smaller. So it's prudent
11
     to ensure that a smaller organization doesn't take pricing,
     credit decisions, terms and conditions of delivery decisions
13
     that the larger organization cannot live with or don't make
     sense strategically, economically or from doing a good job
15
     for your customers.
16
              Do you know whether reimbursement issues that were
17
     important to the Alternate Site Division influenced or
1.8
     impacted list pricing that was determined by the Hospital
19
     Products Division contract marketing?
20
            I have no knowledge of that.
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
22
              What was your understanding as to how list prices
     were arrived at?
            I had no curiosity about list prices. They were
     set by the division.
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 62 of 75

When you say, "they were set by the division," who do you mean? Α I assume they were set by the contract marketing people. Once again, I had no curiosity. I don't know how they were set. Sir. I'd like to go to the home - to ask you some questions about the home infusion business, which you've articulated earlier was not probably your favorite. No, ma'am. 11 Was it important for Abbott's Home Infusion Unit 12 that reimbursement for Abbott products be at a particular 13 level? 14 MS. CITERA: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: That reimbursement be at a particular level? We were getting a percentage of collections and to say that that impacted the percentage of -- That the price of the drug would impact, that's just arithmetic. So the answer to your question is yes; it had to. 21 Is it fair to say that if reimbursement on Abbott 22 products was higher and Abbott's consignment partners or 23 revenue share partners in home infusion business collected 24 higher reimbursement for Abbott product that then Abbott 25 would share in that higher reimbursement?

MS. CITERA: Objection. THE WITNESS: That meant that -- Yes. That also is just arithmetic. It would mean that -- It would even be worse - that would mean we would lose less money and get out of the business more slowly. It would be a catastrophe if the reimbursement increased. Sir, let me ask you, we discussed Lupron a little 10 bit earlier. 11 12 You indicated that you learned about the TAP Lupron 13 litigation from the newspapers? 14 Mm-hmm. 15 Ο Did you have any concern about Lupron distribution 16 by Alt Site? 17 MS. CITERA: Objection. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know whether they used our 19 pharmacies or not. I know they had their own 20 pharmacies. I don't know if we ever distributed the 21 material for them. 22 If it needed compounding, we may have compounded for 23 them on a fee for service basis - I don't recall - until 24 they built their own pharmacies, because I understand 25 they had their own pharmacies - I know they had their

Page 242

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

Page 243 own pharmacies. What was your understanding of the TAP litigation? My understanding of the TAP litigation, very frankly, was that doctors who had been to medical school through their formal training in urology and had practiced for many years were convinced by a 28-year-old Phys. Ed. major to charge for a drug that the doctor didn't pay for. I -- That's my understanding; I thought the doctors -- That was my understanding of the litigation, that they said that 10 the doctors were billing for a drug they didn't pay for or something like that, and I couldn't believe that a doctor would do that 0 Who was the 28-year-old that --Α A salesperson --Okay. -- would go in and convince a man who had been to 17 university, to medical school, through urology training and establish a successful practice, and this 28-year-old Phys. ED. major walks in and says, You can bill Medicare for 20 products you didn't pay for? And the person was wrong. 21 And I don't -- I can't believe a physician would 22 bill for -- That's just incomprehensible to me. Did you have an understanding as to whether or not Abbott was criminally charged with regard to --I understand that several individuals were charged;

Page 244 ves. 0 Okay. Do you know whether TAP pled quilty to any criminal charges? No; I'm unaware of that. I don't know. As the divisional vice president for Alt Site, would it be important to you to ensure that if Abbott or an affiliated joint venture like TAP engaged in criminal conduct that your division not be tainted by that criminal 10 conduct? 11 MS. CITERA: Objection. THE WITNESS: That's -- We would not want to be 13 tainted in any way by any conduct - negative conduct or 14 unprofessional contact by TAP or anyone else. 15 Did you have an understanding as to whether or not 16 your division engaged in increased pricing for Lupron 17 product that was sold by the Alternate Site Unit? 1.8 MS. CITERA: Objection. 19 THE WITNESS: Once again, the sales of Lupron by the 20 Alternate Site Unit, I don't even remember being in that 21 business. I'm sorry. 22 MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Let's mark this as the next exhibit And I'm just going to ask him about the first page  $\,$ for right now.

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 63 of 75

```
Page 245
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 4 was marked for
         identification.)
             MS. CITERA: I'm just going to object because these
         are not sequential; they're stapled together but they're
        not sequential.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay. Well, you can state
        your objection.
            MS. CITERA: Okay.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: I mean, 48,000 pages that
10
         Mr. Rodman produced, so.
11
            I'm just asking him about the first page.
12
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
13
              Sir, all I need you to do is look at the first
14
     (indicating).
15
         Α
             Mm-hmm.
             Sir, does this -- Are you familiar with this
    document?
        Α
             Who is Miss Lynn Leone?
             She was one of the administrative people in Home
    Infusion Services.
22
              Does this refresh your recollection as to whether
23
    or not Lupron may have been a product that was sold by the
24
    Home Infusion Services?
            The product was not sold by anybody in Home
```

```
Page 246
     Infusion Services. We didn't sell Lupron.
              Somebody who sold it had to turn the order over to
     us, and if they turned the order over to us we would fill
     the order because there was some problem with a pharmacy
     marketing it.
              But we didn't -- Nobody in our organization sold
     Lupron.
              I mean this is the -- If they want -- If people
     came and wanted -- I guess what I'm telling you, ma'am, is
10
     we didn't have any salesman out there pitching Lupron.
              Okay.
12
              But was Lupron distributed by Abbott pharmacies?
13
             Evidently, based upon this document --
14
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: -- it was.
             (Referring.)
             I don't know how large the sales were or how many of
         these things were done.
19
              Sir, if you could just look at the first page.
20
21
              I don't want you to be distracted by the other
22
     pages.
23
24
              Sir, if you can look at this memorandum, in the
25
     third sentence it says, Because of these increases - Because
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 247
    of these increases our list price on the 50 should be
    adjusted, do you see that?
             Yeah.
        0
             Do you know what list prices are being referenced
    as our least prices?
             Do you remember any issues arising concerning
    Lupron pricing through the Home Infusion Services?
             No, ma'am. I don't even remember ever selling
10
    Lupron or having anything to do with it. I apologize.
             Do you know whether - why list prices would be
    impacted by increases in AWPs?
13
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: No.
            Sir, did you have an understanding as to whether
    there was a formula for AWP that was at 25% markup on drug
17
    costs?
18
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
19
            THE WITNESS: No.
            Did you have an understanding with regard to any
21
    product sold by Alt Site that there was a standard industry
22
    markup?
             No
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Mark this as the next
        exhibit.
```

```
(Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 5 was marked for
         identification.)
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: And just for the record,
         this is a December 22nd, 1994 memorandum from Chris
         Snead to Don Carson, cc, John Ward.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Sir, do you recognize this document?
         0
              Have you had a chance to review it?
10
         Α
11
              This appears to be a memorandum from Chris Snead to
     Don Carson, do vou see that?
13
         Α
              Yes.
              With a cc to John Ward?
         0
              Mm-hmm.
              Who is Don Carson?
              I have no idea.
1.8
              Who is John Ward?
              John Ward's a former general manager of Alternate
20
     Site Product Sales.
21
              Now, this says, Interoffice Correspondence. Do you
22
    have any reason to doubt that Mr. Carson was within the
     Alternate Site Product Sales?
24
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: I don't know who the individual is;
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 64 of 75

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

24

```
Page 249
         I'm sorrv.
             Okay.
              Is it possible that he could have been an employee
    of Alt Site Product Sales?
            I couldn't tell you --
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: -- I don't know the individual.
             Okav.
             And it's dated December 22nd, 1994?
              Do you remember a Coram RFP coming out in or about
    December or November of '94?
13
             No. But it's referenced here, so it probably
14
    happened.
15
             And I believe you referenced Coram earlier. Can
    you remind us again, what is Coram?
             Coram is a company that provided home infusion
    services - a home infusion service provider, and we would
    sell them product.
             Okav.
21
              And what is a Coram RFP?
22
             RFP is a common term indicating request for
23
24
             Okay.
         Q
25
              And what is a request for proposal?
```

The AWPs were, as I understand it, were available 21 to the customers, themselves. 22 Why they would ask us to do it would mean we're 23 doing the work that they don't have to do.

or within Abbott Alt Site prohibiting the distribution of

AWP information for RFPs from potential customers?

It's a request to quote on business.

What does that sentence tell you?

another gentleman - that they wanted to know what the

wholesale acquisition cost for each drug was, but they

note that they are asking for WAC, AWP, price if line item award and price for bundled award, do you see that?

In the second paragraph, Miss Snead notes, you'll

That sentence tells me -- You know, again, it's

tough to know - Miss Snead is a very nice young lady - it's

wanted to know what the AWP for each drug was; they wanted

to know what the price would be if a specific line item was

the only drug they ordered for us, and they wanted to know

what the price would be for each drug if they gave us all of

Was there any policy at Abbott that you're aware of

Okay.

Mm-hmm.

their business

0

Ω

Would Abbott provide that information? 25 MS. CITERA: Objection.

Page 252

```
Page 251
            THE WITNESS: I don't know. Here -- It doesn't say
         we wouldn't, here.
             If it's public information - the average wholesale
        price of the drug is public information, I don't know
        why we wouldn't provide it.
             But I have no specific knowledge of having provided
         and not providing - I mean having not provided the
        information in this instance.
            THE WITNESS: Am I slowing down enough?
            COURT REPORTER: Yes. Thank you.
            THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
            MR. STETLER: You're doing fine.
            Since I yelled at you when you were bad, I'll tell
        you you're doing good.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: If you could mark this as
        the next exhibit.
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 6 was marked for
19
         identification.)
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: For the record, this is a
21
        February 9th, 1995 memorandum from Tim Harris regarding
22
        catalog price increases.
    BY MS ST PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Sir, do you recognize this document?
             No.
        Α
```

```
It appears to be a memorandum from Tim Harris --
         Α
              Mm-hmm.
              -- and it lists on the. To, list Ed Hayman, Pete
     Karas, Chris Kolber, Sean Murphy, Randy Prozeller and Bill
     Welch, do you see that?
              and then listed on the cc, among others, is D.
     Robertson, do you see that?
              Mm-hmm.
10
              Do you have any reason to doubt that's you?
11
         Ω
              Do you have any reason to doubt that you received
13
     this memorandum?
         Α
              No.
15
              Sir, the Re: indicates that this memorandum
16
     concerns catalog price increases and then the first sentence
17
     indicates that attached to the memorandum is the first pass
1.8
     of the '95 catalog price increase worksheets, do you see
19
     that?
20
         Δ
21
              Do you recall receiving catalog price increase
22
     worksheets in the normal course as your - in your - hold on,
     let me finish - in your capacity --
24
             MS. STETLER: Just when you were getting good.
25
              -- as the divisional vice president of Alt Site?
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 65 of 75

```
Page 253
             Do you know why you received this memo?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I -- No.
            Do you know whether -- Do you recall receiving
    either this memo or any memo like for forwarding catalog
    price increase worksheets?
            No, ma'am.
             That was not my responsibility to do the catalog
10
    pricing worksheets.
11
            Do you know whether Abbott took a catalog price
    increase on its products each year?
13
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
14
            THE WITNESS: No, I don't know that specifically,
15
         whether catalog prices were increased -- Well, no, I
         don't know
            Do you know whether Pete Baker would comment upon
    the catalog price increase worksheets?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
20
            THE WITNESS: Yeah; it would probably be Pete's
         responsibility to do that. I mean, in '96, was he
22
         general manager? John Ward was.
23
            You know, these are the names down here of the
24
         people who have to submit them, and Pete Baker's name is
         on there, isn't it?
```

```
Page 254
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Mm-hmm.
             THE WITNESS: So I guess Pete would be the guy that
         would fill them out.
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
         O Would Abbott's Alternate Site personnel have
     influence over the catalog price increases on list price
     that Abbott made?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: In the HPD catalog?
10
             I don't know that, but probably not.
12
              Why do you say, probably not?
13
              Because as I've articulated before, the division
14
     was much larger and things were done there that suited the
15
     division, not that suited our organization.
              Yes, I mean, I - that's my recollection. I mean, I
17
     don't know - I don't know the -- I remember getting that as
     an objection a lot of times when we tried do things.
19
              What do you mean by that?
20
              Well, I was told to make sure that everything we
21
     did made sense from a divisional standpoint. I didn't do
22
     things solely of my organization. I was encouraged to do
23
     that; mm-hmm, and that's appropriate.
24
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: If we can mark this next
25
         exhibit
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 255
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 7 was marked for
         identification.)
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
            First, sir, before we move on to this document, can
    I ask how were the annual list price increases determined?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
             Do you know whether they were routinely taken?
             Do you know whether when list price increases were
    taken whether there was a corresponding increase in contract
    prices?
13
            I know that price increases were very important to
    us and that we took price increases every chance we got, and
    I think price increases we did take whenever we had the
    opportunity.
17
             Was it routine? I don't know.
18
             Once again, you can have a -- The truth is
19
    difficult to come by, because if you take price increases
    and sign one monstrous customer your Average Selling Price
    is going to go down, but you have, in fact -- And that's
22
    customer mix, having a larger customer.
             Our goal was to take price increases whenever we
    could.
             When you say, "price increases," do you mean list
```

```
Page 256
     price increases --
              Oh, no, ma'am.
              -- or contract --
              No, ma'am.
              -- price increases?
              List price increases don't feed the cat. You need
     to get the customer to buy at a higher price.
              Why do you say, "list price increases don't feed
     the cat"?
10
              Well, as I've said before, that this is a place
11
     where you start your negotiation - okay - the list price is
12
     where you start your negotiation.
13
              Our costs went up consistently - plastics for
     resins, for cardboard, for labor, and we changed the
     starting point for our negotiation and attempted to get
16
     price increases as much as we could because our costs were
17
     increasing, as well.
1.8
              Sir, if you could just take a look at this document
19
     that's been marked as Exhibit 7 - Robertson Exhibit 7,
20
            7? Oh, okay.
21
              Because it's Sellers 362 also.
22
            Yes. And I will note that that's the Exhibit 362
     from the Texas litigation, and we are, as was pointed out
     before, we're not in Texas anymore.
             MS. STETLER: Or Kansas.
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 66 of 75

Abbott?

```
Page 257
              Okav.
              Sir, do you - and I will ask you the question as
     you flip through the document. Do you recognize any of the
     pages of this document?
         Δ
              Nο
              If you could flip first to the third page which has
     the PostIt fax note?
              This one here, (indicating) Page 1?
              Yes.
         0
10
              And do you see where it says on the PostIt fax note
12
     Dave Brinks?
13
         Δ
              Mm-hmm
14
              And it says, From {\tt G} - and it looks like an
15
     ampersand, E or GTE, do you see that?
              Mm-hmm
              And do you know who GTE or G, ampersand, E might
    be?
             No.
         Α
20
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
21
             Sir, this appears to be --
22
             THE WITNESS: You can find out by looking at the
23
         phone extension, though.
24
              Okay.
              So is it fair to say that's probably someone within
```

```
Α
              Most of the phone extensions ended with 7, or
     started with 7, so it might be, yeah.
              If you could go up to the chart, do you see at the
     top of the page?
              Yes, ma'am.
              Sir. do you see where it says. List Price?
         Α
              Mm-hmm.
              And, Rx link acquisition price?
         0
10
              Do you know what Rx link acquisition price is?
         0
              Do you know what Rx link customer price is?
14
         Α
         Ω
              Do you know what Old awp is?
         Δ
              Nο
              What about awp/gram?
         0
              That would -- If this is -- This is evidently a 500
19
     milligrams (referring) - yeah, this is a 500 mg. vial.
20
     the AWP per gram - if this is a 500 mg. vial, will be double
21
     the old AWP.
22
              Okay.
23
              And awp/gram?
24
             Yes, ma'am. This is a 500 mg. vial --
25
              Oh, I see. I got you.
```

```
Page 259
              -- so to get to a gram, you'd double the 500 mg.
        Α
    price.
             Got. vou.
              And then there's awp as percentage of list, do you
    see that?
             Yes. ma'am.
         Α
             Do you understand what that means?
              Well, if you've got -- It's just, once again,
    arithmetic; AWP as a percentage of list.
              It means AWP is 1.1875 \times list.
             It would appear to me. I don't know. I don't have
13
    a calculator.
             Let me ask you this: First of all, do you know
    whether GTE could mean Gerry Eikorn?
16
            MS. CITERA: Objection to form.
17
            THE WITNESS: I have no -- I don't know.
18
              Were you ever aware of a request that was made from
    Home Infusion Contract Marketing to Gerry Eikorn to lower
20
    the vancomycin price - list price?
21
             No, I'm not aware of that.
22
              Were you aware of any changes in vancomycin that
    were requested - in vancomycin pricing that were requested
    by Home Infusion or anyone else at Alternate Site?
             No.
```

```
Page 260
              Would that have been something -- If such a request
     had been made, would that have been something that you would
     have expected to have been advised about concerning?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. My concern was the selling
         price and what we sold it for.
             If they requested a price reduction, that would be a
         marketing decision that they would make.
             My concern was what we sold it to the customer for.
10
              But when you say, "they," who are they?
11
             The Alternate Site Home Infusion Services people or
     the Alternate Site Product Sales people. That's something
13
     the general managers there would do.
              Okay.
15
              So you would defer to the general managers as to
16
     whether requests should be made --
17
1.8
              -- for changing in pricing?
19
              -- they knew the marketing -- They knew the markets
20
     much better than I did.
21
         Ο
              Okay.
22
              Do you see there's a text that says, Dave, my
     suggested list price is 5% over Rx link? Do you see that?
24
         A Dave - (referring) --
              Right here (indicating).
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 67 of 75

```
Page 261
              Right here?
              Oh, yeah. Excuse me.
              (Referring.)
              I see that.
              Does this refresh your recollection as to what \ensuremath{\mathtt{Rx}}
     link might mean?
              No.
              Did you have any understanding at all --
              I've heard the term before - I've heard the term Rx
11
              It's obviously a customer pricing - a customer
     pricing mechanism, but I don't remember what it was or - I
13
     do remember hearing the term now.
14
              The next text line says, I will copy Jerry C. and
15
     ask her to make the change, do you see that?
             Yes, ma'am.
             Do you know what that means?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: I don't know who Jerry C. is.
              Had you ever heard the name Jerry Ciserali?
22
23
              Do you know whether rebate issues impacted the
     Alternate Site or Home Infusion market?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
25
```

```
THE WITNESS: Is your question did we provide
         rebates to customers; is that your question?
              You know, what I'm going to withdraw my question
     for right now. We'll get into that in a second.
              If you could go to the page that preceded this
     page.
         Α
              Mm-hmm.
              It says, NDC Information, Product Name, and then,
     Manufacturer, Strength, AWP, and AWP gram, do you see that?
10
            Sir, what does this appear to you to be?
12
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
13
             THE WITNESS: What it appeared to me to be?
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Mm-hmm.
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: It appears to me to be a comparison of
         average unit prices per gram among various competitors
         within this business.
19
              And do you know whether Abbott Alternate Site
20
     routinely would compare pricing among its competitors?
21
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
22
             THE WITNESS: I don't know -- Pricing, yeah, but I
23
         don't recall if they ever did comparisons with AWP.
24
             I sense they compared selling prices with their
25
         various competitors, but not AWP. This is -- This is
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

```
Page 263
         news -- I mean, this is something I have not seen.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
             Did you have an understanding as to whether, for
    example, Eli Lilly's AWP price for vancomycin was
    substantially less than Abbott's AWP price for vancomycin?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I didn't know that. I mean, it is
             It is substantially lower here --
             Mm-hmm.
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             -- on this chart?
             And it's also -- Abbott's vancomycin AWP is also
    higher than the vancomycin for Schein and Elkins-Sinn, do
    you see that?
             Mm-hmm.
17
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             Do you know why that is?
19
             Had you ever heard that Abbott's vancomycin AWP was
21
    much higher than its competitors?
             I have now. It's a lot higher than competitors.
22
             I mean, I -- We've always tried to get - I mean,
    tried to get a premium for our products. But I don't
    understand; this is way different.
```

```
Page 264
              Do you have any understanding as to why it might be
     way different?
              Well, the AWP -- If you take a look at the AWP per
     gram, it's still significantly different.
              Is this the first time that you're learning that --
              No. It's the first time I've ever seen data like
     this. I've heard in the past, but I've never seen -- I
     can't recall having seen the data.
              Sir, would comparisons of AWP for an Abbott product
10
     versus a competitor's product be inappropriate?
11
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: For -- Be inappropriate? I don't
13
         know.
             This is some kind of pricing aberration, I mean -- I
         don't know.
              Can you explain the pricing aberration?
17
1.8
              Were you aware at all prior to today of that
19
     pricing aberration?
20
              I was aware that it was higher. I was aware that
21
     it was higher, I believe - that our AWP was high.
22
              Do you know how much higher?
              No, I didn't how much higher.
24
              Okav.
         0
              Would a comparison between Abbott's AWP versus a
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 68 of 75

```
competitor's AWP constitute spread marketing activity?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. You're
        just -- You're reviewing it.
             Do you know why --
             I don't know why it's so much higher. I can't
    explain that. I don't know.
             Was there any either official or unofficial policy
    prohibiting the comparisons of Abbott's AWPs versus a
             I don't recall having a policy printed making any
    comparison we made of our competitors. I don't remember
13
    that
14
             What would be the purpose of comparing AWPs?
15
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: To determine -- You know, to determine
        where you were in the market, I assume.
             Sir, were you aware that Eli Lilly's vancomycin was
    a brand drug?
             A brand drug?
             Mm-hmm. That it was proprietary?
22
             I don't understand how vancomycin can be
23
    proprietary.
24
             You can have a delivery system that's proprietary,
    but I don't understand how you can have a generic drug,
```

```
Page 266
     vancomycin - that's of the chemical structure of vancomycin
     and have a proprietary. That would have to be explained to
              Well, if Abbott was the first to develop vancomycin
     and then it went off patent and became a generic --
              Mm-hmm.
              -- okay, if Eli Lilly had the - you know,
     originally had the patent --
              Mm-hmm. That means they were the innovator; yeah.
10
              And then do you have an explanation as to why
12
     Abbott's AWP might be so much higher than the vancomycin
13
     that Lilly was selling that was the original - you know,
14
     that was the original innovated product?
15
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: I don't know why.
17
              Do you know when Abbott became aware that the its
     vanco AWPs were substantially higher?
19
         Α
              No.
20
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
21
              Do you recall when you learned that the AWPs were
22
     higher?
23
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
24
             THE WITNESS: No. I did know that the AWP was
25
         higher, but I didn't know how much.
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 267
            Once again -- Okav.
             What time is it?
             Ouarter to four.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Is now a time for a quick
         break before we round out the day?
             VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're going off the record.
             (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)
            VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
    BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
10
             Sir, do you have any recollection as to who might
    have told you about the difference between the vancomycin
    AWPs for Abbott's generic product as compared to its
13
    competitors?
             The only person I would have conversed with on this
    subject would have been either been John Ward or Peter
16
    Baker.
17
              So I don't know who told me that there was a
18
    difference. I don't remember if I was ever told about the
19
    magnitude of the difference.
20
             Do you remember whether there was any -- Do you
21
    remember the context in which it was discussed?
22
             Do you know whether Abbott's contract price for
    vancomycin was substantially higher than that of its
    competitors?
```

```
Page 268
              I don't remember pricing on specific product; no.
              Is it fair to say that if Abbott's contract price
     was significantly higher than its competitors that Abbott
     likely wouldn't get the market on that, that customers would
     go to its competitors at the lower contract price?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: That would depend on availability and
             But being higher priced is not always -- It's not a
10
         always an advantage with a generic drug.
11
              What do you mean?
              Well, being higher priced, you know, you'd have to
13
     defend it in some way --
14
              Okay.
15
              -- so - or, you know, explain to the customer why
16
     your value is greater than someone.
17
              If, say, Abbott's contract price for its vancomycin
1.8
     was at or about the same as Eli Lilly's contract price for
19
     vancomycin, if you were a customer would the fact that
20
     Abbott's AWPs are substantially higher than Eli Lilly's
21
     influence, do you think, your decision making with regard to
22
     which product you're going to purchase?
             MS, CITERA: Objection.
24
             THE WITNESS: That's kind of contextual.
             How many products does Eli Lilly have to compete
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 69 of 75

```
Page 269
         with the Abbott injectable line?
             All I wanted to find out about is just for the
    vancomycin product.
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: And I'm telling you I can't answer
         your question because I don't know how many products Eli
        Lilly has to compete in this product line, why people
         would - whether there would be such great differences,
         why one company would choose to trash a product line,
10
         which is not unknown.
11
             The question really can't be asked in a vacuum. The
         question is if this is the only product that Eli Lilly
13
        has and they don't provide any support for it, they just
14
         fired out there at bargain basement prices and see what
15
        happens.
            If we are -- If this product is part of an
         80-product portfolio that we have, that we support, the
         market dynamics are different. I'm not trying to be,
         you know, offuscate, I'm just saying in a vacuum, that's
         difficult for me to answer that question.
             Well, let's --
22
            THE WITNESS: Is cheap better than expensive in
23
         life?
24
            Not always.
             Well, let me ask you this: If reimbursement for a
```

```
Page 270
     particular vancomycin product is tied to its AWP, and if AWP
     for Abbott's vancomycin product is much higher than its
     competitors, don't you think it would be attractive to
     Abbott's customers to purchase the Abbott product over the
     Eli Lilly product if the reimbursement is higher?
            If you just do the --
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: Once again, ma'am - excuse me - but if
         you just do the arithmetic, sure --
10
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okav.
             THE WITNESS: -- if you just do the arithmetic.
12
             Here are so many more things that enter into
13
         customer relations and product lines and product
14
         offerings and contractual relationships that, in a
15
         vacuum, it's really hard to say.
              Well, did reimbursement differences between
17
     Abbott's products and its competitors products factor into
     Abbott's Alternate Site customer's decision making?
19
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
20
             THE WITNESS: We didn't market it in that way,
21
22
              Well, I'm not asking whether you marketed in that
23
     way, what I'm asking is would it factor into their decision.
24
            I don't know that.
25
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
```

```
Page 271
            THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, once again, I can't
         tell that.
             Did you ever learn -- Go ahead.
            THE WITNESS: You know, what leads me to believe
         it's an aberration or it's a mistake, is if you had
        higher AWPs on all your - or higher AWPs, you'd have
         100% market share, if that were our objective. I don't
         think that was our objective. This looks like some sort
         of aberration to me.
1.0
             Is there something wrong -- Would there be
11
    something wrong with having a higher AWP --
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
13
            -- which would afford you to get 100% of the market
    share?
15
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
16
            THE WITNESS: Well, what I'm talking about, we've
17
         got 20 products. Why would the AWP be higher on this
18
         one and not higher on others? I don't understand. It
19
         seems to be an aberration. I don't know and I can't
         explain it. I apologize.
21
             Do you have any sense as to what the root cause of
22
    that aberration would be?
            THE WITNESS: No
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             Do you know whether this aberration coincided with
```

```
Page 272
     a significant increase in utilization of Abbott's vancomycin
     products by its Alt Site customers?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: On byproduct sales, no, ma'am, I don't
         know. I don't know.
             Did you ever learn at any time that vancomycin
     utilization increased among Abbott's Alt Site customers?
              We -- I don't know if I remember looking at it on a
     line-by-line basis. These were reported as injectables. If
10
     you want me to go back to our records, I think these are
11
     reported as injectables. I don't know if I got line item
12
     reporting. I don't remember. And this would require line
13
     item reporting for - to notice one significant spike in a
     particular product.
15
              Well, would -- If Abbott's Alternate Site personnel
16
     were making requests concerning vancomycin pricing one way
17
     or another, other seeking higher AWP or list price or lower
1.8
     list price reporting, would that be something that you would
19
     learn about if that was - if the genesis for that request
20
     came from Alt Site?
21
            If it were just --
22
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: -- one product, probably not. I don't
24
         know.
            How come?
         0
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 70 of 75

```
Once again, I didn't -- I don't -- This tells you
    what the AWP is.
              What the magnitude of the sales are, I don't know
    I mean, how big the sales are, what the numbers are, I don't
             Do you recall whether in or around the mid- to
         0
    late-90s vancomycin represented a significant product that
    constituted a chunk of Alt Site business?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
10
            THE WITNESS: I don't remember specifically the
11
         sales of vancomycin - what the specific sales of
         vancomycin were.
13
            I know that injectable pharmaceuticals were -- And I
14
         know that vancomycin is a commonly used one, injectable
15
         pharmaceutical.
            Okav.
            MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Mark the next exhibit.
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 8 was marked for
         identification.)
             Sir, do you recognize this document?
21
22
              It appears to be a memo from Alternate Site
23
    Contract Marketing, do you see that?
24
             (Referring.)
25
              It's from Steve Kipperman; yeah.
```

```
Okav.
              And who's Mr. Kipperman?
              Kipperman used to work in Alternate Site in this
     organization.
         Ω
              Okav
              And it's a memo directed to the field sales force.
     is it not?
         Α
             As well as the district managers?
10
             And who is Cindy Dawson?
12
              She's one of the administrative people.
13
              The other people (indicating) were national account
14
     people, as I recall.
15
              Okay.
16
              And the memo concerns current Red Book AWPs, do you
17
     see that?
         Α
              Mm-hmm.
19
         0
              It was previously marked as Exhibit 61 in the Lotz,
20
     Texas deposition at the bottom.
21
              Mm-hmm.
22
              Sir, do you recall in or around May of 1994 Abbott
23
     taking a list price increase?
24
         Ά
              Nο
25
         0
              No?
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fe

```
Page 275
             The second sentence of this paragraph reads. This
    also has an effect on our AWP, Average Wholesale Price,
    which Red Book quotes for reimbursement purposes, do you see
    that?
             Mm-hmm.
        А
             Therefore, Mike Heggie was able to get Red Book to
    send a listing of all new AWPs for all of our products which
    would be effective through next April, do you see that?
10
             And the last sentence says, I hope this information
    is helpful and if you have any questions feel free to
    contact me
13
        Α
             Mm-hmm.
             What was the point of sending out this memorandum
    to the field sales force?
16
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
17
            THE WITNESS: I don't know.
18
             Do you know whether it was appropriate for the
19
    field sales force to discuss with customers AWP increases?
20
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
21
            THE WITNESS: Well, this was sent for their
22
        information, but they may have discussed it with
         customers; I don't know
            Why they would need AWP information or changes in
        AWP? I don't know, but they don't need that.
```

```
Page 276
              Who doesn't need that?
             MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Nonresponsive.
              The field sales force doesn't need that.
         0
              The field sales force doesn't need AWP information.
              Why would they? No.
         Α
              Now, all these individuals that are on the cc list
     and the To list, are they within your chain of command?
10
              Is Mr. Kipperman within your chain of command?
         0
11
              Mm-hmm.
              Do you have any idea why Mr. Kipperman would be
13
     sending this information to the field sales reps?
14
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
15
             THE WITNESS: No.
16
              At this point in time, was Mr. Heggie in Alt Site,
17
     as well?
1.8
              He was -- He was in the Renal Care area, Michael
19
     Heggie.
20
              Was it -- Would it have been improper for the field
21
     sales force to discuss AWP increases with their customers?
22
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: They may have discussed that, but
24
         that's not part -- They may have discussed it; I don't
         know -- Improper? I don't know.
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 71 of 75

```
Page 277
              Well, was there any policy against it?
             Well, what I guess we're trying to lash up here is
    AWP and spread, we didn't sell on spread.
             Would it have been improper for the field sales
    force to discuss spread with Abbott customers?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I don't know that the field sales
         force had to do that. I mean, the customers had access
         to these data, as well, without our -- Without our --
         Why would we be doing this? These customers had access
         to these data.
             Well, would the customers have access to the data
13
    if they didn't subscribe to Red Book or one of the other
14
    pricing compendia?
15
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I don't know if there were other
         sources other than Red Book.
            Do you know whether the field sales force or
    members of the field sales force discussed spread with
    Abbott customers?
21
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
22
            THE WITNESS: I don't know that, whether they did or
23
24
            Is it possible that they could have?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
```

```
Page 278
             THE WITNESS: I imagine, but I have no knowledge of
         them doing that.
             If Abbott's reimbursement for its drugs was
     advantageous, would it be an appropriate sales tool or
     practice for field sales reps to discuss Abbott's AWP
     pricing or spread with Abbott customers?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: You're asking -- Is that my opinion,
        you'd like, whether -- We didn't sell on spread. We
10
         were -- And I received assurances from Ward we didn't
         sell on spread. So why would they be discussing AWPs?
12
              When you say you received assurances from Ward on
13
     spread, what do you mean?
14
             That we didn't sell on spread; that that issue
15
     didn't, you know, didn't arise. It never arose with me and
     - between me and John that this would be a marketing tool.
     We didn't do that.
         Ω
            Did Mr. Ward affirmatively tell you. We don't sell
19
     on spread?
20
              I don't recall any specific conversation in that
21
     regard. We just we tried to sell the whole package. I
22
     never recall that we sold on anything other than that.
23
              Would it have been of concern to you if Abbott's
24
     Alternate Site field sales force sold product based upon
25
     spread in part?
```

```
Page 279
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: Once again, I don't recall having had
         to confront this issue.
            I think we sold on the base -- The way to sell is on
         the basis on the value and the quality of your products,
            What they did, if they sold in other ways, it would
         be inappropriate.
             It would be inappropriate?
1.0
             We sell on the -- It would be against our marketing
    or how the way we market our products.
             Is there a policy written out any where?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: As we discussed earlier, that policy
         isn't written.
             Then why would it be wrong?
17
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
18
            THE WITNESS: Because we had a marketing plan to
19
         market based upon breadth of product line quality and
         availability; that's how we sold our products.
21
             Sir, do you condone Mr. Kipperman's efforts to
22
    advise the field sales force of AWPs?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I don't think -- I just think it's
        unnecessary.
```

```
Page 280
              But do you condone his sending out a memorandum
     like this?
             Well. I don't --
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: I don't think this is a great idea.
             Do you have the same data available on other of
         Abbott products? Because I believe this vanco thing may
         be an aberration - I don't know why and I can't explain
10
              Well, do you believe that that memorandum is
11
     limited to vancomycin?
             MS, CITERA: Objection.
13
             THE WITNESS: Oh, no. No. No. No.
             What I'm talking about is the difference.
             And once again --
16
             Hold on just a second, sir.
17
             Mm-hmm.
1.8
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Okay.
19
             What I'd like to do is show this to Counsel first,
20
         and this is the complete exhibit from the Kipperman
21
         deposition (handing).
22
             MS. CITERA: That's 9?
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Why don't we mark this as
         the next Robertson exhibit.
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 9 was marked for
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 72 of 75

```
Page 281
         identification.)
     BY MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH:
              Before I have you look at that, I just want to get
     an answer to my question concerning Mr. Kipperman's
     memorandum
              Would you condone Mr. Kipperman sending out a
     memorandum like this to the field sales force?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: I think that if Mr. Kipperman came to
10
         me and asked me, Should I send it to the fields sales
11
         force, the answer would be no.
              Did you ever prohibit him from sending that
13
     information to the field sales force?
14
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
15
             THE WITNESS: I never knew anything about him
         sending this to the field sales force.
             Sir, if you could take a look at that complete
     document --
             Mm-hmm.
20
              -- does that appear to be a listing for the entire
21
     Hospital Products Division catalog?
22
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
23
             THE WITNESS: (Referring.)
24
             I don't know. I don't -- I don't know. It doesn't
         even -- It doesn't say what the drugs are, it's just
```

```
Page 282
         some number here.
              Well, are there NDC numbers listed?
              Yeah.
              Okay.
              So don't NDC numbers indicate what the drugs are?
              Not to me, ma'am.
         Ο
              Okav.
         Α
              You'll have to have a name on them.
              I understand.
10
              Yeah; this is a pretty complete list, though.
12
              So does it appear to you that Mr. Kipperman sent
13
     the entire catalog to the field sales force identifying what
14
     the AWPs were?
15
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: He sent a large number of AWPs to the
         field. Whether or not this is the entire catalog, I
         don't know, but he sent a potload of these to the field.
19
            Okay.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: Let's mark this document.
21
             (Whereupon, Robertson Exhibit No. 10 was marked for
22
         identification.)
23
              Sir, I'm going to ask you to look at the first
24
     memorandum, and then there are certain pages that I'll point
25
     you to. You don't have to flip through each and every page
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 283
    of the exhibit
             Mm-hmm. Okay.
             Sir. do you remember who Gerimed is?
             It's a -- Here, it says it's a closed-door pharmacy
    and long term care nursing home.
             And do you remember who MedEcon is?
             MedEcon's a buying group, I believe.
             Sir, do you recall receiving the first two pages of
    this exhibit, the memorandum which is a July 14th memorandum
    from D. Walker, it appears, to you?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: From Ward to me?
            Do I remember receiving this? No.
             I'm sorry, from John Ward. I apologize.
             No, ma'am.
             Your question is do I remember receiving it on
17
    Bastille Day in '95? No, ma'am.
             Sir, do you remember an issue concerning Gerimed
19
    and MedEcon's possible merger?
20
21
             Do you recall whether Gerimed and MedEcon were -
22
    either had contracts with Alternate Site or were customers
    of Alternate Site Product Sales?
        A Gerimed probably was. MedEcon was a GPO in the
    acute care market. That's hospital, GPO's -- the acute care
```

```
Page 284
     was Hospital.
              So that wouldn't be in our area. If they'd merge,
     we'd have to make some sort of accommodation. I guess.
            Well, is that, in part, what this memorandum is
     about?
         A Mm-hmm.
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: Although, I haven't, you know, studied
         it, gone through the number if they (referring) - yeah,
10
         all right, you know, what do we do?
             Isn't that what it says?
             (Referring )
             Same market - right - as the PBI, mm-hmm.
              Now, does it appear that in the middle of the memo
     it says, Additional information on Gerimed, do you see that?
16
              Mm-hmm.
17
             And it says, 1994 Abbott sales to Gerimed $600,
18
     could that be 600 million?
19
              No. That's dollars in thousands.
20
             Dollars in thousands. Okav.
21
              And 1995 projected 650, is that thousands?
22
         Α
              Yes.
         Ω
              And then it appears that Baxter sales to Gerimed
     excluding med-surg were $3.4M --
```

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 73 of 75

0

Ω

Ω

potential?

Ο

No.

10

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mm-hmm.

Mm-hmm.

```
Page 285
              That's correct.
              -- in '94.
              Mm-hmm.
             Is it fair to say that Gerimed may have been a
    customer that Abbott wanted to lure more business from?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: It may have been, yes; depends on what
        the sales were if we had products in competing areas.
             If they were selling products which we didn't
10
         compete in, we would have no way of knowing that.
              Sir, if I could have you flip to one, two, three,
    four, five pages into this packet, and hopefully it says,
13
    ART 278115
14
        Α
             Yes.
15
             And it says -- And I'll represent to you that this
    was produced - if you look at the page before it - as part
    of a Gerimed file, and I don't seem to have the 278114 page.
              Well. I don't have it, either.
              Okay.
              I don't think any of us do.
             13 is blank.
22
             13 says - it has a file folder at the top, do you
23
     see that?
24
             Oh, yes; I see. Okay.
         Α
              Sir, do you recognize this document that's 278115?
```

developed or maintained by Gerimed called the Gerimed Binder and also the EmphaSys system? No. ma'am. Were you at all familiar as to whether Gerimed had a way to identify the lowest cost product and the best spread for any particular state? I was not aware of that. Sir, if you could go next to page, 278136. (Witness complies.) 10 Mm-hmm. Do you see where it says, Gerimed Request for Quote Instructions? 13 Α Mm-hmm. Would it have been your expectation as the divisional vice president for Alternate Site that when submitting a quote Abbott's Alternate Site division would 17 follow the instructions of the customer concerning that 18 proposal? 19 MS. CITERA: Objection. 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't understand your 21 question. Forgive me. Sure. If you have a customer like Gerimed --

-- who's putting out an RFP or an RFO, a request

Right.

Page 288 for a quote, and you anticipated that Alternate Site would respond to that Request for Quote --Right. -- would you expect that the Alternate Site personnel putting together the quote would follow the instructions? MS. CITERA: Objection. THE WITNESS: If they could. What do you mean by, if they could? 10 If they were capable of following the instructions 11 or if they were permitted to follow the instructions. 12 Okay. 13 Why wouldn't they be permitted to follow the instructions? 15 If the instructions asked them for a manufacturing 16 cost on a product-by-product basis, we would not provide 17 that. 18 Okay. 19 If you could flip to page 278142, which I'll 20 represent to you is still part of this Requirement for Bid 21 submission from Gerimed --22 42? (Witness complies.) 24 42. Ω

Reimbursement Assistance, yes.

Page 286

It says at the top, A Cost Containment Program for

And at the bottom, there's an address 9707

I don't -- I'm sorry, I don't remember.

contracts to manufacturers based upon the consideration of

THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge that have.

If I could have you flip to page 278131, and.

Do you see where it says, Reimbursement Assistance?

Did you ever hear of a product that was either

low bids and AWP spreads which reflect reimbursement

Do you know whether that is a Gerimed address?

Do you know whether Gerimed made its awards of

Home Infusion Therapy Providers, do you see that?

Shelbyville Road, Louisville, Kentucky?

MS. CITERA: Objection.

actually, to the next page, 278132.

(Witness complies.)

Yes, ma'am.

(Referring.)

Did you ever hear of that?

### Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 74 of 75

```
And I'd like you to look at and read Section H, if
    you could.
         Α
              (Referring.)
              Okav.
             Sir, the first sentence of Section H reads, Low
    price and best spreads contract pricing will be evaluated on
    lowest price and/or best spread --
             Mm-hmm.
              -- between AWP and the contract price for
         0
    multisource products, do you see that?
11
              Mm-hmm.
12
             Does this inform you as to whether Gerimed, as an
13
    Abbott customer, was concerned about spreads?
14
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
15
            THE WITNESS: Yes.
            Okav.
             What does it tell you?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: Well, I think the language is plain in
         black lettering that's what they were interested in.
              Were you aware of any other customers of Abbott's
22
    Alt Site that were concerned about spread or interested in
23
24
             No.
         Α
25
         0
             No?
```

```
(Shaking head.)
              If Abbott's customers, as part of their RFQ
     required Abbott Alt Site to provide information so that
     spread could be calculated, would there be any prohibition
     for the Alt Site personnel - or would there be any
     prohibition prohibiting the Alt Site personnel from
     furnishing that information?
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: Would there be any prohibition?
10
             That would rest with the general managers, and I
         don't know what their response would be. But I would
12
         rather not be -- I would rather not do that.
13
              Okav.
14
              You would rather not do that, but do you know
15
     whether your general managers would have prohibited it?
16
            In this particular case --
17
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: -- I don't know what happened to it.
19
              Okay.
20
              Well, would it be fair to say that if you're
21
     looking to get the contract and Gerimed is requiring the
22
     information that it's likely that the Alt Site person not
23
     provided the information?
24
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
25
             THE WITNESS: That may be; I have no way of knowing
```

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fe

9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1f

```
Page 291
         that. I mean, I don't know that.
             Did you ever express to anyone that you would
    prefer that Alt Site personnel not provide information so
    that customers could calculate spread?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: Once again, spread was not something
         which we commonly discussed. I mean, I -- It's just not
        how we marketed our product.
             But if your customers were asking for the
10
    information, would you provide it to them?
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: If they asked for information on this,
        I don't know. I'd prefer not to and I probably would
            It's $3.4M - that's a lot of money, but our sales
         were only $600,000; there's not a lot of downside there.
17
1.8
              So would it be then your opinion that Alt Site
19
    should forego bidding on the Gerimed project?
20
            MS. CITERA: Objection.
21
            THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know. This
22
         seems to be if they're asking to market products through
         spread and that was not our orientation, that was not
         our goal, that was not the way we wanted to do it.
             But you think it's possible that the Alt Site
```

```
Page 292
     personnel may have provided that information.
             MS. CITERA: Objection.
             THE WITNESS: When I see this information, it's
         poss- -- If all the information's been provided, it's
         possible.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: What time do we have?
             MS. CITERA: 4:25.
             MS. ST. PETER-GRIFFITH: You know what, why don't we
         stop here for the day. We've got to pack up.
10
             And then if we could get another date from you,
11
         Mr. Robertson, as to when you might be available,
         perhaps in early October, if everyone could check their
         schedules, that would be great.
             MR. STETLER: Yeah. I'll check.
             VIDEOGRAPHER: That includes the deposition.
16
             The time is 4:28 p.m.
17
             (Whereupon, at about 4:28 p.m., the deposition was
1.8
19
             (The Witness waived reading and signing the
20
         transcript.)
21
22
24
25
```

# Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6323-2 Filed 07/27/09 Page 75 of 75

```
Page 293
     STATE OF FLORIDA
     COUNTY OF LEE
         I, Lisa L. Rios, Court Reporter, and Notary Public,
    State of Florida at Large, do certify that I was authorized
    to and did stenographically report the foregoing deposition
    of DONALD C. ROBERTSON, and that the foregoing typewritten
     transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 292, is a true
     record of the testimony given by the witness.
10
         I further certify that I am not a relative, employee,
11
     attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I \ensuremath{\mathtt{a}}
12
    relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or
     counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially
     interested in the action.
15
16
17
                Dated this 19th day of September, 2007.
18
19
                               Lisa L. Rios
21
                               Court Reporter
                               Notary Public
                               State of Florida at Large
23
24
```

```
Page 294
                          CERTIFICATE OF OATH
     STATE OF FLORIDA
     COUNTY OF LEE
         I, Lisa L. Rios, Court Reporter, and Notary Public,
     State of Florida at Large, certify that DONALD C. ROBERTSON
     appeared before me and was duly sworn.
         WITNESS my hand and official seal this 19th day of
10
     September, 2007.
11
12
                                Lisa L. Rios
14
                                Court Reporter
                                Notary Public
15
                                State of Florida at Large
16
17
18
19
21
22
23
24
25
```

c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc 9d08c905-b265-4985-b6be-b8c9e568b1fc